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Abstract

To combat the spread of harmful information through the use of bots, a series of social media bot detection algorithms have been developed. The stability of the scores and classification produced from these bot detection algorithms is of importance to avoid false positives and misclassification. In this study, we established the stability of the BotHunter algorithm developed by Carnegie Mellon University. We recommend using the BotHunter bot probability scores with the following parameters: (a) a threshold value of 0.70 for bot classification; (b) at least 20-50 tweets per agent.

Introduction

To combat the spread of harmful information through the use of bots, a series of social media bot detection algorithms have been developed [1]. These algorithms range from using text modelling methods [2–4] to account features like screen names or network information [5–7] to tweet meta-features like posting behavior [8–10].

Two commonly used detection platforms are Botometer and BotHunter. Botometer uses a supervised ensemble classification based on 1150 features extracted for each Twitter agent [11, 12]. The BotHunter algorithm is developed by Carnegie Mellon University [13]. It classifies agents using a supervised random forest method with a multi-tiered approach, each approach making use of more features as before, from content to user to network features.

In this study, we access the stability of the BotHunter algorithm and characterize its predictions on suspended accounts. We analyze 5000 Twitter agents and their BotHunter scores, across a 150 day timeframe. We hope to answer the following research questions:

RQ1: What is a good threshold for a consistent BotHunter score?
RQ2: What is the minimum number of tweets for a consistent BotHunter score?

Data and Methods

We collected tweets of 5000 Twitter agents on a daily basis for 150 days in September 2020. We also collect the agents’ profile information, such as number of followers and locations. To detect and label bots, this study relied on the BotHunter platform developed by Carnegie Mellon University.

We analyze the volatility of the BotHunter bot probability score value through: volume volatility, which investigates how the scores change across the number of tweets;
Results and Discussion

Stability of bot scores. In general, the mean difference in the initial bot score derived from one day’s worth of tweets and subsequent bot scores across time do not fluctuate significantly. Figure 1 shows the trend of bot scores across time as compared to the first day’s scores. Even though the difference in bot scores start to increase across time, the difference is very small, usually not significant enough for a change in bot classification. These results point to the stability of the BotHunter algorithm over time and number of tweets.

Threshold-Based Analysis. Selecting a threshold value is crucial to any study to balance the number of false positives. As thresholds tighten, lesser percentage of agents are initially classified as bots. At the 0.70 threshold, a typical threshold value used for studies with BotHunter, almost half the agents were initially classified as bots, alluding to the importance of a stable bot detection algorithm. Previous studies use a threshold value of 0.60-0.70 [14-16], and our observations support it as a stable threshold.

Table 1 (in Appendix) presents the proportion of agents that fall within a threshold band on its first day’s bot score against its final bot score. Based on the data, we recommend a 0.70 threshold value for BotHunter classification as it differentiates agents best.

Comparing the difference of bot scores of an agent’s first tweet against an increasing number of tweets show that the mean difference increases as volume increases. As visualized in Figure 2 for a good bot score, an average of 20 tweets should be used. This is where the graph has the steepest increase. For an absolute stable score, the bot scores plateau around 400 tweets, though the difference is a hundredth of a decimal point which is unlikely to affect bot classification.

In this study, we have tested the BotHunter algorithm on a sampled Twitter dataset and established the stability of the BotHunter classification. However, due to the
random sampling of Twitter agents, data biasness may incur.

**Conclusion**

In this study, we study the stability of a used bot-detection platform, BotHunter. Bot classification is bound by threshold values, which we investigate 5 key values. Our results show that: For effective bot classification using the BotHunter algorithm, we recommend having at least 20-50 tweets for the agent in study. We recommend a 0.70 bot classification threshold.
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Table 1. Proportion of agents that fall within a threshold band on its first day bot score vs its final bot score. We recommend the threshold value of 0.70 for BotHunter classification as it differentiates agents best.
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