# DRD2 polymorphism and sensitivity to losses during value-based decision-making

Cristina Bañuelos<sup>1,3</sup>, Kasey Creswell, Ph.D.<sup>1,3</sup>, Stephen Manuck, Ph.D.<sup>2,3</sup>, Peter Gianaros, Ph.D.<sup>2,3</sup>, Timothy Verstynen, Ph.D.<sup>1,3,4</sup> 3. Neuroscience Institute, Carnegie Mellon University and University of Pittsburgh Department of Psychology, Carnegie Mellon University 2. Department of Psychology, University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine 4. Department of Biomedical Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University

## Background

- Dopamine receptor subtypes respond differently to gains and losses
- Dopamine receptor D2 (DRD2) -141C Ins/Del genetic variant associates with lower levels of D2 receptor density
- DRD2 variant carriers may be less sensitive to losses during feedback learning
- It remains unclear how phasic dopamine is modulated by the opposing influences on the direct and indirect striatal pathways in feedback learning

### Hypothesis

• Ventral striatal reactivity to rewards interacts with the presence of the DRD2 -141C Ins/Del variant to impact sensitivity to gains and losses

### Methods

### **Participants**

- Neurologically healthy adults from southwestern Pennsylvania from University of Pittsburgh's Adult Health And Behavior project, Phase II
- 438 participants (228 females, 210 males) between the ages of 30 and 54 (M=42.67, SD=7.36)
- Participant's blood was drawn for genotyping for the DRD2 -141C Ins/Del variant (119 carriers, 319 non-carriers) (1)





P = (C + D) - (A + B)

Sensitivity to high frequency rewards: Q = (B + D) - (A + C)



### Ventral Striatal (VS) Reactivity Task

 Assess the VS reactivity to negative and positive feedback cues associated with monetary gain (2 & 3)

Ventral Striatum Mask



## Results: Distribution of Measures





Distributions of Age, VS reactivity and IGT scores by DRD2 group are not normal

## **Results: Main Effects and Interactions**

#### Payoff Analysis

- Significant effects from the DRD2 variant and for VS reactivity, but not for the interaction
- Carriers scored almost 9 points lower on Payoff compared to the non-carriers
- Strong evidence against the alternate model

#### **Payoff Models**

Bayes Factor:	$H_0: Y_P = \widehat{\beta}$	$_{0} + \widehat{\beta}_{1} X_{DRD2} +$	- β <sub>2</sub>
18.37	$H_A: Y_P = \widehat{\beta}$	$_0 + \widehat{\beta}_1 X_{DRD2} +$	·β

#### Payoff Linear Regression:

<u>r dyon Emedi negreceren</u> i						<u>Conditity Enfort Hogicoolon</u> i							
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]		coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
Intercept	16.7039	2.020	8.269	0.000	12.733	20.674	Intercept	30.6606	1.746	17.563	0.000	27.230	34.092
DRD2	-8.7905	3.634	-2.419	0.016	- <b>1</b> 5.932	-1.649	DRD2	1.7348	3.140	0.553	0.581	-4.437	7.906
VS	21.1400	10.020	2.110	0.035	1.447	40.833	VS	4.7830	8.658	0.552	0.581	-12.234	21.801
DRD2:VS	-9.5730	18.830	-0.508	0.611	-46.582	27.436	DRD2:VS	-1.3082	16.272	-0.080	0.936	-33.289	30.673

#### Sensitivity Analysis

- No significant effects from the DRD2 variant, for VS reactivity, nor for the interaction
- Strong evidence against the alternate model

#### **Sensitivity Models**

 $\begin{array}{c}
 Bayes Factor: \\
 20.86
\end{array} \left\{
 \begin{array}{c}
 H_0: Y_Q = \widehat{\beta}_0 + \widehat{\beta}_1 X_{DRD2} + \widehat{\beta}_2 X_{VS} \\
 H_A: Y_Q = \widehat{\beta}_0 + \widehat{\beta}_1 X_{DRD2} + \widehat{\beta}_2 X_{VS} + \widehat{\beta}_3 X_{DRD2} \times X_{VS}
\end{array}$ 

### $_{2}X_{VS}$ $\hat{\beta}_2 X_{VS} + \hat{\beta}_3 X_{DRD2} \times X_{VS}$

#### Sensitivity Linear Regression:

P vs VS between DRD2 groups



#### Summary

- sub-optimal value-based decision-making

#### Take Home Message

- learning

### **Theoretical Directions**

### **Experimental Directions**

- losses and gains



### Results: VS Reactivity between Groups

DRD2 variant carriers had generally lower performance in IGT than non-carriers for Payoff (t = 3.23, p = 0.001), but not for Sensitivity (t = 0.54, p = 0.590, and lower VS reactivity (t = -1.77, p = 0.077)

Q vs VS between DRD2 groups

## Conclusion

• Lower D2 receptor density, and lower dopamine release associates with

• The interaction between D2 receptor density and dopamine release does not associate with differences in value-based decision-making

• No significant effects were found for sensitivity to high frequency rewards

Strong evidence that lower available D2 receptors, and lower dopamine release associates with a lower sensitivity to gains and losses in feedback

Inconclusive evidence for the mechanistic role of the D2 pathway in using feedback effectively during value-based decision-making

## Future Directions

• Simulations of the D1 and D2 pathways in order to test other possible mechanistic roles of the D2 pathway in feedback learning

• Another feedback learning measure that separates between sensitivity to

References

Lerman, C., Jepson, C., Wileyto, E. P., Epstein, L. H., Rukstalis, M., Patterson, F., ... & Berrettini, W. (2006). Role of functional genetic variation in the dopamine D2 receptor (DRD2) in response to bupropion and nicotine replacement therapy for tobacco dependence: results of two randomized clinical trials. Neuropsychopharmacology, 31(1), 231-242.

Hariri, A. R., Brown, S. M., Williamson, D. E., Flory, J. D., De Wit, H., & Manuck, S. B. (2006). Preference for immediate over delayed rewards is associated with magnitude of ventral striatal activity. Journal of Neuroscience, 26(51), 13213-13217. Gianaros, P. J., Manuck, S. B., Sheu, L. K., Kuan, D. C., Votruba-Drzal, E., Craig, A. E., & Hariri, A. R. (2011). Parental education predicts corticostriatal functionality in adulthood. Cerebral Cortex, 21(4), 896-910.