

# Quantum Annealing Research at CMU: Algorithms, Hardware, Applications

# Sridhar Tayur \*, Ananth Tenneti

Quantum Technologies Group, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Correspondence\*: Sridhar Tayur stayur@andrew.cmu.edu

### 2 ABSTRACT

In this mini-review, we introduce and summarize research - at Quantum Technologies Group 3 4 (QTG) at Carnegie Mellon University, in collaboration with several other institutions, including 5 IIT-Madras and NASA (QuAIL) - related to computational experience with guantum annealing. We 6 present a novel hybrid quantum-classical heuristic algorithm (GAMA, Graver Augmented Multi-7 seed Algorithm) for non-linear, integer optimization, and illustrate it on an application (in cancer 8 genomics). We then present an algebraic geometry based algorithm for embedding a problem onto a hardware that is not fully connected, along with a companion Integer Programming (IP) 9 10 approach. Next, we discuss the performance of two photonic devices - the Temporal Multiplexed 11 Ising Machine (TMIM) and the Spatial Photonic Ising Machine (SPIM)- on Max-Cut and Number Partitioning instances. We close with an outline of current work. 12

# 1 INTRODUCTION

13 Quantum annealing has emerged as a promising approach because a variety of Combinatorial Optimization

14 (CO) problems that arise in practical situtations (Tanahashi et al., 2019; Smelyanskiy et al., 2012; Hauke

15 et al., 2020) can be formulated as a Quadratic Unconstrained Binary Optimization (QUBO) problem, which

16 maps naturally to an Ising model, and solved on specially constructed quantum and semi-classical hardware

17 (Glover et al., 2018; Lucas, 2014; Mohseni et al., 2022; King et al., 2018; Harris et al., 2018; Wang and

18 Roychowdhury, 2019; Chou et al., 2019; McMahon et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2013). A lucid introduction

19 on quantum annealing can be found in (McGeoch, 2014).

		Application
Algorithm for Non-Linear	Graver Augmented	Cancer Genomics
Integer Optimization	Multiseed Algorithm (GAMA)	<b>Binary Classification</b>
Algorithms for Embedding	Algebraic Geometry	D-Wave
	Integer Programming	D-Wave
Photonic Ising Machines	Time Multiplexed (TMCIM)	Max-Cut
(Hardware)	Spatial (SPIM)	Number Partitioning

Figure 1. Some computational quantum annealing initiatives at Quantum Technology Group (QTG).

At Carnegie Mellon University's Quantum Technologies Group (QTG), we have been working on several initiatives<sup>1</sup> related to computational aspects of quantum annealing. See Figure 1.

1. While unconstrained optimization problems expressed as QUBO can be directly passed to an annealer 22 solver, it is also of practical interest to develop scalable decomposition methods that solve general non-23 linear constrained optimization problems. We describe a novel quantum-classical algorithm, Graver 24 Augmented Multiseed Algorithm (GAMA) (Alghassi et al., 2019a,b) for solving such optimization 25 26 problems, building on previous work on the use of algebraic geometry for Integer Optimization with 27 a linear objective function (Tayur et al., 1995). GAMA is motivated by test sets, and (a) uses partial Graver bases (Graver, 1975) instead of the complete Graver basis and (b) many feasible solutions as 28 29 starting points (rather than just one) for augmentation. Both the partial Graver basis and a number of feasible solutions are obtained from the constraint equation expressed as QUBOs (that is solved by an 30 annealer). A particular advantage of this algorithm is that it separates the constraints from the objective 31 32 function, allowing us to tackle situations where the computation of objective function value may need 33 an oracle call (such as a simulation).

- Many devices such as D-Wave have limited coupling connectivity between qubits. For a dense problem
   graph, it is therefore necessary to develop a mapping minor embedding to the sparse hardware
   graph. We have developed two methods, based on algebraic geometry and Integer Programming (Dridi
   et al., 2018a; Bernal et al., 2020).
- Building fully connected Ising hardware is another exciting area of current research. We have reconstructed (with some refinements) two Photonic Ising Machines (PIM), building on the time multiplexed coherent Ising machine (TMCIM) (Böhm et al., 2019) and the spatial multiplexed Ising machine (SPIM) (Pierangeli et al., 2020). We have studied the performance of the annealers on Max-Cut and Number Partitioning Problem with D-Wave (McGeoch, 2014) and Gurobi (Gurobi Optimization, LLC, 2023).

The rest of the review is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe GAMA. In Section 3, we illustrate the application of the GAMA on identifying altered pathways in cancer genomics as a proof-of-principle and recover known results. An algebraic geometry based embedding algorithm and its Integer Programming reformulation are outlined in Section 4 and compared to the default heuristic that is used by D-Wave. The performance of two Photonic Ising Machines is discussed in Section 5. We conclude in Section 6.

# 2 GRAVER AUGMENTED MULTISEED ALGORITHM (GAMA)

49 We begin with three definitions, taken verbatim from (Alghassi et al., 2019b).

DEFINITION 1. A set  $S \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is a Test Set or an optimality certificate, if for every non-optimal, feasible solution,  $x_0$ , there exists  $t \in S$  and  $\lambda \in Z_+$  such that  $f(x_0 + \lambda t) < f(x_0)$ . The vector, t is called the augmenting direction.

53 The following partial order is defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

54 DEFINITION 2. Given  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we define x is conformal to y, written as  $x \sqsubseteq y$ , if  $x_i y_i \ge 0$  (x and y lie 55 in the same orthant), and  $|x_i| \le |y_i|, \forall i \in \{1..n\}$ . A sum  $u = \sum_i v_i$  is called conformal if  $v_i \sqsubseteq u, \forall i$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> QTG is also engaged in theoretical research on understanding speed up in adiabatic quantum computing (Dridi et al., 2018b, 2019a), and other connections between algebraic geometry and Ising models (Dridi et al., 2019b), topics not covered here.

For a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$ , define the lattice

$$L^*(A) = \{x | Ax = 0, x \in \mathbb{Z}^n, A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}\} \setminus \{0\}.$$
(1)

57 DEFINITION 3. The Graver basis,  $\mathcal{G}(A) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ , of an integer matrix A is defined as the finite set of  $\sqsubseteq$ 58 minimal elements in  $L^*(A)$ .

The Graver basis (Graver, 1975) of an integer matrix,  $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n}$  is known to be a test set for integer 59 linear programs. Graver basis is also a test set for certain non-linear objective functions including Separable 60 convex minimization (Murota et al., 2004), Convex integer maximization (De Loera et al., 2009), Norm p 61 62 minimization (Hemmecke et al., 2011), Quadratic (Lee et al., 2010; Murota et al., 2004) and Polynomial minimization (Lee et al., 2010). It has also been shown that for these problem classes, the number of 63 augmentation steps needed is polynomial (Hemmecke et al., 2011; De Loera et al., 2009). Graver basis can 64 65 be computed (only for small size problems) using classical methods such as the algorithms developed by Pottier (1996) and Sturmfels and Thomas (1997). 66

At QTG, we are exploring (a) the effectiveness of computing partial Graver basis using annealers by solving a QUBO (for kernel elements), and, (b) instead of relying on just one feasible solution as the seed for augmentation, using multiple feasible solutions (that are also obtained via annealing, by solving a second QUBO), as parallel starting points. The GAMA heuristic (Alghassi et al., 2019a,b) thus aims to find good solutions to constrained non-linear optimization problems of the form in Equation 2, using multiple seeds as starting points for augmentation, with partial Graver basis elements as the augmenting directions:

$$(IP)_{A,b,l,u,f} = \begin{cases} \min f(x) \\ Ax = b & l \le x \le n \\ A \in \mathbb{Z}^{m \times n} & b \in \mathbb{Z}^n \end{cases}$$
(2)

73 where  $f : \mathbb{R}^n - > \mathbb{R}$  is a real valued function.

#### 74 2.1 QUBO for kernel calculation

In order to find a sample of the kernel elements for the constraint matrix *A*, we solve the QuadraticUnconstrained Integer Optimization (QUIO), given by

$$\min \quad x^T Q_I x, \quad Q_I = A^T A, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^n$$
  
$$x^T = [x_1, x_2 \dots x_n], x_i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$
 (3)

Since the inputs to the annealer are binary variables, we create a binary encoding of the integer variable.Writing

$$x = L + EX,\tag{4}$$

79 with L as the lower bound vector and E as the encoding matrix, the QUIO is equivalent to the QUBO

$$\min \quad X^T Q_B X, \quad Q_B = E^T Q_I E + diag(2L^T Q_I E),$$
  
$$X \in \{0, 1\}^{nk}, Q_I = A^T A.$$
(5)

The above QUBO is solved by an annealer to obtain kernel elements. A partial Graver basis can be obtained from the kernel elements in a classical post processing step by  $\Box$ -minimal filtering (Alghassi et al., 2019a).

#### 83 2.2 QUBO for feasible solutions

Similar to the kernel sampling, the Ax = b constraint can be expressed in the QUIO form as

$$\min \quad x^T Q_I x - 2b^T A x$$

$$Q_I = A^T A, x \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$
(6)

85 After binary encoding, we get the QUBO given by

min 
$$X^T Q_B X$$
,  $Q_B = E^T Q_I E + 2diag[(L^T Q_I - b^T A)E]$   
 $X \in \{0, 1\}^{nk}, Q_I = A^T A.$  (7)

86 The above QUBO can be solved by an annealer to obtain a sample of feasible solutions.

#### **3 AN APPLICATION OF GAMA: CANCER GENOMICS**

As a proof-of-principle testing of GAMA, we describe an application (Alghassi et al., 2019) to identify
cancer pathways de novo (Vogelstein and Kinzler, 2004; Haber and Settleman, 2007; Ciriello et al., 2012;
Vandin et al., 2012a,b; Zhao et al., 2012) from mutation co-occurrence and mutual exclusivity (Leiserson
et al., 2013; Weinberg and Weinberg, 2013).

Data from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) are now available for a variety of cancers providing information about which genes are mutated for which patient for any given cancer. With this, we can create a matrix. The rows of the matrix are patients, the columns are the genes, and the elements of the matrix (row *i*, column *j*) are zero or one (so this is a binary matrix), where "one" in (row *i*, column *j*) means that gene *j* is mutated for patient *i*.

However, not all mutations matter. The mutations that do not matter are called passengers. Those
mutations that matter are called drivers. We want to isolate drivers from passengers. (Most mutations are
passengers.) Furthermore, the same cancer can manifest itself due to different driver mutations, because
different mutated driver genes can impact different cellular signaling and regulatory pathways. This
mutational heterogeneity complicates efforts to identify drivers solely by their frequency of occurrence.

101 A pathway is a collection of genes. To find k pathways means finding k different collections of genes. 102 Each collection of genes can be of a different size. To make the discovery of these pathways computationally 103 manageable, we also make two commonly accepted simplifications:

Simplification 1: A pathway has at most one mutated driver gene. This is because driver genes are quite
rare. Thus, two different pathways will not likely share a common driver gene. This is called (mutual)
exclusivity.

107 Simplification 2: A pathway should apply to many patients. This is called coverage. The important thing
108 to note is that even though two patients share a pathway, they can have a different mutated gene from that
109 shared pathway as an explanatory reason for their cancer.

110 Another complexity that we need to handle is that real data are noisy because of measurement errors

111 and passenger mutations. This means that we cannot impose exclusivity as a hard constraint. Instead, we

112 allow for some overlap or "approximate exclusivity" and this is a parameter in our formulation. Similar

113 considerations force a modification of Simplification 2 as well, in the sense that we now can only reasonably 114 hope that most patients have at least one mutation in a pathway. Recall that mutual exclusivity problems

- 114 hope that most patients have at least one indiation in a pathway. Recall that indiate exclusivity
- 115 even without the modification above are NP-hard (Karp, 1972).

#### 116 3.1 Multiple-Pathway QUBO formulation for GAMA

117 Alghassi et al. (2019) developed a novel formulation tailored for GAMA to discover the cancer pathways. 118 Consider a hypergraph  $H_g = (V_g, E_p)$  with incidence matrix B, where each gene  $(g_i)$  is represented by 119 a vertex  $v_i \in V_g, i = 1, 2, ..., n$  and the mutation list of each patient  $P_i$  is represented by a hyperedge 120  $e_i \in E_p, i = 1, 2, ..., m$ .

121 The incidence matrix is mapped to its primal graph (G). This is a graph with the same vertices as that of 122 the hypergraph and with edges between all pairs of vertices contained within the same hyperedge. The 123 primal graph can be expressed in terms of the (positive) Laplacian matrix:

$$L^{+}(G) = BB^{T} = D(G) + A(G).$$
(8)

124 The weighted adjacency matrix  $A = [a(i, j)]^{n \times n}$  is symmetric and has zero as the diagonal elements. The 125 number of patients that have gene pairs  $(g_i, g_j)$  mutated is given by a(i, j). The number of patients with 126 gene  $g_i$  mutated is given by the element,  $d_i$  in the degree matrix,  $D = diag\{d_1, d_2, ..., d_n\}$ , where  $d_i$  is the 127 degree of the vertex  $v_i$  in the primal graph.

128 A *k*-pathway QUBO formulation simultaneously finds *k* pathways in a single optimization run. The 129 solution vector is represented by the binary vector  $x_i = [x_{i1}, x_{i2}, ..., x_{in}]^T$ , i = 1, 2, ..., k where, each 130 element  $x_{ij}$  indicates if a vertex  $v_j$  belongs to the *i*<sup>th</sup> pathway. Let  $\mathbf{X} = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_k]^T$ . The QUBO 131 formulation is given by the following (where L = (D - A) is the negative Laplacian matrix).

$$\min \mathbf{X}^{T} (\mathbf{Q}_{main} + \alpha \mathbf{Q}_{orth}) \mathbf{X}$$
$$\mathbf{Q}_{main} = -I_{k} \otimes L$$
$$\mathbf{Q}_{orth} = (J_{k} - I_{k}) \otimes I_{n}$$
(9)

132 Note that  $I_k$  and  $I_n$  are  $k \times k$  and  $n \times n$  identity matrices.  $J_k$  is the  $k \times k$  matrix with all entries equal to 1.

133 Rewriting the system of equations( 9) as

$$\min \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{X}$$
$$(\mathbf{1}_{k}^{T} \otimes I_{n}) \mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{1}_{n}$$
$$\mathbf{Q} = -I_{k} \otimes L$$
(10)

134 brings it in the form suitable for GAMA (Alghassi et al., 2019a).

This is a non-linear (quadratic) non-convex integer problem and of a Quadratic Semi Assignment Problem
(QSAP) form. We can alternatively extract Graver basis and generate feasible solutions systematically in
this case (Alghassi et al., 2019a) instead of solving QUBOs on a quantum annealer.

#### 138 3.2 Numerical Results

GAMA algorithm is used to solve k-pathway problem using the mutation data of 33 genes for Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML) for 200 patients (Network, 2013). By construction, he number of binary variables required is lower than available methods (?). For k = 3, the pathways discovered by GAMA are consistent with those reported by the TCGA authors. For k = 6, three additional pathways are discovered by GAMA albeit with lower coverage.

#### 4 EMBEDDING ALGORITHMS

144 If an annealer hardware is not fully connected (e.g. the D-Wave system), it is necessary to map the logical 145 graph, Y associated with the optimization problem into the processor graph, X (Boothby et al. (2016), 146 Choi (2011)). We describe embedding algorithms<sup>2</sup> based on algebraic geometry (Dridi et al., 2018a).

147 DEFINITION 4. Let X be a hardware graph. A minor-embedding of the the graph, Y is a map,  $\phi$ : 148 Vertices(Y) - > connectedSubtrees(X) such that,  $\forall (y_1, y_2) \in Edges(Y)$ , there exists at least one edge 149 connecting the subtrees,  $\phi(y_1)$  and  $\phi(y_2)$ .

Given an embedding of a logical graph, Y into a physical graph, X, the Y minor is a subgraph of Xgiven by

$$\phi(Y) = \cup_{y \in Vertices(Y)} \phi(y) \tag{11}$$

This is the input graph to the quantum processor. The information regarding the mapping of each logicalqubit is stored in a hash map,

$$id \times \phi: Vertices(Y) \times Vertices(Y) - > Vertices(Y) \times Subtrees(X)$$
 (12)

154 which can be used to unembed desired solution returned by the processor.

#### 155 4.1 Algebraic Geometry method

The set of embeddings can be viewed as an algebraic variety, which is the set of zeros of a system of polynomial equations (Cox et al., 2007). Given an embedding the mapping,  $\pi$  : Vertices(X) - >*Vertices* $(Y) \cup \{0\}$ , where the pre-image (fiber)  $\pi^{-1}(y) = \phi(y), \forall y \in Vertices(Y)$  has the form:

$$\pi(x_i) = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} y_j$$
  
with  $\sum_j \alpha_{ij} = \beta_i, \ \alpha_{ij}(\alpha_{ij} - 1) = 0$   
 $\alpha_{ij_1}\alpha_{ij_2} = 0, \text{ for } j_1 \neq j_2$  (13)

where  $\beta_i \in \{0, 1\}$  is equal to 1, if the physical qubit  $x_i$  is used, and 0 otherwise. Now, the conditions on the embedding  $\phi$  in Definition 4 along with a limit on the number of usable physical qubits can be translated into a system of polynomial constraints on  $\alpha_{ij}$  and  $\beta_i$ . This system defines an algebraic ideal  $\mathcal{I}$ , and the embeddings can be obtained using the Groebner basis of  $\mathcal{I}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Note that X can be any graph in general, not just the hardware graph, which is the focus here.

### 163 4.2 Integer Programming (IP) method

An IP formulation of the embedding algorithm (Bernal et al., 2020) is developed by expressing the polynomial conditions in Dridi et al. (2018a) as linear constraints involving integer variables. This formulation includes constraints for *Minimum and Maximum size*. Embeddings are obtained by optimizing the *Embedding size* within the feasible region. A decomposition approach, iterating between a qubit assignment master problem and a fiber condition checking subproblem is also developed.

Bernal et al. (2020) tested these methods using random graphs that vary in structure, size and density. The results are compared with the the D-Wave default heuristic, minorminer (Cai et al., 2014). The IP-based approaches are found to be slower whenever the heuristic can find an embedding. However, it is possible to obtain infeasibility proofs and bounds on solution quality with the IP methods, but not from the heuristics. The decomposition approach outperforms the monolithic IP approach.

# 5 HARDWARE

Two fully connected Coherent Ising Machines (CIM) - the Temporal Multiplexed Coherent Ising Machine
(TMCIM) (based on (Böhm et al., 2019, 2021)) and the Spatial Photonic Ising Machine (SPIM) (an
enhancement of that of Pierangeli et al. (2019)) - were built by collaborators at IIT-Madras (Prabhakar
et al., 2023).

#### 178 5.1 Temporal Multiplexed Ising Machine

The TMCIM was tested on the Max-Cut problem (Karp, 1972) and the results on various instances are compared with Gurobi run on Intel Core i3 processor and also with a D-Wave machine. The graph instances for the problem are generated using **rudy** (Rendl et al., 2010). See Prabhakar et al. (2023) for details.

First, at a fixed graph size (100 nodes), and varying density, TMCIM performed better than Gurobi up to a graph density of 40%. However, above 50%, the performance of TMCIM degraded. Next, the results with a fixed graph density of 40% and varying size of the graph from 100 to 1000 were obtained. For larger graphs, the performance of TMCIM was found to be considerably lower than Gurobi.

Second, the results are compared with the D-Wave Advantage 1.1 (DWA) annealer with the graph size varying from 20 to 100 nodes and the graph density fixed at 10%. For all the graph sizes, TMCIM is able to always give a Max-Cut value which is at least 96% of the value obtained using Gurobi (See Figure 6 in Prabhakar et al. (2023)). A solution accuracy of 99% can be attained up to 30 nodes. For DWA, the solution accuracy degrades beyond 20 nodes. This can be attributed to the limited connectivity of its Pegasus graph.

### 191 5.2 Spatial Photonic Ising Machine

The SPIM was tested on Number Partitioning Problem (NPP) with instance sizes varying from 16 to 16384 variables. The performance of the SPIM was compared with that of Gurobi and DWA. See Tables 3 and 4 in Prabhakar et al. (2023). For DWA, the number of variables that can be embedded is limited to  $11 \times 11$  fully connected graph and is not competitive. For problem sizes up to 1024 variables, Gurobi performs better than SPIM. However, Gurobi is unable to find solutions as the problem size gets larger while SPIM can handle up to 16384 variables.

# **6 CONCLUSION**

We have developed GAMA (Graver Augmented Multi-seed Algorithm), a novel hybrid quantum-classical algorithm for non-linear constrained integer optimization. As an application, we have explored a new formulation for the the discovery of de-novo cancer pathways. This tailored formulation is found to require fewer binary variables when compared with existing methods, and the pathways detected have been found to be consistent with previously published results.

For minor embedding that is usually required in Ising hardware that does not have an all-to-all connectivity, we have developed algebraic geometry and IP based algorithms. The IP algorithm is found to perform well for highly structured source graphs, when compared with the currently employed heuristic, minorminer and the Groebner basis method. While slower overall when compared with the heursitic, the algorithm can detect instance infeasibility and obtain bounds on solution quality.

We have built two photonic Ising machines, TMCIM and SPIM. We have studied their performance on Max-Cut and NPP problems, respectively, by comparing with D-Wave and Gurobi. For the Max-Cut, TMCIM gave better results than Gurobi at smaller graph size (< 100 nodes) and lower densities (< 40%), while its accuracy is lower for larger problems. However, the performance is better than D-Wave, which can be attributed to better connectivity. SPIM can solve NPP problems up to 16384 spins, which is larger than the problem sizes solved by D-Wave and Gurobi. Gurobi's performance is better at smaller sizes, but cannot exceed more than 1024 spins.

We conclude by noting some current work in quantum annealing. We are testing GAMA<sup>3</sup> against state-of-215 the-art classical approaches for an application in disaster preparation, in collaboration with researchers at 216 Koc University, as part of an initiative of the Turkish Ministry of Transportation and Infrastructure, focused 217 on probable earthquake in Istanbul. As noted earlier, the performance of the annealers depends crucially on 218 connectivity in the hardware. We are in the process of building another fully connected annealer, based on 219 Floquet Theory, collaborating with researchers at Cornell University and Raytheon BBN Technologies, that 220 221 is implemented using superconducting circuits (Onodera et al., 2020), adding to a growing set of devices with all-to-all connectivity being developed on other technologies (such as trapped ions or cold Rydberg 222 atoms, such as QuEra processor). Nevertheless, we expect that the size of complete connectivity in any 223 hardware in the forseeable future to be limited. It is therefore necessary to develop additional decomposition 224 techniques for efficiently partitioning (and then recombining) large scale optimization problems, an area of 225 active algorithmic research at QTG. 226

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT**

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financialrelationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

229 S. Tayur: Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing - review and editing. A. Tenneti: Writing- initial draft.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> A parallel article in this issue explores GAMA for Binary Classification of X-ray images via Support Vector Machine (SVM) to detect pneumonia (Guddanti et al., 2023).

# FUNDING

- 230 S. Tayur and A. Tenneti are supported by a DARPA Grant (through Raytheon BBN Technologies) on an
- 231 AFRL Contract entitled GLIMPSE.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

232 Dr. Hedayat Alghassi (IBM) and Dr. Raouf Dridi (QCI) were part of QTG and co-developed content

described in sections 2-4. Dr. David Bernal (Purdue) and researchers at NASA QuAIL collaborated on
research in Section 4.2. Faculty (Dr. A. Prabhakar and Dr. N. Chandrachoodan) and students (P. Shah, U.

235 Gautham, V. Natarajan, and V. Ramesh) at IIT-Madras collaborated on the photonic devices discussed in

236 Section 5.

### REFERENCES

- Alghassi, H., Dridi, R., Robertson, A. G., and Tayur, S. (2019). Quantum and Quantum-inspired methods
   for de novo discovery of altered cancer pathways. *bioRxiv* doi:10.1101/845719
- Alghassi, H., Dridi, R., and Tayur, S. (2019a). GAMA: A Novel Algorithm for Non-Convex Integer
   Programs. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1907.10930doi:10.48550/arXiv.1907.10930
- Alghassi, H., Dridi, R., and Tayur, S. (2019b). Graver Bases via Quantum Annealing with Application to
   Non-Linear Integer Programs. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1902.04215doi:10.48550/arXiv.1902.04215
- Bernal, D. E., Booth, K. E., Dridi, R., Alghassi, H., Tayur, S., and Venturelli, D. (2020). Integer
  programming techniques for minor-embedding in quantum annealers. In *Integration of Constraint Programming, Artificial Intelligence, and Operations Research: 17th International Conference, CPAIOR*2020, Vienna, Austria, September 21–24, 2020, Proceedings 17 (Springer), 112–129
- Böhm, F., Vaerenbergh, T. V., Verschaffelt, G., and Van der Sande, G. (2021). Order-of-magnitude
  differences in computational performance of analog Ising machines induced by the choice of nonlinearity. *Communications Physics* 4, 149
- Böhm, F., Verschaffelt, G., and Van der Sande, G. (2019). A poor man's coherent Ising machine based on
   opto-electronic feedback systems for solving optimization problems. *Nature communications* 10, 3538
- Boothby, T., King, A. D., and Roy, A. (2016). Fast clique minor generation in Chimera qubit connectivity
   graphs. *Quantum Information Processing* 15, 495–508
- Cai, J., Macready, W. G., and Roy, A. (2014). A practical heuristic for finding graph minors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1406.2741*
- Choi, V. (2011). Minor-embedding in adiabatic quantum computation: II. Minor-universal graph design.
   *Quantum Information Processing* 10, 343–353
- Chou, J. B., Bramhavar, S., Ghosh, S., and Herzog, W. (2019). Analog coupled oscillator based weighted
   Ising machine. *Scientific Reports* 9
- Ciriello, G., Cerami, E., Sander, C., and Schultz, N. (2012). Mutual exclusivity analysis identifies
   oncogenic network modules. *Genome Research* 22, 398–406. doi:10.1101/gr.125567.111
- Cox, D. A., Little, J., and O'Shea, D. (2007). *Ideals, Varieties, and Algorithms: An Introduction to Computational Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra* (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag)
- 264 De Loera, J., Hemmecke, R., Onn, S., Rothblum, U., and Weismantel, R. (2009). Convex integer
- 265 maximization via Graver bases. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 213, 1569–1577. doi:https:
- 266 //doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2008.11.033. Theoretical Effectivity and Practical Effectivity of Gröbner Bases

- Dridi, R., Alghassi, H., and Tayur, S. (2018a). A Novel Algebraic Geometry Compiling Framework for
   Adiabatic Quantum Computations. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1810.01440doi:10.48550/arXiv.1810.01440
- 269 Dridi, R., Alghassi, H., and Tayur, S. (2018b). Homological Description of the Quantum Adiabatic
- Evolution With a View Toward Quantum Computations. *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1811.00675doi:10.48550/
  arXiv.1811.00675
- Dridi, R., Alghassi, H., and Tayur, S. (2019a). Enhancing the efficiency of adiabatic quantum computations.
   *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1903.01486doi:10.48550/arXiv.1903.01486
- Dridi, R., Alghassi, H., and Tayur, S. (2019b). Minimizing polynomial functions on quantum computers.
   *arXiv e-prints*, arXiv:1903.08270doi:10.48550/arXiv.1903.08270
- Glover, F. W., Kochenberger, G. A., and Du, Y. (2018). Quantum Bridge Analytics I: a tutorial on
  formulating and using QUBO models. 40R 17, 335 371
- Graver, J. E. (1975). On the foundations of linear and integer linear programming I. *Mathematical Programming* 9, 207–226
- Guddanti, S. S., Padhye, A., Prabhakar, A., and Tayur, S. (2023). Pneumonia Detection by Binary
  Classification: Classical, Quantum and Hybrid Approaches for Support Vector Machine (SVM). *Submitted (Frontiers)*
- 283 Gurobi Optimization, LLC (2023). Gurobi Optimizer Reference Manual.
- Haber, D. A. and Settleman, J. (2007). Cancer: drivers and passengers. *Nature* 446, 145–146. doi:10.1038/
  446145a
- Harris, R., Sato, Y., Berkley, A. J., Reis, M., Altomare, F., Amin, M. H., et al. (2018). Phase transitions in a programmable quantum spin glass simulator. *Science* 361, 162–165. doi:10.1126/science.aat2025
- Hauke, P., Katzgraber, H. G., Lechner, W., Nishimori, H., and Oliver, W. D. (2020). Perspectives
  of quantum annealing: methods and implementations. *Reports on Progress in Physics* 83, 054401.
  doi:10.1088/1361-6633/ab85b8
- Hemmecke, R., Onn, S., and Weismantel, R. (2011). A polynomial oracle-time algorithm for convex
   integer minimization. *Mathematical Programming* 126, 97–117
- Karp, R. M. (1972). *Reducibility among Combinatorial Problems* (Boston, MA: Springer US). 85–103.
   doi:10.1007/978-1-4684-2001-2\_9
- King, A. D., Carrasquilla, J., Raymond, J., Ozfidan, I., Andriyash, E., Berkley, A., et al. (2018). Observation
  of topological phenomena in a programmable lattice of 1,800 qubits. *Nature* 560, 456–460
- Lee, J., Onn, S., Romanchuk, L., and Weismantel, R. (2010). The quadratic Graver cone, quadratic integer
   minimization, and extensions. *Mathematical Programming* 136, 301–323
- Leiserson, M. D. M., Blokh, D., Sharan, R., and Raphael, B. J. (2013). Simultaneous Identification of
   Multiple Driver Pathways in Cancer. *PLOS Comput Biol* 9. doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003054
- Lucas, A. (2014). Ising formulations of many NP problems. *Frontiers in Physics* 2. doi:10.3389/fphy.2014.
   00005
- McGeoch, C. C. (2014). Adiabatic Quantum Computation and Quantum Annealing (Kenfield, CA:
   MorganClaypool)
- McMahon, P. L., Marandi, A., Haribara, Y., Hamerly, R., Langrock, C., Tamate, S., et al. (2016). A
  fully programmable 100-spin coherent Ising machine with all-to-all connections. *Science* 354, 614–617.
  doi:10.1126/science.aah5178
- Mohseni, N., McMahon, P. L., and Byrnes, T. (2022). Ising machines as hardware solvers of combinatorial
   optimization problems. *Nature Reviews Physics* 4, 363–379
- Murota, K., Saito, H., and Weismantel, R. (2004). Optimality Criterion for a Class of Nonlinear Integer
   Programs. *Oper. Res. Lett.* 32, 468–472. doi:10.1016/j.orl.2003.11.007

- Network, C. G. A. R. (2013). Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid 312 leukemia. New England Journal of Medicine 368, 2059-2074 313 Onodera, T., Ng, E., and McMahon, P. L. (2020). A quantum annealer with fully programmable all-to-all 314 coupling via Floquet engineering. npj Quantum Information 6, 48. doi:10.1038/s41534-020-0279-z 315 Pierangeli, D., Marcucci, G., Brunner, D., and Conti, C. (2020). Noise-enhanced spatial-photonic Ising 316 317 machine. Nanophotonics 9, 4109-4116 Pierangeli, D., Marcucci, G., and Conti, C. (2019). Large-scale photonic Ising machine by spatial light 318 modulation. Physical review letters 122, 213902 319 320 Pottier, L. (1996). The Euclidean Algorithm in Dimension n. In Proceedings of the 1996 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation (New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing 321 322 Machinery), ISSAC '96, 40-42. doi:10.1145/236869.236894 Prabhakar, A., Shah, P., Gautham, U., Natarajan, V., V, R., N, C., et al. (2023). Optimization with photonic 323 wave-based annealers. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and 324 325 Engineering Sciences 381, 2241. doi:10.1098/rsta.2021.0409 Rendl, F., Rinaldi, G., and Wiegele, A. (2010). Solving Max-cut to optimality by intersecting semidefinite 326 and polyhedral relaxations. Mathematical Programming 121, 307–335 327 Smelyanskiy, V. N., Rieffel, E. G., Knysh, S. I., Williams, C. P., Johnson, M. W., Thom, M. C., et al. (2012). 328 A Near-Term Quantum Computing Approach for Hard Computational Problems in Space Exploration. 329 arXiv e-prints, arXiv:1204.2821doi:10.48550/arXiv.1204.2821 330 331 Sturmfels, B. and Thomas, R. R. (1997). Variation of cost functions in integer programming. Mathematical
  - 332 *Programming* 77, 357–387
  - Tanahashi, K., Takayanagi, S., Motohashi, T., and Tanaka, S. (2019). Application of Ising Machines and
    a Software Development for Ising Machines. *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan* 88, 061010.
    doi:10.7566/JPSJ.88.061010
  - Tayur, S. R., Thomas, R. R., and Natraj, N. R. (1995). An algebraic geometry algorithm for scheduling in
     presence of setups and correlated demands. *Math. Program.* 69, 369–401. doi:10.1007/BF01585566
  - Vandin, F., Clay, P., Upfal, E., and Raphael, B. J. (2012a). Discovery of mutated subnetworks associated
     with clinical data in cancer. *Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing*. *Pacific Symposium on Biocomputing*,
     55–66
  - Vandin, F., Upfal, E., and Raphael, B. J. (2012b). De novo discovery of mutated driver pathways in cancer. *Genome Research* 22, 375–385. doi:10.1101/gr.120477.111
  - Vogelstein, B. and Kinzler, K. W. (2004). Cancer genes and the pathways they control. *Nature Medicine*10, 789–799. doi:10.1038/nm1087
  - Wang, T. and Roychowdhury, J. (2019). Oim: Oscillator-based Ising machines for solving combinatorial
     optimisation problems. In *Unconventional Computation and Natural Computation: 18th International*
  - 347 *Conference, UCNC 2019, Tokyo, Japan, June 3–7, 2019, Proceedings* (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer 348 Verlag), 232–256. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-19311-9\_19
  - Wang, Z., Marandi, A., Wen, K., Byer, R. L., and Yamamoto, Y. (2013). Coherent Ising machine based on
    degenerate optical parametric oscillators. *Phys. Rev. A* 88, 063853. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.88.063853
  - Weinberg, R. A. and Weinberg, R. A. (2013). *The Biology of Cancer* (New York: Garland Science), 2
    edition edn.
  - Zhao, J., Zhang, S., Wu, L.-Y., and Zhang, X.-S. (2012). Efficient methods for identifying mutated driver
    pathways in cancer. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 28, 2940–2947. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/
    bts564
  - 000 00004
  - 356 3106 words