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## Turn of 20th Century: Dream in peril

20th Century Revolutions:

- Relativity
- Quantum Mechanics (start here today)
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## Nucleus <br> - Positive charge <br> - ~all the mass

## Problems:

- Known physics predicts electrons should spiral in to nucleus.

Why is matter stable?

- Atoms absorb/emit energy (light) only at discrete values. Why not continuous, as predicted?
- Wave-Particle duality: matter vs light Really two modes existence? Which is fundamental?
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## Nucleus

- Positive charge
- ~all the mass


## Long Period of Confusion:

- Several ad-hoc competing ideas able to give partial answers
- Eventually unified to consistent theory
- Solution not modification of electric force or structure of atom
- Completely new framework for all physical processes


## Quantum Mechanics

Picture of atom (circa 1911)

## Nucleus

## Upshot:

Shouldn't talk about electron trajectories within an atom
Instead new mathematical concept "Amplitude" $(\psi)$

- $\psi$ is the fundamental physics entity
- Describes everything there is to know about the electron

Quantum Mechanics gives prescription for how:

- Amplitudes evolve in time (behave like waves)
- To convert amplitudes to probabilities $\left(|\psi|^{2}=\right.$ Prob)
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- QM cannot predict what will happen in any particular event ( $\mu$ decay)
- QM can predict distributions (what happens on average)

Huge loss in predictivity!
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Position well-defined when probability $\left(\psi^{2}\right)$ sharply peaked on one place


Momentum well-defined when uniform distance between peaks

Reasonably well-defined position and Reasonably well defined momentum
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## Stability of Matter

## Classically (w/o QM)

Atom:


## Quantum World




Electron will sit directly on nucleus
Atoms are stable w/finite size

## Minimum Energy



Minimum Energy


## Minimum Energy

## Classically (w/o QM)



Lowest possible energy is 0 . Not moving and at lowest point.
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Lowest possible energy is 0 . Not moving and at lowest point.

## Quantum World



Cannot be both at lowest point and not moving.
Minimum non-zero energy: $\mathrm{E} \sim \mathrm{h} \omega$
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Can not follow trajectories of quantum particles
Treated identical particles must be treated as indistinguishable
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## Wave vs Particles

Everything is a quantum particle !
Particles have definite values of:

- mass
- spin: $(0,1 / 2,1, \ldots . \times h)$
- other properties: e.g: charge

Identical Particles Indistinguishable: Cannot trace trajectories

- Physics depends on $|\psi|^{2}$
$-|\psi(\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2)|^{2}=|\psi(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 1)|^{2} \quad$ or $\psi(\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2)= \pm \psi(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 1)$
Two fundamental types of particles:
"Fermions" $\psi(\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2)=-\psi(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 1)$
"Bosons" $\psi(\mathrm{p} 1, \mathrm{p} 2)=+\psi(\mathrm{p} 2, \mathrm{p} 1)$
Big collections of Fermions act like classical particles
Big collections of Bosons act like classical waves


## Why don't we not notice these strange effects?

Relativity: c is a big number ( $\sim 0.5$ billion mph )

Quantum Mechanics: $h$ is a small number $\sim 5 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}$

## Why don't we not notice these strange effects?

Relativity: c is a big number ( $\sim 0.5$ billion mph )
If I move at 500 mph for 80 years: Gain $\sim 1$ millisecond

Quantum Mechanics: $h$ is a small number $\sim 5 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{~J} \mathrm{~s}$
If my position is known to size of an atom:

$$
\Delta \mathrm{v} \sim 10^{\wedge}-26 \mathrm{mph}(\Delta \mathrm{p} / \mathrm{m})
$$

## Revolution \& Newton's Dream

Particular nature of revolution in Physics.

- Previous theories where not rejected.
- Seen as approximation in certain context
- Progress brings greater unification (Loss in predictivity)


## Revolution \& Newton's Dream

Particular nature of revolution in Physics.

- Previous theories where not rejected.
- Seen as approximation in certain context
- Progress brings greater unification (Loss in predictivity)

Concepts thought different, faces of same thing: Relativity:

- Space and time
- Energy and Mass (also momentum)
- Electricity and Magnetism
- (Gravity shown to be result of warping of space time)

Quantum Mechanics:

- Waves and Particles
- Chemistry and Physics


## Lecture Outline

April 1st: Newton's dream \& 20th Century Revolution
April 8th: Mission Barely Possible: $Q M+S R$
April 15th: The Standard Model
April 22nd: Importance of the Higgs
April 29th: Guest Lecture
May 6th: The Cannon and the Camera
May 13th: The Discovery of the Higgs Boson
May 20th: Experimental Challenges
May 27th: Memorial Day: No Lecture
June 3rd: Going beyond the Higgs: What comes next ?

## Lecture Outline

April 1st: Newton's dream \& 20th Century Revolution
April 8th: Mission Barely Possible: QM $+S R$
April 15th: The Standard Model
April 22nd: Importance of the Higgs
April 29th: Guest Lecture
May 6th: The Cannon and
May 13th: The Discovery of
May 20th: Experimental Cl - Steven Weinberg
May 27th: Memorial Day: I
June 3rd: Going beyond th

Sources:

- Nima Arkani-Hamed
- ...

I will keep this list up to date as we go along.

## Today's Lecture

Mission Barely Possible:
Combining Relativity and Quantum Mechanics

## Reminder:

## 20th Century Revolutions

## Reminder: Relativity

## Space-time

Mass increases with speed!


Closely associated to this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{mc}^{2} \\
& \mathrm{E}^{2}=\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{2}+\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{c}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Reminder: Quantum Mechanics

New mathematical concept "Amplitude" $(\psi)$
Prescription for how:

- Amplitudes evolve in time (behave like waves)
- To convert amplitudes to probabilities $\left(|\psi|^{2}=\right.$ Prob)

Determinism gone. Only predict probabilities.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \mathrm{x} \Delta \mathrm{p} \geq \mathrm{h} \quad \text { Minimum non-zero energy: } \mathrm{E} \sim \mathrm{~h} \omega \\
& \Delta \mathrm{E} \Delta \mathrm{t} \geq \mathrm{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

Particles: Fermions/Bosons Spin quantized units of $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$

## Combining Relativity \& QM

First 25 years of the 20th century two revolutions. 85 years since then, were all about putting these together.
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## Combining Relativity \& QM

First 25 years of the 20th century two revolutions. 85 years since then, were all about putting these together.

Looks to be impossible: Basic languages are different
QM: Time special (fundamental) role. Specify $\psi$ at one time. Prescription for how to evolve to later times,

Relativity: Time is not special! (can mix space and time by moving)
Turns out (just barely) possible: Quantum Field Theory

- Basic framework for how the world works.
- Dramatically restricts what a theory can possibly look like


## Consequences of Union

Anti-particles must exist

- Shocking / Unexpected
- Doubled everything in universe
- Makes the vacuum interesting

Key role of Spin:

- Relation between spin and particle type
- Dramatically limits types of particles can have

Major constraints on types of interactions allowed

- Only certain interaction will ever be important
- Always be a finite number of parameters that matter


## Causality

What happens next can only depend of what happened before (Does not depend on something that hasn't happened yet!)

If someone dies from a gun shot, the gun must be shot first.
Causality basic prerequisite to science!

## Causality in Relativity

Cant send signals faster than maximum speed
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## Causality in Relativity

If you could go faster than c , things go wrong


Depending about how you move, disagree about what comes first. Causality is violated. Bullet hits $B$ before $A$ pulls trigger.
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w/QM always some non-zero probability of getting out.
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## Has an Impact on Nothing

What does it take to study empty space ("the vacuum") ?
Nothing special...until try to check small regions

## Before QM:

Build tiny robots. (Get tiny robots to build tinier robots, who ..)

## With QM:

At small distances, uncertainty principle kicks in Need large $\Delta \mathrm{p}$ (or equivalently large $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ ) Smaller and smaller distances, need higher and higher energies

## Empty Space Interesting

When eventually get to small enough distances to need $\Delta \mathrm{E} \sim 2 \mathrm{mec}^{2}$
Nothing prevents creation of particle - anti-particle pair

- Everything is conserved (energy/charge/...)
- Some probability for this to happen

Completely changes our picture of the vacuum

- Simple act of looking at the creates something
- No sense in which the vacuum is empty

Often here accelerator as worlds most powerful microscopes
Looking at the vacuum
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## Other Implications Combining R \& QM

## Spin

QM: Integer spin $=$ Bosons $/$ Half-integer $=$ Fermions
Can only have: $\begin{array}{llllll}0 & 1 / 2 & 1 & 3 / 2 & 2\end{array}$
Interactions
QM: Any conceivable interaction possible
$\mathrm{QM}+\mathrm{R}$ : Charge is conserved
Local (no more action at a distance)
Only finite number of specific interactions allowed :

| bosons |
| :--- |
| fermions |



