

The Tiger and Johnny Show
Looking Back At The 100th U.S. Open at Pebble Beach

By Steve Schlossman and Kari Thomas¹
Department of History, Carnegie Mellon University
7 June 2019

“He’s at the young part of his prime, which is sort of bullet proof, you feel like you could just tear out anybody’s throat, you know, everything is right on green light.”
[Johnny Miller]

Tiger Woods had been making huge headlines in American golf well before the 100th U.S. Open began in June 2000 at Pebble Beach. After winning the 1997 Masters by twelve shots and then deciding to reconstruct his swing, Tiger returned to dominate the 1999 season, winning eleven of twenty consecutive PGA Tour events and finishing 10th or higher in all but one of twenty-five events worldwide. Week to week, at age 24, he was the man to beat. “Mortal PGA Tour players remain thankful that he doesn’t play every week,” Glenn Sheeley opined in *The Atlanta Constitution*.² Thomas Bonk was blunter still: “Tiger is the one to beat at this U.S. Open and he will be the one to beat at the next U.S. Open.”³ Enhancing the odds that the 100th U.S. Open would be the first that Tiger finally won, in February at the AT&T Pebble Beach Pro-Am, he overcame a seven-shot deficit in seven holes to win. Tiger had clearly demonstrated that he could “go low” at Pebble Beach when he needed to.

Predictably, the USGA toughened Pebble Beach considerably for the U.S. Open and it would not play the way it had during the AT&T. Nature dictates that Pebble Beach plays very differently in the wet winter season than it does in hot, dry summer conditions. Whereas causal water was a regular problem at the AT&T and golf balls frequently “plug[ged],” as Tiger put it,

¹ Copyright 2019 Steve Schlossman and Kari Thomas. All Rights Reserved.

² Glenn Sheeley, “Inside Golf: Main Attraction Tiger Woods in a Zone,” *The Atlanta Constitution*, 1 June 2000.

³ Thomas Bonk, “Woods Stars in His Favorite Role,” *Los Angeles Times*, 15 June 2000.

in the summer Pebble Beach played much faster and shorter.⁴ In 2000, the USGA capitalized on the course's naturally firm, wind-glazed greens by growing out the thick rough to four-and-a-half inches (effectively eliminating the intermediate rough on several holes) and reducing the already small greens, in Bonk's words, to the "size of paper napkins." The Monday before the tournament, green speeds measured at 11.6. And analysts predicted that by the weekend, those greens would rate a 12.0.⁵

Commentators believed, and the USGA certainly hoped, that the extra precision required to master this US Open-modified course would equalize the spectacularly deep and talented field. "With the weather hot and dry on the Monterey Peninsula, balls are dashing down the fairways at the Pebble Beach Golf Links or scooting into 4-inch rough, where the grass feels like rubber," Sheeley reported in *The Atlanta Constitution*. "Does this mean that Tiger Woods...is no longer the favorite in the 100th U.S. Open? Hardly. More accurately, it just increases the amount of players, now that distance is no longer a factor and it becomes a matter of hitting the fairways and making putts, who can seriously try to alter such an obvious story line."⁶

At the top of the list of players who might challenge Tiger were Vijay Singh, the reigning Masters champion, Ernie Els, a past two-time U.S. Open winner, and Phil Mickelson and Colin Montgomerie, the best players to not yet win a major championship.

In Montgomerie's favor, European players arguably held a distinct advantage at Pebble Beach Golf Links: the seaside course was predictably windy, which seriously complicated the art of hitting narrow fairways, gauging green speeds, and avoiding the thick, sticky rough that was

⁴ Glenn Sheeley, "Pebble Beach provides optimum atmosphere," *The Atlanta Constitution*, 8 June 2000.

⁵ Thomas Bonk, "Golf Not for Meek When He's Finished: USGA's director of rules and competition adds bite to Pebble Beach this week," *Los Angeles Times*, 13 June 2000. For an excellent overview of Pebble Beach's evolution since the first U.S. Open was held there in 1972, see John Strege, "The Coast is Clear," *Golf World*, June 9, 2000, pp. 47-56.

⁶ Glenn Sheeley, "U.S. Open Championship: Odds point to usual favorite: Woods Tailored for Tiger: Woods on top of his game, playing a course he has known since high school," *The Atlanta Constitution*, 15 June 2000.

everywhere. Even a slight ocean breeze could transform this picturesque dream-like golf course into an absolute nightmare. Johnny Miller, a three-time winner at Pebble Beach, commented, “Pebble is the kind of course that gives you a lot; it lets you make birdies and eagles. But it also can take it away real fast.” After the 2000 U.S. Open was over, the history books closed, and the trophy awarded, England’s Nick Faldo reflected, “It’s been very linksy...It’s not target golf this week. We [Europeans] are used to sort of bumping around in the wind and scrambling a lot.” Which is probably why Spain’s Miguel Angel Jimenez, England’s Lee Westwood, Ireland’s Padraig Harrington, and Faldo all finished in the top-10.⁷ In fact, unlike most U.S. Opens where Americans typically dominated, of the top-10, six players were from other countries, and in the top-5, only Tiger was born in the U.S.⁸

In the days leading up to the championship, some began to worry that the USGA’s director of rules and competition, Tom Meeks, had stiffened the course’s difficulty too much. Many still remembered the final round of the 1992 U.S. Open at Pebble Beach, where the scoring average was 77.26.⁹ Adding to players’ concerns was the fact that the USGA had downgraded hole No. 2 from a par-5 to par-4, reducing the overall par from 72 to 71. “When I heard that, I said, ‘You’ve got to be kidding,’” complained Jack Nicklaus, who would be playing his 44th consecutive and last U.S. Open at Pebble Beach—his favorite course. “Here you are, you’ve played three Opens at Pebble Beach, you have comparable scores by everybody in the

⁷ Ed Sherman, “Els, Jimenez Finish at 3 Over, Settle For ‘B’ Flight Title,” *Chicago Tribune*, 19 June 2000. Ed Sherman, “Mixing Beauty with History,” *Chicago Tribune*, 14 June 2000. Larry Bohannon, “Pebble Beach has all the lore and lure,” *The Desert Sun*, 11 June 2000.

⁸ John Reger, “Hospitable: Foreign golfers are feeling right at home playing in the tough conditions at the U.S. Open at Pebble Beach,” *Orange County Register*, 18 June 2000. Furman Bisher lamented the fact that Europeans had done so well in an American tournament. “Look at those in the chase, to use the term loosely, and you find more names off the European Tour than Yanks...From across the sea came Ernie Els, Padraig Harrington, Lee Westwood, Nick Faldo, Vijay Singh and Thomas Bjorn. But where was David Duval? Phil Mickelson? Davis Love III? Justin Leonard? Where were Fred Couples, Hal Sutton, Tom Lehman of the old class?” Furman Bisher, “Also-rans’ comfort zone,” *The Atlanta Constitution*, 19 June 2000.

⁹ Glenn Sheeley, “Pebble Beach provides optimum atmosphere,” *The Atlanta Constitution*, 8 June 2000.

game, and all of a sudden you want to take a hole and change it? Why? Give me any kind of reason that's logical. It's been a par-5 forever. Why would you want to change it?"¹⁰ Tiger Woods was just as irritated with the decision. "I don't think that's right, just because now we can't really compare all the past champions," he said. "We've always played this golf course as par 72."¹¹ The recent loss of two tall Monterey pine trees—killed by "pitch canker" disease—that had previously toughened the lengthy approach shot to the green motivated the USGA's decision, as Executive Director David Fay explained to *NBC's* viewing audience.¹²

But No. 2 wasn't the only hole players and analysts were watching. No. 14, most unusually for a par-5, was expected to yield relatively few birdies. It was 573 yards long, uphill much of the way, with strategically positioned bunkers on both sides of the fairway and the most difficult green on the course to hold – on one's third shot, with only a wedge in hand.¹³ The breathtaking 8th hole, with its bewildering putting surface and yawning Ocean chasm that swallowed both tee and approach shots, was another hole on every spectator's radar.¹⁴ But the hole that really earned competitors' ire in 2000 was No. 5, recently redesigned by Jack Nicklaus.

The new No. 5 was supposed to be a par-3, but when the wind picked up on Friday and Saturday, only a third of the field managed to hit the green in regulation. And that slowed play considerably. "When there are five groups standing on the tee, that's an indication something is wrong," Paul Azinger said. "The green is obviously flawed and needs to be redone." Nicklaus, the hole's designer, agreed with him. "I was with a couple of USGA guys who wondered why

¹⁰ Glenn Sheeley, "Golf: Pebble Beach provides optimum atmosphere," *The Atlanta Constitution*, 8 June 2000.

¹¹ Chris Dufresne, "U.S. Open Notes: Tiger Growls About Decision to Reduce Par at Pebble to 71," *Los Angeles Times*, 15 June 2000.

¹² Jeff Williams, NOTEBOOK: Lower Par Takes Shots," *Newsday*, 13 June 2000. Fay vigorously defended the USGA's decision as a tv broadcast commentator for NBC.

¹³ "U.S. Open at Pebble Beach Key Hole: No. 14, par-5," *Orange County Register*, 16 June 2000.

¹⁴ Dave Anderson, "No. 8 Is This Open's Most Precise Hole," *New York Times*, 18 June 2000.

the hole was playing so slow” -- there was a twenty-five to forty-five minute wait to play.

Nicklaus told them, “It’s because you guys won’t let it be played the way it was designed.”¹⁵

Nicklaus intended for the area short and left of the green to be fairway so that golfers could land their shots there and let the ball run down the slope onto the green. The USGA, however, decided to turn this ideal landing area into dense rough. The result, Nicklaus complained, was that “nobody can make par....You’ve got the cliff on one side and no shot whatsoever if you miss it left.”¹⁶

Even Tiger struggled on No. 5 in 2000, averaging a quarter-stroke over par just like everyone else. The only other holes where he averaged over-par were the Cliff of Doom classic No. 8 and the relatively nondescript, dogleg left third hole (where he made a triple bogey in round three). Otherwise, Tiger smoked both the course and the field, especially on hole Nos. 9 through 17. Glenn Sheeley theorized in *The Atlanta Constitution* that “playing Pebble is always about getting a few strokes under par early, to prepare for those that slip away at eight, nine and 10 or on the back nine.”¹⁷ Sheeley was correct that the back nine holes at Pebble Beach separate the men from the boys. The three prior U.S. Open champions at Pebble Beach—Nicklaus, Watson, and Kite—had averaged 2.46 strokes better than the rest of the field on the back nine, as opposed to just 1.16 strokes on the front nine.

However, Tiger’s strategy was notably different in the 2000 U.S. Open. He chose not to take any undue risks by forcing birdies early on. Instead, he consciously tried to avoid bogeys everywhere (remarkably, he was bogey-free in rounds 1 and 4). Relying on his towering ball flight and unmatched power -- he officially led the field in driving distance by seven yards, but it

¹⁵ Gary Van Sickle, “Taking the Fifth: The New Number 5 Hole at Pebble, A Deceptively Nasty Par-3, Forced Many Players to Hit Shots That Were Self-Incriminating,” *Sports Illustrated*, 26 June 2000.

¹⁶ Gary Van Sickle, “Taking the Fifth: The New Number 5 Hole at Pebble, A Deceptively Nasty Par-3, Forced Many Players to Hit Shots That Were Self-Incriminating,” *Sports Illustrated*, 26 June 2000.

¹⁷ Glenn Sheeley, “Golf: Pebble Beach provides optimum atmosphere,” *The Atlanta Constitution*, 8 June 2000.

often seemed much more than that -- he scored periodic birdies on holes that badly beat up his competitors, especially on Nos. 2, 9, 10, 12, 14, and 17. He very effectively neutralized any competitors who sought to break away on the easier early holes to try to chase him down.

After Tiger turned in a first round 6-under 65, commentators were ready to call the tournament. Johnny Miller called it on-air after Tiger birdied No. 14. "I think it's gonna be very tight with the rest of the field, but I really do believe, I got this hunch, that Tiger's gonna break every U.S. Open record this week and win by, maybe a big margin. I just think it's gonna be— not maybe a Masters, his first Masters win, but I just have the feeling if he could get off to a great start, which he has done, it could be a week that he just says, 'See ya guys.'"

The first round technically wasn't even over yet; fog had interrupted play, and seventy-five players waited until morning to finish their rounds. But Tiger's mastery of the course was so intimidating that even though Miguel Jimenez was only one shot back with a 66, no one liked his odds of winning. "Back up the van, move out the bleachers, shut off the lights, stick a cork in the fog and close the door. This U.S. Open is already over," Thomas Bonk declared in the *Los Angeles Times*.¹⁸ "The only man left in this Open who can defeat Tiger is Woods," Skip Bayless wrote in the *Chicago Tribune*, citing Tiger's effortless shot-making and first-round composure as superb reasons for the rest of the field to throw in the towel. "Surely no player has been considered a bigger shoo-in after playing his first round."¹⁹ Ed Sherman had to assure his readers, "No, The U.S. Open is not over." After all, he joked, "The 18th hole could fall in to Carmel Bay."²⁰ And after Tiger turned in a second round 69, to go 8-under for the tournament

¹⁸ Thomas Bonk, "Golf; Woods Rolls in With Cool 65," *Los Angeles Times*, 16 June 2000.

¹⁹ Skip Bayless, "With Woods in a Groove, This Open is Closed," *Chicago Tribune*, 16 June 2000.

²⁰ Ed Sherman, "Woods Leaves 'Em In Fog Shoots 'One Of My Better Rounds' Before Play Stops," *Chicago Tribune*, 16 June 2000.

and take a record-breaking six-shot lead over Jimenez, the *New York Times* ran the headline, “Woods Threatens To Make It Academic.”²¹

Skip Bayless speculated, “The rest of golf has just one hope. Only Pebble’s unpredictable elements can keep this Open from becoming elementary for Woods. The bay breeze must kick up, the temperature must drop.”²² This finally happened—or as Bonk put it, “The real Pebble Beach Golf Links showed up”—during the third-round, yet Tiger still shot even-par (only Ernie Els beat him that day with a stunning 68 before weather conditions toughened).²³ However, observers noticed something curious, or at least thought they did. Just as the worst of the fog seemed to hold off for Tiger’s rounds, the wind also stopped blowing whenever he teed off, “as if on cue.” “That used to happen when [Ben] Hogan teed off.” Nicklaus mused. “Now it happens when Tiger tees off.”²⁴

A more realistic explanation, perhaps, is that the wind did not affect the trajectory of Tiger’s new solid-core golf ball as much as it did the wound-core balls played by nearly everyone else. Before Titleist’s Pro V1 (which was first widely used on Tour in October 2000), there was Nike’s Tour Accuracy ball. Developed for Nike by Bridgestone in Japan, the ball “featured a solid, molded core injected with synthetic compounds, including polyurethane,” which allowed the ball “to maintain its velocity at its apex, minimizing the adverse effect of rain and wind.” Tiger was Nike’s very avid tester, and at Pebble Beach he played with a brand new Bridgestone prototype that was not even available to other Nike clients like Paul Azinger. After a first test in Germany, Tiger played Nicklaus’s Memorial Tournament in late May and won by five shots at 19-under-par. That the prototype landed unerringly where Tiger hit it no matter the

²¹ Clifton Brown, “Woods Threatens to Make It Academic,” *New York Times*, 17 June 2000.

²² Skip Bayless, “With Woods in a Groove, This Open is Closed,” *Chicago Tribune*, 16 June 2000.

²³ Thomas Bonk, “Tiger Has This Open by the Tail: With a little bit of wind, Pebble Beach finally bared its teeth, and the world’s best cringed,” *Los Angeles Times*, 18 June 2000.

²⁴ Ed Sherman, “Weather Clearly with Woods: Fog Lifts, Winds Die and Tiger Goes to 9 Under,” *Chicago Tribune*, 17 June 2000.

weather conditions is what ultimately convinced him to permanently switch balls on the eve of the U.S. Open.²⁵

Tiger's unorthodox decision to switch balls just before a major caught the attention of many, but expert observers failed to connect his surreal ball-striking exhibition with the new ball. Quite the opposite, in fact. When Tiger won the Memorial, Thomas Bonk joked, "Tiger Woods is so good, he doesn't need a golf ball to win tournaments....[he] could still beat everybody if he hits a rock or an apricot or even a salt shaker."²⁶ Gerry Dulac, writing for the *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, maintained, "Woods is going to play well, shoot low scores and win a lot of golf tournaments no matter what ball he plays."²⁷ The overall consensus in the golf press was that Tiger was scorching courses in spite of his equipment change, not because of it. "Titleist is the Mercedes of golf balls," David Teel wrote in the *Daily Press* of Newport News, Virginia. "Nike is Hyundai."²⁸ Ed Sherman joked in the *Chicago Tribune* that maybe the rest of the field would luck out and "Tiger Woods' shipment of his new Nike balls might be ruled non-conforming because they feature too many swooshes."²⁹ But neither Sherman nor any other leading golf

²⁵ Before the Memorial Tournament, Tiger used Nike's ball for the pro-am at the Deutsche Bank-SAP Open in Germany. However, he did not officially announce that he was permanently switching balls until a Nike shareholders' meeting after the Memorial Tournament. Jeff Benedict and Armen Keteyian, *Tiger Woods* (New York: Simon & Schuster, 2018), pp.197-203; Mark McCluskey, *Faster, Higher, Stronger* (New York: Hudson Street Press, 2014), pp. 124-125; Tony Manfred, "Tiger Woods Took a Chance on a New Ball that No One Else was Using in 2000, and It Was the Smartest Move of His Career," *Business Insider*, 17 February 2015; Rob Sauerhaft, "Cut Above the Rest," *Sports Illustrated*, 30 March 2015; "Innovation Shapes the New Nike RZN Tour Golf Ball," *Nike News*, 5 January 2016; Tim Rosaforte, "Going Ball-istic," *Golf World*, June 2, 2000, p. 18; "The Bunker: Be Like Nike," *Golf World*, June 9, 2000, pp. 4-6; Ron Sirak, "Golf's Dream Team," *Golf World*, June 9, 2000, p. 82; Jonathan Wall, "Tiger Woods and the Golf Ball That (Almost) Changed It All," *Golf*, May 29, 2019 (<https://www.golf.com/gear/balls/2019/05/28/tiger-woods-golf-ball-nike/>). We only became aware of Wall's important article after our own essay was completed, but it effectively elaborates and reinforces our central argument.

²⁶ Thomas Bonk, "Woods Is Still Having a Ball, Even While Using a New One," *Los Angeles Times*, 2 June 2000.

²⁷ Gerry Dulac, "Fuss Over Ball Woods Uses of Little Consequence Except to Nike," *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, 1 June 2000.

²⁸ David Teel, "Woods' Switch to Nike From Titleist Would Be A Joke," *Daily Press*, 24 May 2000.

²⁹ Ed Sherman, "Woods Leaves 'Em In Fog Shoots 'One Of My Better Rounds' Before Play Stops," *Chicago Tribune*, 16 June 2000.

reporters advanced the idea that Tiger's solid-core ball was giving him a special advantage or was in any way related to his record-demolishing performance.

Simply put, very few realized at the time how revolutionary this technology would be. Azinger, who was using the store-bought version of the Tour Accuracy, told reporters, "The guy is using the ball because when he was messing around with it, he just couldn't believe it....That's how much [Tiger] likes the ball."³⁰ Journalists didn't buy it, choosing to believe that Tiger's switch had less to do with the ball's performance than the \$2.5 million Nike reportedly paid him to use it.³¹ But the way Tiger's ball consistently flew long, flat, and straight, impervious to the wind, and the way it held the greens as everyone else's hopped and ran past the flagsticks, matches the way we now understand a solid-core ball behaves.³²

The end result of this combination of Tiger's singular golfing prowess with a brand-new technology was a jaw-dropping performance at Pebble Beach. By the end of the second round, Tiger had a record-breaking six-shot lead.³³ By the end of the third, he was an unheard-of ten shots ahead, another new major championship record. "Tiger Woods keeps putting up birdies as if this were some faceless sponsor-name tournament," Ed Sherman marveled. "Meanwhile, the rest of the field is playing a U.S. Open course where red numbers are rumor."³⁴

³⁰ Gerry Dulac, "Fuss Over Ball Woods Uses of Little Consequence Except to Nike," *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, 1 June 2000.

³¹ Thomas Bonk, "Woods Is Still Having a Ball, Even While Using a New One," *Los Angeles Times*, 2 June 2000. Gerry Dulac, "Fuss Over Ball Woods Uses of Little Consequence Except to Nike," *Pittsburgh Post-Gazette*, 1 June 2000.

³² "When smashed with a driver, the [solid-core] ball would spin less than a balata ball, keeping it from hooking or slicing. When hit with a wedge, it would spin more quickly, giving the player more control to stop the ball on the green. And in every situation, it flew significantly farther than a balata ball when hit with the same force." McClusky, *Faster, Higher, Stronger*, p. 124.

³³ "The record for a second-round lead at the Open had been five strokes by Willie Anderson at Baltusrol in 1903. The 134 by Woods also tied the record for the lowest score through the first 36 holes of an Open, a mark held by three others, including Jack Nicklaus." Rob Gloster, "Woods' 6-stroke Lead Breaks 36-hole Record," *Chicago Tribune*, 18 June 2000.

³⁴ Ed Sherman, "Tiger Running Away With It: Record 10-Shot Lead Leaves Foes in Dust," *Chicago Tribune*, 18 June 2000.

The man trying to describe and explain Tiger's performance to the millions watching on TV at home was the famously frank Johnny Miller. Though Miller unquestionably stood out among the announcers, the full NBC/ESPN crew for the 2000 U.S. Open was truly stellar, featuring Dan Hicks, Chris Berman, and Mike Tirico as lead announcers, and Roger Maltbie, Gary Koch, Bob Murphy, Mark Roling, Andy North, and Ed Sneed as expert analysts. Miller's commentary was strongly opinionated and periodically over the top, as when he demeaned Jimenez's golf game as "like watching paint dry" or when he labeled "ignorant" anyone who didn't acknowledge Pebble Beach as "the greatest golf course in America." (John Huggan, the superb Scottish golf writer, had done just that in a piercing *Golf World* column the week before.)³⁵ But Miller's comments were always effervescent, deeply knowledgeable, and periodically brilliant. In short, he kept the proceedings interesting, which was crucial given how fast and far Tiger was distancing himself from the field.

Though Tiger had yet to win a U.S. Open in his three-plus years on tour, Miller didn't hesitate to acknowledge his all-time greatness, even in comparison to Nicklaus. He marveled at Tiger's intellectual "brilliance" and fearless ability to execute shots others couldn't even contemplate – for example, the two very different but equally miraculous birdies Tiger scored on No. 6 from lies in dense rough from which everyone else would have had to pitch out. Miller was a Tiger fan through and through. As he responded to his colleague Roger Maltbie's observation that he'd "never seen anybody play golf this way, ever," Miller calmly observed: "We've waited a while, Roger, to have someone raise the bar." Or as he'd said earlier in repartee with Dan Hicks: "He's just better than everybody else."

At the same time, though, Miller didn't shy away from calling out Tiger for occasional errors or from lambasting other recent "top guns" like Duval, Singh, Els, Montgomerie, and

³⁵ John Huggan, "What's So Great About Pebble?" *Golf World*, June 16, 2000, p. 12.

Mickelson for not putting up a better fight. Everyone remembers Tiger playing four perfect rounds of golf en route to his 15-shot victory, but that really wasn't the case. Miller and several of his broadcast colleagues called attention to the up-and-down quality of Tiger's ball striking in rounds two and three that he compensated for with incredible scrambling (e.g., twelve one-putts in round one) and a rash of birdies. On Saturday, he carded a triple-bogey 7 on No. 3 after a poor tee shot and a horrid approach shot, followed by several dubious recovery efforts. And on the par-5 18th, Tiger double-crossed his tee shot and snap-hooked it far into Carmel Bay, which led to his gravest error of the event.³⁶ Picked up on a live *NBC* microphone, he shouted, "Goddamn, you fucking prick!" which was then immediately broadcast live into homes across the country. "No more commentary necessary," Mark Roling quipped. Johnny Miller added, "That made my commentary look pretty mild."

Later, during Jimmy Roberts' first time doing interviews for *NBC* sports, Tiger apologized: "I let my emotions get the best of myself...Unfortunately, I let them voice out loud."³⁷ "I regret doing it."³⁸ But even though any golfer who had ever sailed a drive into a water hazard could commiserate, Tiger was still sharply criticized for damaging his squeaky clean image as a role model. "Saturday Woods revealed what PGA writers have known all along. He has a bad temper and he has a very salty tongue when he loses it. Some have even said he still has a frat boy mentality, even though he's now 24. Now everyone knows that, not just the insiders. Do we want to know this much about Tiger?" asked Bob Padecky in *The Press Democrat* of Santa Rosa, California. "Until now, nothing was off limits with the guy. We

³⁶ This was the last hole of Tiger's second round. Because of multiple fog delays, Tiger had not started his round on Friday until late in the afternoon, and he did not finish before sunset. So he played the rest of his second round on Saturday morning, which is when the unfortunate cursing incident occurred. Then, he got lunch, took a nap, and played his third round in the afternoon.

³⁷ Skip Bayless, "Woods Needs to Put Tongue in Ball-Washer," *Chicago Tribune*, 18 June 2000.

³⁸ Bob Padecky, "Tiger's True Stripes Slip Past the Facade," *The Press Democrat*, 18 June 2000; Bennett and Keteyian, *Tiger Woods*, pp. 205-206.

couldn't get enough of Tiger because nothing seemed objectionable."³⁹ In other words, for one fleeting moment, Tiger was human just like everyone else, which contrasted vividly with how he was tearing up one of the USGA's most prized championship set-ups.

But Padecky was right to ask: did people want Tiger to be human? Or did they want him to be perfect, beyond reproach? "I never saw Arnie [Palmer] do it. I never saw Jack [Nicklaus] do it. I never saw [Tom] Watson do it," claimed Tom Kite, winner of the 1992 U.S. Open at Pebble Beach. "I doubt Bobby Jones ever did it. There's no place for it."⁴⁰ In reality, Palmer and Jones just didn't get caught. And that was Tiger's real sin: not that he cursed, which professional athletes do all the time, but that he got caught.

Tiger's gaffe after duck-hooking his ball into wet oblivion on the most famous golf hole in the world drew comparison with another popular, supposedly family-friendly celebrity-athlete of color: Michael Jordan. Miller commented later in the broadcast, "We didn't hear a lot of those out of Michael Jordan." Dan Hicks agreed: "Michael Jordan would have never done that."⁴¹ Really, it was an open secret that Jordan was one of basketball's meanest trash talkers of all time. Yet Skip Bayless still declared in the *Chicago Tribune*, "Until Woods convinces himself that he just can't curse on national TV, he will lack one quality Jordan had in abundance. Class."⁴²

None of this brouhaha seemed to matter to Tiger, who just kept making birdies when he needed them. Other than his singular outburst, Tiger was eerily calm throughout. "If Woods were any more relaxed," Thomas Bonk wrote, "he would need to install a recliner on the first tee, shove a pillow behind his back and then knock his first shot 290 yards down the middle while

³⁹ Bob Padecky, "Tiger's True Stripes Slip Past The Facade," *The Press Democrat*, 18 June 2000.

⁴⁰ Skip Bayless, "Woods Needs To Put Tongue In Ball-Washer," *Chicago Tribune*, 18 June 2000.

⁴¹ Skip Bayless, "Woods Needs To Put Tongue In Ball-Washer," *Chicago Tribune*, 18 June 2000.

⁴² Skip Bayless, "Woods Needs To Put Tongue In Ball-Washer," *Chicago Tribune*, 18 June 2000.

sitting down.”⁴³ By the end of third round, Tiger was 8-under in a tournament where everyone else was over-par. “Now the rest of the field knows the true danger sign,” Ed Sherman wrote. “The only thing worse than an angry Tiger is a serene Tiger.”⁴⁴

And for the rest of the field, the fact that they stood no chance of winning was starting to sink in. “I feel like after two rounds I was playing against everybody else,” Jimenez admitted.⁴⁵ Indeed, Tiger had turned one of the world’s premier sporting events into an exhibition. Tom Watson, speaking for the entire “B-flight,” bluntly stated: “We’re all playing for second place.”⁴⁶ Ernie Els, who played with Tiger in the final round and finished tied for second with Jimenez, struggled to find the right words for what he had witnessed. “He was awesome to watch. Just a dominating performance, and that’s an understatement.” Even the galleries were stunned. Glenn Sheeley reported, “Fans along the 18th bowed as Woods strode by, offering ‘We’re not worthy’ chants.”⁴⁷

Something drastic—such as Tiger being kidnapped or the U.S. declaring war on Canada—would have had to happen to prevent Tiger’s coronation.⁴⁸ In the final round, he carded a bogey-free 4-under 67, which set all sorts of records. His 12-under-par 272 tied the lowest ever total stroke score in a U.S. Open. His 15-shot margin of victory broke the record for majors (the U.S. Open, British Open, Masters, and PGA Championship) set by “Old” Tom Morris in 1862. And it was Tiger’s first career wire-to-wire win.

⁴³ Thomas Bonk, “Woods Stars in His Favorite Role,” *Los Angeles Times*, 15 June 2000.

⁴⁴ Ed Sherman, “Major Blowout For Tiger ‘At Ease,’ He Cruises To 15-stroke Margin Of Victory,” *Chicago Tribune*, 19 June 2000.

⁴⁵ Ed Sherman, “Els, Jimenez Finish at 3 Over, Settle for ‘B’ Flight Title,” *Chicago Tribune*, 19 June 2000.

⁴⁶ Larry Guest, “Gr-r-r-r-eat! Watson: ‘We’re All Playing For 2nd Place,’” *Orlando Sentinel*, 19 June 2000.

⁴⁷ Glenn Sheeley, “Terrific, even for Tiger: U.S. Open Championship,” *The Atlanta Constitution*, 19 June 2000.

⁴⁸ Lowell Cohn in Santa Rosa, CA’s *The Press Democrat* also offered food poisoning and loss of golf clubs as possible means of bringing about Tiger’s downfall. But “because I don’t expect any of the above to happen,” Cohn wrote, “Woods already has won this golf tournament, and what happens in today’s final round will have all the drama of an exhibition baseball game between the Giants and Padres.” Lowell Cohn, “Tiger Roaring into Open History, Overwhelming 10-stroke Lead,” *The Press Democrat*, 18 June 2000.

“He dominated from Day One,” Ernie Els emphasized. “From the first hole, he started dominating and never let go....When you have a guy playing like that, you have no chance.”⁴⁹ “If there’s any good news for [Tiger’s] fellow competitors after such an embarrassing week,” wrote Glenn Sheeley, “maybe it’s this: At least this looks like Tiger’s ‘A’ game.” “To understand what Woods is doing,” Lowell Cohn expounded, “how he’s murdering the competition, you need to think about something Jack Nicklaus said the other day....‘The U.S. Open to me is the total examination of golf....To a golfer it does more to make a man out of you than any other tournament.’...If the Open makes a man out of you, Tiger is Superman.”⁵⁰ Tiger finished tied for 10th in fairways hit.⁵¹ He was sixth in putting (Nick Faldo was first with just 104 total putts). Tiger was first in distance off the tee and first in GIR (Greens in Regulation). Put another way, Tiger’s game was so well-rounded that Pebble Beach never stood a chance.

“What Tiger Woods did in last week’s U.S. Open defies description,” John Davis marveled in the *Arizona Republic*. Everyone agreed that Tiger’s phenomenal putting is what had made the difference. He confidently sank eight-to-fifteen foot par putts on greens that no one else seemed able to read. John Huston said Pebble Beach’s greens were the worst he had ever putted on. “They’re so bumpy, they’re dead. It’s pure luck where the ball’s going to roll. You can’t step up and say ‘I’m going to hit a good putt just outside the left’ and know it’s going to break where it should. It’s just terrible.”⁵²

Yet Tiger never three-putted, the entire tournament. During practice rounds, he had been paying especially close attention to his putting stroke. “Before embarking on his pillaging and plundering of Pebble,” Bob Verdi remarked humorously in *Golf World*, “Woods allowed that he

⁴⁹ Clifton Brown, “Jimenez Is Thrilled To Finish 15 Behind,” *New York Times*, 19 June 2000.

⁵⁰ Lowell Cohn, “Tiger Roaring Into Open History Overwhelming 10-stroke Lead,” *The Press Democrat*, 18 June 2000.

⁵¹ Colin Montgomerie was first in fairways hit, and when someone informed him, he shot back, “That’s great. They should put the hole in the fairway.” Hunki Yun, “Back To Orlando,” *Orlando Sentinel*, 19 June 2000.

⁵² “Saturday Notebook: Putting the Field to Bed,” *Golf World*, 23 June 2000, p. 46.

was somewhat vexed about his putting. It wasn't that his putts weren't dropping. No, he didn't like *the way they were dropping*. I am not making this up. The ball just didn't look or sound right entering the cup."⁵³ So Tiger spent two-and-a-half hours the day before the first round on the putting green, adjusting his stance and stroke until it felt right.⁵⁴ "My posture was a little off," he said later. "My release wasn't quite right. I just needed to get some reps in."⁵⁵ He got those reps, and when it all finally clicked, it looked like "sorcery."⁵⁶

But John Davis went on to ponder a step further: "Before the event, other pros who have the knowledge, experience and talent to make a pretty good assessment, figured par would be an impressive score, and most predicted it would be higher. *NBC* announcer Johnny Miller noted that no other golfer had a particularly good week, but is it possible that the other 155 who started the event went in the tank at the same time? Or is it that Woods is simply that much better?"⁵⁷

It was a good question that analysts, fans, and golfers alike were asking. Certainly, Johnny Miller had quibbled repeatedly with the pros' approach to certain holes, especially Nos. 8 and 11, and was unimpressed by their overall performance. "To be honest with you, [Tiger] shouldn't have more than a 5-shot lead. It's very disappointing when you look at that leaderboard and all the great players and to think that they're all, at best, two over par, three, four," he said.

"It's just one of those championships. Really, people aren't playing well." Thomas Boswell

asked similarly in *The Washington Post*,

Who has Tiger got [to challenge him]? David Duval needs to gain back 10 pounds just to look skinny. He's tried so hard to get into 'great shape' to compete with Woods that he's almost disappeared. Els has two U.S. Open titles and a swing like the reincarnation of Sam Snead. But he has no fire in his belly. He'd be the golfer of the year if a convention

⁵³ Bob Verdi, "Looking Up from the B Flight," *Golf World*, 23 June 2000, p. 40.

⁵⁴ Hunki Yun, "Tiger Woods Completed His Wire-To-Wire Charge With A Record-Breaking Effort That Obliterated The Rest Of U.S. Open Field," *Orlando Sentinel*, 19 June 2000.

⁵⁵ Dave Anderson, "British Open Looms As Tiger's Kitty Dominating U.S. Open Champion Tiger Woods Figures To Be A Heavy Favorite To Win At St. Andrews Next Month," *Orlando Sentinel*, 20 June 2000.

⁵⁶ Larry Guest, "Woods Toying With Open Field," *Orlando Sentinel*, 16 June 2000.

⁵⁷ John Davis, "Tiger Truly Mastered the Impossible," *Arizona Republic*, 22 June 2000.

of psychiatrists got the vote. But a sane, mellow view of life ain't gonna beat Tiger. Phil Mickelson? Colin Montgomerie? Neither of them has won so much as one major tournament.⁵⁸

Skip Bayless was even harsher, calling Davis Love, Phil Mickelson, and David Duval “content country-club products with weenie streaks.” “They’re making enough money from endorsement deals. They don’t want to grind as hard as Woods does. They don’t have Woods’ killer instinct or against-the-grain nature.”⁵⁹ Hunki Yun, in the *Orlando Sentinel*, warned, “Tiger Woods is the alarm clock that should be waking up the rest of the golf world, but all they’re doing is hitting the snooze button repeatedly and going back to sleep.”⁶⁰ Furman Bisher, writing in *The Atlanta Constitution*, agreed totally;

Tiger Woods is only doing his thing. He has goals. He shoots for them and it is good. Those who should be challenging aren’t. Their goals seem to be a comfortable lifestyle, a cherished paycheck at the next tournament. Back to the comforts of the plantation, the yacht, the fishing gig, a little golf-course designing, the endorsements and television commercials. Then back to the grind, the Greater Milwaukee Open, since Tiger won’t be there.⁶¹

Ernie Els implied as much when he told reporters, “Maybe I have to change my schedule.”⁶² The rest of the PGA Tour had become too soft and apathetic to mount a serious challenge to Tiger.

Lee Janzen, a previous two-time U.S. Open champion, pushed back against this interpretation. “It seems like everybody worked out harder this offseason, practiced harder and came out more determined,” he said, but even he admitted that Tiger was “on a level that nobody can catch.”⁶³ It was demoralizing that 62 players finished over-par while the champion finished

⁵⁸ Thomas Boswell, “With the Course He’s On, Things Can Only Get Better,” *The Washington Post*, 19 June 2000.

⁵⁹ Bayless almost deified Tiger in his column, but his references to the divine were mostly tongue-in-cheek. He described Nick Price as rhetorically “genuflecting” to Tiger and noted, “Woods did mind his language on the course, letting loose with one ‘Jesus!’ But after all, father Earl predicted in 1997 that Woods would be Messiah-like.” Skip Bayless, “Woods Leaves No Doubt He’s In Class By Himself,” *Chicago Tribune*, 19 June 2000.

⁶⁰ Hunki Yun, “Where’s The Competition? At Least Nicklaus Had To Work For His Wins: Tiger Blitzes The Field,” *Orlando Sentinel*, 21 June 2000.

⁶¹ Furman Bisher, “Also-rans’ comfort zone,” *The Atlanta Constitution*, 19 June 2000.

⁶² Larry Guest, “Gr-r-r-r-eat! Watson: ‘We’re All Playing For 2nd Place,’” *Orlando Sentinel*, 19 June 2000.

⁶³ Glenn Sheeley, “Rivals left in awe, then dust: 100th U.S. Open,” *The Atlanta Constitution*, 19 June 2000.

12-under. Many of the pros afterward truly sounded like they couldn't imagine ever beating Tiger, so they were trying to convince themselves that second place was just fine—"I feel great finishing second in this tournament," Jimenez declared.⁶⁴ "We are all mortal, and he's not," Rocco Mediate rationalized.⁶⁵ Meanwhile, Nick Price told the press, "I feel sorry for the young guys. Basically, I've had my day. I'm 43 now. Whatever I win from here on in is a bonus. The young guys are taking a pounding from this guy. I don't know how to describe it."⁶⁶

And yet, Tiger promised his adoring public that he would keep pushing himself and improving his game. "Woods has outworked almost every rival, on the practice tee and in the gym," Bayless detailed. "He took one of the tour's most athletic frames, added 20 pounds of fast-twitch muscle by bench-pressing more than 300 pounds, and plugged this explosive package into swing mechanics he could teach at his alma mater, Stanford."⁶⁷ But Tiger evidently believed there was room for improvement. "You're always trying to work on little things in this game of golf, trying to get a little bit better. Always trying new equipment or new techniques."⁶⁸ "I'm going to keep trying to improve my game," he said. "I don't know what that level will be."⁶⁹ Larry Guest joked in the *Orlando Sentinel* that the next level for the USGA might be equipping "next year's Open course with land mines in the rough and pit bulls tethered to each flagstick."⁷⁰ Indeed, it was difficult to imagine what better than a 12-under 272 at a U.S. Open at Pebble Beach, where the next closest competitor finished 3-over-par, would look like. But Tiger wanted to find out.

⁶⁴ Clifton Brown, "Jimenez Is Thrilled To Finish 15 Behind," *New York Times*, 19 June 2000.

⁶⁵ Larry Guest, "Gr-r-r-r-eat! Watson: 'We're All Playing For 2nd Place,'" *Orlando Sentinel*, 19 June 2000.

⁶⁶ Glenn Sheeley, "Rivals left in awe, then dust: 100th U.S. Open," *The Atlanta Constitution*, 19 June 2000.

⁶⁷ Skip Bayless, "Woods Leaves No Doubt He's In Class By Himself," *Chicago Tribune*, 19 June 2000.

⁶⁸ Ed Sherman, "Chilling News For Fellow Pros: Woods Out To Improve Game," *Chicago Tribune*, 20 June 2000.

⁶⁹ Ed Sherman, "Only Legends Challenge Woods Now," *Chicago Tribune*, 19 June 2000.

⁷⁰ Larry Guest, "Woods Toying With Open Field," *Orlando Sentinel*, 16 June 2000.

And the public wanted to go on the journey with him. The British Open at St. Andrews was less than a month away, and Tiger, as the clear favorite to win, stood a good chance of becoming the youngest golfer yet to achieve a career Grand Slam. There was some concern that galleries and television viewers would get bored tuning in to see Tiger win every week. “Well, you need competition,” Tom Kite mused. “Otherwise, it gets to be boring. Kind of like Texas in the old Southwest Conference. You knew who was going to win. It was fun if you were pulling for Texas, but it wasn’t really exciting.”⁷¹ By that metric this Open was the opposite of exciting because, as Furman Bisher warned, “Suspense was no factor in this Open, from the time the first blow was struck.”⁷²

But the numbers told a different story; fans wanted to watch Tiger make history. *NBC’s* ratings were up 23% over the previous year’s coverage, and the audience nearly doubled when Tiger was playing.⁷³ “For now, amazingly, televised golf’s popularity will continue to grow,” Skip Bayless predicted. “The compelling question won’t be whether Woods will beat Duval or Mickelson, but by how many. He has enough fist-throwing, cursing charisma to fill a leaderboard by himself. Sunday’s 18-hole victory parade held your attention because you wanted to see if he’d break the record for victory margin in any major.”⁷⁴ Which he did.

Tiger, at age 24, was now well on his way to becoming the first ever \$1 billion athlete. He had joined an elite echelon of celebrity-athletes that included Muhammad Ali and Michael Jordan, who were instantly recognizable even to those who didn’t follow their sports. And by year’s end, as Tiger continued to dominate the PGA Tour, almost everyone, duffer and pro alike, would switch to solid core balls (albeit the Titleist Pro V1 rather than the Nike Tour Accuracy).

⁷¹ Glenn Sheeley, “Rivals left in awe, then dust: 100th U.S. Open, *The Atlanta Constitution*, 19 June 2000.

⁷² Furman Bisher, “Also-rans’ comfort zone,” *The Atlanta Constitution*, 19 June 2000.

⁷³ Ed Sherman, “Only Legends Challenge Woods Now,” *Chicago Tribune*, 19 June 2000.

⁷⁴ Skip Bayless, “Woods Leaves No Doubt He’s In Class By Himself,” *Chicago Tribune*, 19 June 2000.

Overall, the 100th U.S. Open at Pebble Beach had the air of a changing-of-the-guard ceremony – much more decisively than when Tiger first shocked the golfing world with his twelve shot victory at the 1997 Masters. Tiger’s performance drew comparisons to Jack Nicklaus in his prime. In particular, writers resurrected Bobby Jones’ old quote about watching a young Nicklaus dominate The Masters in 1965: “He plays a game with which I am not familiar.”⁷⁵ Now, those words described Tiger. There was a certain poetry in the fact that Tiger cemented his place in history at the last U.S. Open Nicklaus played.⁷⁶ “Tiger Woods isn’t playing in the present,” Ed Sherman wrote. “[He] is playing against such legends as Jack Nicklaus, Arnold Palmer, Ben Hogan, and Sam Snead.” Sherman predicted that Tiger would overwrite all their records and become the standard “that future Tigers will shoot at.”⁷⁷

A few of Nicklaus’ records still stand, of course, including his record for most career major titles (18). So far, Tiger has won fifteen, including most recently the 2019 Masters at Augusta National. But starting on June 13, 2019, with every true golf fan looking on in eager anticipation, Tiger will have another chance to chase history and his idol at the sixth U.S. Open at Pebble Beach. No one should count him out.

⁷⁵ “Tiger Makes Golfing History,” *New York Times*, 20 June 2000.

⁷⁶ Nicklaus missed the cut, but as he walked to the 18th green, he was greeted with a long-standing ovation and shouts of, “We love you, Jack.” Tiger teed off a few minutes after Nicklaus’ round ended. Hunki Yun, “Golden Bear Bows Out As Tiger Toughens Up: Jack Nicklaus Thrilled The Adoring Fans In His U.S. Open Farewell Before Tiger Woods Extended His Lead at 9-Under,” *Orlando Sentinel*, 17 June 2000.

⁷⁷ Ed Sherman, “Only Legends Challenge Woods Now,” *Chicago Tribune*, 19 June 2000.