Trends in Cognitive Sciences

Review 'What' Is Happening in the Dorsal Visual Pathway

Erez Freud,^{1,2,*} David C. Plaut,^{1,2} and Marlene Behrmann^{1,2}

The cortical visual system is almost universally thought to be segregated into two anatomically and functionally distinct pathways: a ventral occipitotemporal pathway that subserves object perception, and a dorsal occipitoparietal pathway that subserves object localization and visually guided action. Accumulating evidence from both human and non-human primate studies, however, challenges this binary distinction and suggests that regions in the dorsal pathway contain object representations that are independent of those in ventral cortex and that play a functional role in object perception. We review here the evidence implicating dorsal object representations, and we propose an account of the anatomical organization, functional contributions, and origins of these representations in the service of perception.

Two Cortical Visual Pathways

One of the most influential conceptualizations within cognitive neuroscience asserts that the cortical visual system is segregated into two anatomically and functionally distinct pathways: the **ventral visual pathway** and the **dorsal visual pathway** (see Glossary). This division of labor, articulated in a seminal paper [1], and first inferred from lesion studies in monkeys and then in humans, proposes that the ventral pathway represents object shape and identity ('what'), whereas the dorsal pathway represents object location or spatial relationships ('where'). Roughly a decade later, in a revision of this framework [2], the functions of the two pathways were redefined not primarily by their input attributes, but instead by their output requirements, the key distinction being the role of the dorsal pathway in supporting visuomotor control ('how') rather than spatial representations *per se*.

A fundamental division of labor between the ventral and dorsal pathways has been supported by decades of research employing a range of diverse methods including neuropsychological investigations (e.g., [3–5]), single-unit recording (e.g., [6–9]), behavioral psychophysics (e.g., [10–13]), and functional imaging (e.g., [14–17]; [18] for review). Nonetheless, both the early 'what' versus 'where', and the more updated 'what' versus 'how' distinctions between the pathways, continue to be subject to challenge. One recent challenge focuses on the extent to which the dichotomy between the two pathways holds, given the distributed nature of **object representations** [19]. For example, in contrast to the prediction of the what/where segregation, the spatial properties of an object, including its position, size, and pose, can be reliably decoded from ventral cortex [20,21]. In complementary fashion, and also in contrast with the prediction of the what/how segregation, ventral visual pathway representations appear to be modulated by motor attributes, in the absence of visual feedback and even before movement initiation [22,23].

In the same way as the existing distinctions are coming under challenge with respect to ventral cortex, the same is true for dorsal cortex, with growing evidence of non-action-based (i.e., effector-independent) perceptual representations in the posterior regions of the dorsal pathway in both humans and non-human primates [24–35]. The aim of the current paper is to

Trends

In both human and non-human primates, the posterior portion of the dorsal pathway generates object-based representations that are unrelated to action planning or execution.

Patients with extensive lesions to the ventral pathway still generate object representations in the dorsal pathway, and evince perceptual sensitivity to object structural information.

Neuropsychological investigations with patients, and lesion studies with nonhuman primates, have demonstrated that a lesion to the posterior part of the parietal cortex leads to perceptual deficits, particularly in 3D perception and in the perception of structure from motion.

¹Department of Psychology, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA, USA ²Center for the Neural Basis of Cognition, Carnegie Mellon University and the University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA

*Correspondence: efreud@andrew.cmu.edu (E. Freud).



ARTICLE IN PRESS

Trends in Cognitive Sciences

CellPress

examine this latter challenge – namely, the role of the dorsal visual pathway in object perception and the extent to which dorsal object representations serve vision-for-perception in addition to the well-established vision-for-action [2]. To this end we first review the evidence for object representations in the dorsal pathway, and then propose that dorsal cortex subserves an anatomically defined gradient in which more-posterior and medial regions support moreperceptual representations, and more-anterior and lateral regions are more tuned to actionoriented representations.

Independent Object-Selective Representations in the Dorsal Visual Pathway

Investigations of both human and non-human primates have revealed object-related neural activity in the dorsal pathway that is independent of action planning or execution [36–39]. Importantly, while much of the object-selective activation overlaps with the visuomotor system [7,40] and probably reflects object representations that are in the service of action [41], at least some of the activation is dissociable from the visuomotor regions and is located more posteriorly or caudally within the parietal lobe [18,27,40,42–44]. This latter pattern of activation dovetails with the recent identification of a parietomedial temporal subdivision of the dorsal pathway, distinct from the parieto–premotor pathway, and which projects to the ventral pathway and may subserve visuospatial processing [42].

Interestingly, and counterintuitively, as revealed by fMRI in humans, representations of objects in the posterior dorsal pathway [i.e., IPS1 (intraparietal sulcus 1) and IPS2, Figure 1] appear to be relatively insensitive to various image transformations, even in a passive fixation task in which no action is required (e.g., size, retinal position, and viewpoint) [24]. This is especially surprising because invariance is considered to be both characteristic of ventral object representations [45,46] and a necessary component for successful object recognition. This evidence suggests that the posterior aspects of the parietal cortex are sensitive to object shape, even in the context of non-action based tasks. One possibility is that object-based responses in the dorsal pathway might reflect the obligatory implicit extraction of **affordance** information – in other words, again in the service of action [47]. This alternative is not likely, however, given that, the invariance to transformations holds for 2D objects that lack clear action-affordance associations [24]. In addition, the dorsal object-based response is not an artifact of attentional modulation [36], eye-movements [48], or non-shape depth cues because dorsal object sensitivity is observed even for 2D objects that lack depth information [38] and when eye-movements and attention are carefully controlled [24,49] (Box 1 for further discussion).

One obvious interpretation of the dorsal object-selectivity is that it might simply be a consequence of the anatomical and functional coupling between dorsal and ventral regions [19,42,50], perhaps via the vertical occipital fasciculus which connects the pathways posteriorly [51,52] or via the efferent projections that run from the posterior parietal lobe to the hippocampal formation and to parahippocampal areas in the ventral pathway [42,53] (Box 2). Indeed, the neural responses to action observation [54] as well as 3D object processing [27] in the ventral pathway are influenced by neural responses in the dorsal pathway [27,54], and the reverse (i.e., changes in dorsal pathway activation by ventral pathway responses) likely holds as well [55,56].

The key question, then, is whether the dorsal pathway is merely a downstream recipient of ventral cortex activation or whether it plays an independent, functional role in object perception. To support the latter interpretation, two criteria must be met. First, object representations in the dorsal pathway should be dissociable from those generated by the ventral pathway – specifically, these representations ought to be generated even in a situation in which ventral pathway representations are largely compromised. Second, object representations in the dorsal pathway ought to make some contribution to **visual perception**, indicating that these representations are necessary for intact perception.

Glossary

Affordance: a set of potential actions that are offered to the organism by the environment/object. According to Gibson [126], the process of object perception automatically includes the extraction of affordance values.

Dorsal visual pathway: this pathway extends from the primary visual cortex (V1) in the occipital lobe to the parietal lobe. The dorsal pathway is subdivided by the intraparietal sulcus (IPS) into several main sectors including the superior parietal lobule, inferior parietal lobule, and the supramarginal gyrus.

Object representation: the

response from a group of neurons that captures information about an object that is present in the input. A neural object representation can be derived for the purpose of action and/or perception.

Ventral visual pathway: this pathway extends from the primary visual cortex (V1) in the occipital lobe and courses through the occipitotemporal cortex to the anterior part of temporal lobe. The ventral pathway can be subdivided into early visual regions, the lateral aspect of the occipital and temporal lobes, and the ventral temporal cortex.

Visual agnosia: a

neuropsychological condition, usually the result of a lesion in the ventral visual pathway, in which the patient has impaired object recognition that cannot be accounted for by a reduction in visual acuity, a general loss of knowledge, or impaired intelligence. Visual object agnosia is usually subdivided into visual form agnosia (also known as a apperceptive agnosia) and associative agnosia. Visual form agnosia is characterized by a striking visual impairment in which the patients cannot even distinguish between simple shapes such as a circle and a square (e.g., in the context of matching task) or even copy simple shapes. Associative agnosia is a selective impairment in the recognition of visual stimuli, despite apparently relatively preserved visual perception of the stimuli [127]. We note that there is ongoing controversy about the validity of the apperceptive/ associative distinction.

Trends in Cognitive Sciences

CellPress



Trends in Cognitive Sciences

Box 1. Caveats in the Investigation of Dorsal Object Representations

The study of the nature of object representations in the dorsal pathway and their behavioral significance is still subject to significant caveats that compel caution in the interpretation of behavioral and neural data. First, in most studies of nonhuman primates, sensitivity of a neural population to features or objects is measured after the animals were trained using specific actions (e.g., saccadic match to sample task). This training could lead to stimulus-specific activity which relates to the action and not to the stimulus per se, as demonstrated by a study showing that selectivity to color could be found in the LIP (lateral interparietal), despite the fact that color is not represented in the dorsal pathway [108]. Importantly, this caveat is also relevant to human studies because participants are typically asked to report their perceptual experience using a specific action.

Another limitation is that it is hard to definitively tag a specific neural representation as a 'perceptual' or 'visuomotor' representation because object representations are surely needed for both functions. This problem is predominantly apparent in studies that measure the neural response without perceptual-behavioral assessments (e.g., [24]) (or vice versa, e.g., [79]). Correlational and neuropsychological studies that map the relation between the content or the representations and the observed behavior (perceptual or visuomotor) can provide some insights in this domain, but, nevertheless, given the interconnection between the two pathways, some of these results may still reflect propagation of information between the two pathways.

Finally, given the well-established role of the dorsal pathway in object 3D processing (see text for details), it worth mentioning that the investigation of object representations along this pathway can benefit from the use of real 3D objects. Some initial results already demonstrate that both visuomotor [109,110] and perceptual behaviors [111,112] are shaped by object realness, and this dimension can also alter the nature of the neural representations in the dorsal pathway [113].

Figure 1. Visuomotor and Perceptual Visual perception: the process by Representations in the Dorsal Pathwhich visual information from the way. The macaque (A) and human (B) environment is derived and brain from a lateral view. The arrangement interpreted. Visual perception can be of functional areas along the dorsal pathmeasured by a variety of methods way (white text) is schematically depicted including, for example, having an as defined by electrophysiological studies observer judge features of input such (monkeys) [44] and fMRI studies (humans) as size, depth, color, and shape, [105]. Yellow text refers to anatomical judge similarity between inputs, or report recognition of information in a landmarks. In the monkey brain, the AIP is assumed to support the transformation visual display. of visual information to motor plans [7], LIP is involved in computations related to eye movement, and the more posterior regions (CIP) mediate perceptual representations [27,38]. In the human brain, visuomotor transformations are supported by the phAIP and other regions along the lateral aspect of the IPS [14,18]. The 'what' subpathway is thought to comprise the more-posterior and medial regions (V7, IPS1, IPS2), which derive perceptual representations of object shape [24-26]. See text for more details and references. Abbreviations: AIP, anterior intraparietal; CIP, caudal intraparietal; CS, central sulcus; IPS, intraparietal sulcus; LIP, lateral intraparietal; MIP, medial intraparietal; PCG, precentral gyrus; PEF, parietal eye field; phAIP, putative human AIP; STS, superior temporal sulcus; TOS, transverse occipital sulcus. Brain reconstructions are based on the INIA19 template [106] and on the ICBM 152

mccauslandcenter.sc.edu/mricrogl/ home).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Trends in Cognitive Sciences

CellPress

Box 2. Anatomical and Functional Connectivity Between the Pathways

The dorsal and the ventral pathway are anatomically connected to each other by several major groups of axons. The parietomedial temporal pathway travels the caudal part of the inferior parietal lobule (cIPL, dorsal pathway) to the hippocampal formation and to parahippocampal areas which are part of the ventral pathway [114]. In addition to these direct connections, the cIPL is connected to these regions through a set of indirect connections that travel through limbic regions [115,116]. Importantly, the hippocampal formation is known to be involved in complex spatial processing and navigation, and the dorsal pathway input might also be engaged in such computations [42]. The lateral surfaces of the dorsal and ventral pathway are connected by two additional tracts: the posterior arcuate fasciculus (vOF) [51,117].

In addition to the anatomical connections between the two pathways, imaging studies have documented strong functional connections between the two pathways. For example, based on the anatomical infrastructure of the VOF [52], regions in intermediate visual areas in the dorsal (V3A/B) and ventral (hV4/VO-1) pathways are connected and exchange visual information. Moreover, strong functional connectivity between the two pathways was found in higher-level regions such as the posterior parietal cortex and the lateral occipital complex. Interestingly, this functional connectivity was modulated by the validity of the perceptual input (i.e., possible vs. impossible objects) [30]. Another study, which utilized effective functional connectivity analysis, showed that dorsal pathway activation was correlated with activation in the anterior ventral pathway [54] in a perceptual task that included action observation. Taken together, these findings further suggest that the two pathways are interconnected both functionally and anatomically, and these connections might be important in the process of object recognition. Future investigation employing advanced analytical methods (e.g., dynamic causal modeling [118]) may further clarify how object representations are shaped in the two pathways as a function of the connections between the two pathways.

With regard to the first criterion, the object-selective representations of the dorsal and ventral pathways exhibit some distinct properties. This is true in non-human primates in that the presentation of 3D objects generates neural responses in the dorsal pathway that have shorter latencies than those measured from the ventral pathway, undermining the possibility that the former representations result from the cascaded projection from the ventral pathway [41,57]. Similarly, in an event-related potential (ERP) study in humans, neural responses following action observation were observed in parietal regions after only 120 ms, whereas ventral pathway activation emerged later after 380 ms [54]. In addition, when visual awareness to a stimulus is reduced by continuous flash suppression, the object-selective activation is profoundly reduced in the ventral but not in the dorsal pathway [58].

The separability of dorsal versus ventral object representations is necessary, but not sufficient, to support the conclusion that the dorsal pathway plays a functional role in perception. Some support for a functional role of the dorsal cortex is provided by the significant correlation between the activation profile in dorsal pathway and behavioral performance [25,27,29,59]: for example, in one study, perceptual classification of the surface texture and arrangement of object parts was correlated with dorsal pathway activation [29]. In addition, complex patterns, including those of individual faces, engage dorsal area IPS, and the ensuing IPS activation pattern was correlated with the performance of participants in a visual search task [25].

Perhaps the strongest evidence for the independence of dorsal object representations comes from lesion studies in humans and non-humans primates: patients with lesions to the ventral pathway still retain some sensitivity to 3D structural object representations, as reflected in their perceptual abilities [26] and blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) activation in the intact dorsal pathway (Figure 2). Moreover, even for JW, a patient with extensive bilateral ventral lesions who was perceptually impaired even relative to other patients with **visual agnosia**, intact residual sensitivity to structural information was found. Consistently, close scrutiny of the fMRI profile of patient DF, an agnosic individual with extensive ventral damage, reveals dorsal object-selectivity bilaterally in response to objects compared with scrambled versions of object [5]. These findings suggest that dorsal pathway representations can be generated independently in the absence of a fully functional ventral pathway.

Trends in Cognitive Sciences

CellPress



Trends in Cognitive Sciences

Figure 2. Object Representation in the Dorsal Pathway. Experimental details and results from [26]. (A,B) Neural sensitivity to object 3D structural information in two patients with visual agnosia and controls in the ventral and dorsal pathway. (A) Pictures of possible and impossible objects were presented while participants completed a 1-back task. (B) The fMRI BOLD signal evoked by possible and impossible objects was measured in regions along the dorsal and ventral pathways. Sensitivity scores were calculated such that positive values reflect stronger activation for impossible objects compared to possible ones. In controls, regions of interest (ROIs) in ventral and dorsal pathways were sensitive to object possibility, as is evident from the greater response evoked by impossible than by possible objects. Patients with visual agnosia also exhibited preserved sensitivity to this information in the dorsal, but not in the ventral, pathway. (C,D) Behavioral sensitivity to object 3D information in patients and controls. (C) Participants performed pairwise depth classifications on two dots that were located on the stimuli. (D) Sensitivity to object possibility was reflected by better performance for possible objects (sensitivity values that are greater than zero). A similar level of sensitivity to object possibility was observed in patients with visual agnosia and controls.

The reverse, but complementary, pattern is that perceptual impairment – specifically of 3D objects and global form perception – results from dorsal pathway lesions, as shown in humans and non-human primates [27,43,60–63]. For example, in humans, patients with posterior parietal lesions exhibited marked perceptual deficits, particularly for 3D objects defined from binocular and monocular depth cues [63]. Correspondingly, non-human primate lesion studies that aimed to explore the causal role of dorsal regions in 3D perception showed that deactivation of the dorsal area CIP (caudal intraparietal) led to perceptual impairments related to 3D disparity perception as well as to decreased inferotemporal ventral activation [27].

'What' in the Dorsal Visual Pathway?

Taken together, the findings reviewed thus far indicate that dorsal object representations are dissociable from those generated in the ventral pathway, and play an independent and functional role in visual perception. In light of the above, we propose that visual perception should not be

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Trends in Cognitive Sciences



considered as the product of computations carried out solely by the ventral pathway, but instead as the joint outcome of the two pathways, both of which contribute to the representation of 'what' is perceived.

Importantly, this view does not undermine the well-established functional role of the dorsal pathway in spatial and visuomotor control, nor the importance and centrality of the ventral pathway to visual perception in general, and to object recognition in particular. In fact, the accumulation of evidence suggests that the dorsal pathway is composed of several subpathways [42], and that at least one of these pathways has a functional, and probably necessary, role in object perception.

Having established that the dorsal pathway is engaged in the service of object perception, several questions emerge. For example, (i) to what extent do these representations vary along the extent of the dorsal pathway? (ii) What are the precise properties that define the perceptual object representations in the dorsal pathway and how do they contribute to perception? We suggest below brief answers to these questions and then set out several challenges for future studies (see Outstanding Questions).

Perceptual (Caudal-Medial) to Motor (Rostral-Lateral) Representational Gradient

The dorsal pathway covers extensive portions of the parietal lobe and also some parts of the occipital lobe. Given this anatomical scope, it is not surprising that dorsal representations are not monolithic and may be shaped as a function of anatomical location and connectivity to other brain circuits [42]. Previous studies have already recognized the heterogeneity of neural representations in the dorsal pathway and have demonstrated that, under visually guided tasks or action observation tasks, more-posterior parts of the dorsal pathway are more sensitive to the spatial location of the objects, to object identity, and to gaze-centered representations, while more-anterior parts, which are closer to motor cortex, are more sensitive to effector (e.g., hand) information and to movement type (e.g., grasping versus reaching) [22,64-70] (for similar proposals in the context of ventral pathway representations see [71,72]). In line with a recent anatomical model [42], this posterior-anterior gradient is likely not limited to the caudal-rostral axis, but may also mapped on the medial-lateral axis. In particular, the more caudal-medial regions of the dorsal pathway (i.e., V7, IPS1-2 in humans; CIP in non-human primates) (Figure 1) are strongly connected to early visual cortex [73] and to ventral cortex [42], and represent morevisual or perceptual properties of the input. By contrast, the more-rostral part of the dorsal pathway (i.e., phAIP in humans, AIP in non-human primates), connected to the sensorimotor system [73] and coupled to motor regions, is shaped in service of visuomotor behaviors. These observations support the idea that parietal cortex plays a key role in transforming visual representations into motor representations.

This functional perception–action continuum is also well supported by empirical findings from investigations with non-human primates. Several monkey physiology studies have found that the more-posterior dorsal area CIP is causally involved in perceptual processes related to classifications of 3D objects [27,43], and deactivation of this region does not result in any visuomotor deficits [43]. By contrast, the dorsal anterior intraparietal area (AIP) and area F5a in the ventral premotor cortex (PMv), both of which play a role in object grasping, have neurons that respond selectively to 3D shapes. Furthermore, in both of these regions 3D-shape selective neurons are colocalized with neurons showing motor-related activity during object grasping in the dark. These findings support the conclusion that these more-anterior neural responses subserve action-based responses rather than perception *per se* [74]. Correspondingly, the activation profile of neurons in the AIP was correlated with behavior only after the perceptual choice was already made, suggesting that this region was not involved in 3D shape discrimination *per se* [75].

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Trends in Cognitive Sciences

CellPress

Box 3. Hemispheric Specialization of the Dorsal Pathway

The lateralization of tool-sensitivity to the left hemisphere [119] in right-handed individuals raises important questions about hemispheric differences in dorsal object representations [120,121]. Hemispheric differences are known to modulate visual representations in the ventral pathway. For example, greater face sensitivity is usually observed in the right hemisphere, while the left ventral pathway exhibits greater sensitivity to written words [122,123], although this lateralization is graded rather than absolute. It is not clear to what extent similar lateralization applies to perceptual representations in the posterior part of the dorsal pathway.

Neuropsychological findings provide some support for hemispheric specialization of the dorsal pathway. In a recent study, perceptual impairments following a parietal lesion were observed among patients with right, but not left, hemisphere lesions [62]. These observations are compatible with early neuropsychological investigations (see text for details [63]) and also with previous studies demonstrating that visual functions associated with the parietal cortex, such as visual attention [124] and mental rotation abilities [125], are usually more dominant in the right than left hemisphere. Hence, visual representations in the dorsal pathway may be shaped not only as a function of anatomy within each hemisphere (see main text for details) but also between hemispheres (left, motor; and right, spatial).

Consistent with the transformation from more-sensory to more motor-representations is the well-established dorsal activation to images of man-made tools compared to other classes of objects (e.g., [65,66]). This activation profile appears tied to the tool's associated motor programs (i.e., affordance) [76,77], although there is perhaps also some sensitivity to the visual attributes shared by different types of tools, such as elongation [78,79]. Also compatible with the tuning for visually guided action, this tool-preferred activation, although bilateral, is typically more robust in the left hemisphere [80] (Box 3 for further discussion on hemispheric specialization). The tool sensitivity along the dorsal pathway also corresponds to the caudal (perceptual)–rostral (motor) organization: whereas pictures of graspable objects and pictures of tools evoke similar responses in the posterior part of the parietal cortex, tools evoke greater BOLD activation than graspable objects in the anterior regions [81]. This pattern of activation might suggest that the visual representations in the anterior part of the IPS are tightly coupled with the motor programs associated with specific tools, whereas more-posterior regions are not constrained in the same manner.

The emerging perspective, then, substantiated by many studies as reviewed above, is one of a gradient of representation mapping more-visual to more action-based properties along the caudal-rostral and also the medial-lateral dorsal pathway. The heterogeneity of representations and variation along this gradient might account for some of the puzzling inconsistencies in the literature. As noted above, representational invariance (i.e., resilience to different image transformation such as retinal size, location, and viewpoint) is one of the signatures of object representations in the ventral pathway [45,82-85] but is also noted in some [25], albeit not all [41,49], investigations of the properties of the dorsal pathway. The inconsistencies between invariance versus sensitivity to visual transformations might reflect differences in the organization or homology of the parietal cortex across species [48,86,87] or differences in experimental techniques (fMRI vs. electrophysiological recording). However, and relevant to the issue at hand, they might also reflect differences between the regions that are often differently sampled along the rostral-caudal axis in the various investigations. This last possibility is supported by the finding that comprehensive mapping of adaptation (reduction in BOLD signal with repetition of the same stimulus) along the rostral-caudal axis uncovers invariant object representations only in the posterior (i.e., IPS1, IPS2) but not in the anterior part (i.e., IPS3, IPS4) of the IPS [24].

Dorsal Pathway Contribution to Perception - The Case of 3D Perception

The evidence thus far suggests that regions of the dorsal pathway (especially more-posterior and medial regions) contribute functionally to perception and may be computed independently of ventral cortex involvement. Two remaining important questions, then, concern what precisely is the nature of the dorsal neural representations, and why it is that, if object representations can be generated by dorsal cortex, patients with ventral lesions continue to be (sometimes

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Trends in Cognitive Sciences



profoundly) impaired at object recognition. While much of this is still poorly understood and obviously requires further investigation (see Outstanding Questions), converging evidence suggests that the dorsal pathway may play a unique role in 3D depth perception ([26,41,60,88,89]; [74,90] for review). Many studies have demonstrated that regions along the dorsal pathway are sensitive to depth information induced from a multiplicity of depth cues, including disparity [88,91], motion [87] and texture cues [89,92], and, to a lesser extent, from shading cues [92]. Importantly, dorsal pathway sensitivity to depth information can reflect the processing of object-based 3D structure defined from monocular depth cues, as is evident from the data showing greater activation for impossible objects that have invalid 3D structure [26,30], and from an fMRI study that found differential dorsal pathway responses for position information processing and for object 3D structure processing [93]. Finally, regions along the dorsal pathway were also found to be involved in mental rotation [94], which requires the derivation of 3D structure.

While the derivation of a volumetric, 3D representation is entirely sensible in the service of visuomotor control [41], 3D information can both facilitate and contribute to object recognition as well. 3D depth information is particularly important for the derivation of an object structural description in the face of variations in viewing conditions and, consequently, may play a useful role in object perception [95] although this information may not suffice for fine-grained object recognition.

Accordingly, 3D representations, some in the service of perception, were also observed in nonhuman primate studies showing that dorsal object representations have shorter latencies but are not as detailed as the corresponding ventral representations [27,49,74]. These findings may provide important clues about the contribution of the dorsal pathway to visual perception. In particular, dorsal object representations may provide coarse (albeit necessary) input to the ventral pathway about object structure and global form, potentially from the rapid propagation of magnocellular signals. Such a mechanism could account for the residual perceptual abilities found in patients with visual agnosia [26], and also for the perceptual deficits associated with parietal lesions [60,62]. This assumption is compatible with neuropsychological investigation in which patients with occipitoparietal (i.e., dorsal pathway) and occipitotemporal (i.e., ventral pathway) lesions were asked to identify objects defined from disparity. While patients with occipitotemporal lesions were able to detect the existence of an object, but not to identify it, patients with occipitoparietal lesions were not even able to detect the object [63]. This observation (also see [27,43,60,62]) further suggests that the representations in the dorsal pathway play an important role in 3D perception, but nevertheless are not sufficient, in and of themselves, to support normal object recognition.

The findings of 3D representations in the dorsal pathway mesh well with the claims of a perceptual-motor gradient. In particular, 3D object representations are found in non-human primates in both the anterior part of the parietal cortex (AIP) as well as in more-posterior parts of it (CIP; LIP). However, while AIP representations were found not to be engaged in a 3D perceptual object discrimination task, and only in service of visuomotor control [75], object representations in the more-posterior CIP were associated with perceptual behavior [27].

Dorsal Pathway Contribution to Perception - Beyond 3D Structure

Dorsal pathway representations may encode and contribute to the perception of spatial properties beyond 3D structure. For example, in a same-different face detection task, configural but not featural processing of faces was uncovered in the posterior dorsal pathway, as demonstrated by the BOLD activation profile. This activation was also correlated with perceptual performance and led to greater functional connectivity with the fusiform face area (FFA; perhaps via medial anatomical pathway [42]). Moreover, when transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)

ARTICLE IN PRESS

Trends in Cognitive Sciences

CellPress

was applied to these regions, there was selective interference of configural processing, further suggesting the dorsal pathway processing is essential for the intact perception of object spatial information [35].

Structure from motion, or the extraction of the shape of the object by exploiting the different velocities of different points on the surface of the object in the input (for review see [96]), may also be derived by dorsal cortex. Studies in humans and in non-human primates have shown that movement-selective regions (middle temporal area, MT; and medial superior temporal area, MST), that are considered to be part of the dorsal pathway (but see [97] who suggests that these regions may construct a third, dissociated, pathway), are involved in the extraction of shape from motion, and that this sensitivity is beyond simple sensitivity to optic flow or sensitivity to local stimulus components [98]. The derivation of shape from motion appears to engage regions in the posterior parietal cortex in humans [87,99], and comparison between patients with occipitoparietal and occipitotemporal lesions showed that patients with occipitoparietal lesions were selectively impaired in extracting 3D structure from motion and in the detection of global motion patterns. These patients were, however, still able to successfully detect local motion and to extract 2D shape from motion, further pointing to the involvement of the dorsal pathway in the perception of 3D structure from motion. By contrast, patients with an occipitotemporal lesion were still able to extract 3D structure from motion, despite their impairment in recognition of these shapes [63]. This pattern of behavior further demonstrates that, at least under some conditions, object recognition is achieved by an integration of dorsal and ventral representations [96].

Origin of the Dorsal 'What' Representations

We have suggested that the caudal-rostral and medial-lateral object representation axes may derive from the differential connectivity patterns to visual (early visual cortex and ventral cortex) and motor cortices, respectively. In addition to these anatomical constraints, dorsal object representations might also rely on functional associations between motor and perceptual experiences. Despite the paucity of research in this field, some initial support for this hypothesis comes from developmental studies showing that tool sensitivity is evident in the dorsal pathway early in life [100], but that this sensitivity undergoes further refinement between the ages of 4 and 8 years [101], suggesting that sensitivity to objects in the dorsal pathway may continue to be shaped by motor experience and learning. This conclusion also converges with recent studies showing that visual perception is shaped and modulated by motor and exploration experiences during infancy. For example, the level of motor development in infants was found to be correlated with better 3D object representation [102] and with face preference [103]. Moreover, when infants aged 3 months were trained to self-produce reaching movements directed to objects, their spontaneous face preference increased as well [104]. The neural underpinnings of the perceptual-motor axis and its ontogenetic basis is still unknown and, although connectivity constraints may play a key initial role in establishing functional organization, interactions between perceptual and visuomotor representations along the dorsal pathway may emerge with experience and learning. Much research is still necessary to understand the underpinnings of the gradient, and its maturation and increasing refinement over the course of development.

Concluding Remarks and Future Directions

The claim segregation and functional independence of the visual dorsal and ventral cortical pathways has provided one of the most influential frameworks for understanding the visual system over the past four decades, although the exact nature of the segregation has been reevaluated, revised, and reconsidered over this time. Recent empirical evidence calls into question the binary distinctions between the two pathways, and suggests that the dorsal pathway is involved in – and is possibly even necessary for – visual perception. Moreover, widespread empirical findings indicate that object representations are not monolithic even within

Outstanding Questions

There is ongoing controversy concerning the nature of object representations in the dorsal pathway. Are dorsal object representations invariant with respect to different visual transformations such as size, position and pose? Does representational invariance follow the caudal-rostral axis of the occipitoparietal lobe?

The caudal-rostral representational axis proposed here demands further characterization. Does sensitivity to object shape decrease as one moves forward on the axis? Correspondingly, does sensitivity to visual elements relevant to visuomotor control increase as a function of being more anterior along the axis?

Despite the accumulating evidence for the necessary involvement of the dorsal pathway in object perception, it is not yet clear what unique contribution to visual perception is offered by these cortical regions beyond 3D structural representations – and how these dorsal representations interact with and alter ventral pathway representations?

The developmental trajectory of the ventral pathway and its associated perceptual processes has been intensively characterized in the last decade. Surprisingly, only a handful of studies have investigated the development of visual object representations in the dorsal pathway. Additional investigations along these lines could answer several important questions. What are the environmental pressures and life experiences that shape dorsal object representations? Is the perceptual contribution of object representations in the dorsal pathway changed as function of age and experience?

Trends in Cognitive Sciences

the dorsal pathway, and are differentially shaped along the perceptual (caudal-medial)-motor (rostral-lateral) axis, with the more-caudal-medial regions being responsive to the visual properties of the input and the more-rostral-lateral regions tuned more in the service of the visuomotor output. In light of the emerging evidence, visual perception should be studied not simply as a function of one (ventral) 'what' pathway, but instead as the joint outcome of the processing and coordination of different 'what' regions in both cortical visual pathways.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a Yad-Hanadiv Postdoctoral Fellowship to E.F., a grant from the Israel Science Foundation to E.F. (65/15), a grant from the National Science Foundation to M.B. (BCS-1354350), a grant (SBE0542013) from the Temporal Dynamics of Learning Center (PI, G. Cottrell; Co-PI, M.B.) and by a grant from the Pennsylvania Department of Health Commonwealth Universal Research Enhancement Program (D.C.P.).

References

- Ungerleider, L.G. and Mishkin, M. (1982) Two cortical visual 1. systems. In Analysis of Visual Behavior (Ingle, D.J. et al., eds), pp. 549-586, MIT Press
- 2 for perception and action. Trends Neurosci 15, 20-25
- З. Goodale, M.A. et al. (1991) A neurological dissociation between perceiving objects and grasping them. Nature 349, 154-156
- Karnath, H-O. et al. (2009) The anatomy of object recognition visual form agnosia caused by medial occipitotemporal stroke. J. Neurosci. 29, 5854-5862
- 5. James, T.W. et al. (2003) Ventral occipital lesions impair object recognition but not object-directed grasping: an fMRI study. Brain 126, 2463-2475
- Sakata, H. et al. (1995) Neural mechanisms of visual guidance of hand action in the parietal cortex of the monkey. Cereb. Cortex 5, 429-438
- 7. Murata, A. et al. (2000) Selectivity for the shape, size, and orientation of objects for grasping in neurons of monkey parietal area AIP. J. Neurophysiol. 83, 2580-2601
- 8. Gallese, V. et al. (1994) Deficit of hand preshaping after muscimol njection in monkey parietal cortex. Neuroreport 5, 1525-1529
- Fogassi, L. et al. (2001) Cortical mechanism for the visual guid-9. ance of hand grasping movements in the monkey. Brain 124, 571-586
- 10. Ganel, T. and Goodale, M.A. (2003) Visual control of action but not perception requires analytical processing of object shape. Nature 426, 664-667
- 11. Adlioti. S. et al. (1995) Size-contrast illusions deceive the eye but not the hand. Curr. Biol. 5, 679-685
- 12. Ganel, T. et al. (2008) Visual coding for action violates fundamental psychophysical principles. Curr Biol 18, R599-R601
- 13. Chen, J. et al. (2015) Differences in the effects of crowding on size perception and grip scaling in densely cluttered 3-D scenes. Psychol, Sci. 26, 58-69
- 14. Culham, J.C. et al. (2003) Visually guided grasping produces fMRI activation in dorsal but not ventral stream brain areas. Exp. Brain Res. 153, 180-189
- 15. Cavina-Pratesi, C. et al. (2010) Functional magnetic resonance imaging reveals the neural substrates of arm transport and grip formation in reach-to-grasp actions in humans. J. Neurosci. 30, 10306-10323
- 16. Gallivan, J.P. et al. (2011) Decoding action intentions from preparatory brain activity in human parieto-frontal networks. J. Neurosci. 31, 9599-9610
- 17. Monaco, S. et al. (2014) Functional magnetic resonance imaging adaptation reveals the cortical networks for processing grasprelevant object properties. Cereb. Cortex 24, 1540-1554
- 18. Gallivan, J.P. and Culham, J.C. (2015) Neural coding within human brain areas involved in actions. Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 33, 141-149
- 19. de Haan, E.H.F. and Cowey, A. (2011) On the usefulness of what' and 'where' pathways in vision. Trends Cogn. Sci. 15, 460-466

- 20. Hong, H. et al. (2016) Explicit information for category-orthogonal object properties increases along the ventral stream. Nat. Neurosci. 19, 613-622
- Goodale, M.A. and Milner, A.D. (1992) Separate visual pathways 21. Zoccolan, D. et al. (2007) Trade-off between object selectivity and tolerance in monkey inferotemporal cortex. J. Neurosci. 27, 12292-12307
 - 22. Gallivan, J.P. et al. (2013) Activity patterns in the category-selective occipitotemporal cortex predict upcoming motor actions. Eur. J. Neurosci. 38, 2408-2424
 - 23. Astafiev, S.V. et al. (2004) Extrastriate body area in human occipital cortex responds to the performance of motor actions. Nat. Neurosci. 7, 542-548
 - 24. Konen, C.S. and Kastner, S. (2008) Two hierarchically organized neural systems for object information in human visual cortex. Nat. Neurosci. 11, 224-231
 - 25. Jeong, S.K. and Xu, Y. (2016) Behaviorally relevant abstract object identity representation in the human parietal cortex. J. Neurosci, 36, 1607-1619
 - 26. Freud, E. et al. (2015) Three-dimensional representations of objects in dorsal cortex are dissociable from those in ventral cortex, Cereb, Cortex, Published online October 18, 2015, http:// dx.doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhv229
 - 27. Van Dromme, I.C. et al. (2016) Posterior parietal cortex drives inferotemporal activations during three-dimensional object vision. PLoS Biol. 14, e1002445
 - 28. Zachariou, V. et al. (2014) Ventral and dorsal visual stream contributions to the perception of object shape and object location. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 26, 189-209
 - 29. Zachariou, V. et al. (2015) Common dorsal stream substrates for the mapping of surface texture to object parts and visual spatial processing. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 27, 2442-2461
 - 30. Freud, E. et al. (2015) Sensitivity to object impossibility in the human visual cortex: evidence from functional connectivity. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 27, 1029-1043
 - 31. Bracci, S. and Op de Beeck, H. (2016) Dissociations and associations between shape and category representations in the two visual pathways. J. Neurosci. 36, 432-444
 - 32. Bettencourt, K.C. and Xu, Y. (2016) Decoding the content of visual short-term memory under distraction in occipital and parietal areas. Nat. Neurosci. 19, 150-157
 - 33. Xu, Y. (2009) Distinctive neural mechanisms supporting visual object individuation and identification. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 21, 511-518
 - 34. Freedman, D.J. and Assad, J.A. (2009) Distinct encoding of spatial and nonspatial visual information in parietal cortex, J. Neurosci, 29, 5671-5680
 - 35. Zachariou, V. et al. (2016) Spatial mechanisms within the dorsal visual pathway contribute to the configural processing of faces. Cereb. Cortex. Published online August 13, 2016. http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/cercor/bhw224
 - 36. Kourtzi, Z. and Kanwisher, N. (2000) Cortical regions involved in perceiving object shape, J. Neurosci, 20, 3310-3318

CellPress

Trends in Cognitive Sciences

- Grill-Spector, K. et al. (1999) Differential processing of objects under various viewing conditions in the human lateral occipital complex. Neuron 24, 187–203
- Sereno, A.B. and Maunsell, J.H.R. (1998) Shape selectivity in primate lateral intraparietal cortex. *Nature* 395, 500–503
- Faillenot, I. *et al.* (1999) Human brain activity related to the perception of spatial features of objects. *NeuroImage* 10, 114–124
- Sakata, H. et al. (2005) Toward an understanding of the neural processing for 3D shape perception. *Neuropsychologia* 43, 151–161
- Srivastava, S. et al. (2009) A distinct representation of threedimensional shape in macaque anterior intraparietal area: fast, metric, and coarse. J. Neurosci. 29, 10613–10626
- 42. Kravitz, D.J. et al. (2011) A new neural framework for visuospatial processing. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 12, 217–230
- Tsutsui, K-I. *et al.* (2001) Integration of perspective and disparity cues in surface-orientation-selective neurons of area CIP. *J. Neurophysiol.* 86, 2856–2867
- 44. Grefkes, C. and Fink, G.R. (2005) The functional organization of the intraparietal sulcus in humans and monkeys. *J. Anat.* 207, 3–17
- 45. Grill-Spector, K. and Malach, R. (2004) The human visual cortex. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 27, 649–677
- Plaut, D.C. and Farah, M.J. (1990) Visual object representation: interpreting neurophysiological data within a computational framework. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 2, 320–343
- Grèzes, J. et al. (2003) Objects automatically potentiate action: an fMRI study of implicit processing. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 17, 2735–2740
- Culham, J.C. and Kanwisher, N.G. (2001) Neuroimaging of cognitive functions in human parietal cortex. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 11, 157–163
- Janssen, P. et al. (2008) Coding of shape and position in macaque lateral intraparietal area. J. Neurosci. 28, 6679–6690
- 50. Borra, E. et al. (2008) Cortical connections of the macaque anterior intraparietal (AIP) area. Cereb. Cortex 18, 1094–1111
- Yeatman, J.D. et al. (2014) The vertical occipital fasciculus: a century of controversy resolved by in vivo measurements. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111, E5214–E5223
- Takemura, H. et al. (2016) A major human white matter pathway between dorsal and ventral visual cortex. Cereb. Cortex 26, 2205–2214
- Ding, S-L. *et al.* (2000) Inferior parietal lobule projections to the presubiculum and neighboring ventromedial temporal cortical areas. *J. Comp. Neurol.* 425, 510–530
- Sim, E-J. *et al.* (2015) When action observation facilitates visual perception: activation in visuo-motor areas contributes to object recognition. *Cereb. Cortex* 25, 2907–2918
- Kristensen, S. et al. (2016) Temporal frequency tuning reveals interactions between the dorsal and ventral visual streams. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 28, 1295–1302
- Garcea, F.E. *et al.* (2016) Resilience to the contralateral visual field bias as a window into object representations. *Cortex* 81, 14–23
- Verhoef, B-E. *et al.* (2011) Synchronization between the end stages of the dorsal and the ventral visual stream. *J. Neurophysiol.* 105, 2030–2042
- Fang, F. and He, S. (2005) Cortical responses to invisible objects in the human dorsal and ventral pathways. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8, 1380–1385
- Verhoef, B-E. et al. (2015) Choice-related activity in the anterior intraparietal area during 3-D structure categorization. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 27, 1104–1115
- Gillebert, C.R. et al. (2015) 3D shape perception in posterior cortical atrophy: a visual neuroscience perspective. J. Neurosci. 35, 12673–12692
- Lestou, V. et al. (2014) A dorsal visual route necessary for global form perception: evidence from neuropsychological fMRI. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 26, 621–634
- Murphy, A.P. et al. (2016) Lesions to right posterior parietal cortex impair visual depth perception from disparity but not motion cues. *Phil. Trans R. Soc. B* 371, 20150263

- Vaina, L.M. (1989) Selective impairment of visual motion interpretation following lesions of the right occipito-parietal area in humans. *Biol. Cybern.* 61, 347–359
- Roth, Z.N. and Zohary, E. (2015) Position and identity information available in fMRI patterns of activity in human visual cortex. J. Neurosci. 35, 11559–11571
- Shmuelof, L. and Zohary, E. (2005) Dissociation between ventral and dorsal fMRI activation during object and action recognition. *Neuron* 47, 457–470
- Stark, A. and Zohary, E. (2008) Parietal mapping of visuomotor transformations during human tool grasping. *Cereb. Cortex* 18, 2358–2368
- 67. Diedrichsen, J. et al. (2005) Neural correlates of reach errors. J. Neurosci. 25, 9919–9931
- Beurze, S.M. *et al.* (2009) Spatial and effector processing in the human parietofrontal network for reaches and saccades. *J. Neurophysiol.* 101, 3053–3062
- Beurze, S.M. *et al.* (2010) Reference frames for reach planning in human parietofrontal cortex. *J. Neurophysiol.* 104, 1736–1745
- Fabbri, S. *et al.* (2016) Disentangling representations of object and grasp properties in the human brain. *J. Neurosci.* 36, 7648– 7662
- Weiner, K.S. and Grill-Spector, K. (2011) Neural representations of faces and limbs neighbor in human high-level visual cortex: evidence for a new organization principle. *Psychol. Res.* 77, 74–97
- 72. Lingnau, A. and Downing, P.E. (2015) The lateral occipitotemporal cortex in action. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 19, 268–277
- Stepniewska, I. *et al.* (2016) Cortical connections of the caudal portion of posterior parietal cortex in prosimian galagos. *Cereb. Cortex* 26, 2753–2777
- Theys, T. et al. (2015) Shape representations in the primate dorsal visual stream. Front. Comput. Neurosci. 9, 43
- Verhoef, B-E. et al. (2010) Contribution of inferior temporal and posterior parietal activity to three-dimensional shape perception. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 909–913
- Valyear, K.F. et al. (2012) fMRI repetition suppression for familiar but not arbitrary actions with tools. J. Neurosci. 32, 4247–4259
- Macdonald, S.N. and Culham, J.C. (2015) Do human brain areas involved in visuomotor actions show a preference for real tools over visually similar non-tools? *Neuropsychologia* 77, 35–41
- Sakuraba, S. et al. (2012) Does the human dorsal stream really process a category for tools? J. Neurosci. 32, 3949–3953
- Almeida, J. *et al.* (2014) Grasping with the eyes: the role of elongation in visual recognition of manipulable objects. *Cogn. Affect. Behav. Neurosci.* 14, 319–335
- Chao, L.L. and Martin, A. (2000) Representation of manipulable man-made objects in the dorsal stream. *NeuroImage* 12, 478– 484
- Mruczek, R.E. et al. (2013) The representation of tool and nontool object information in the human intraparietal sulcus. J. Neurophysiol. 109, 2883–2896
- Grill-Spector, K. and Weiner, K.S. (2014) The functional architecture of the ventral temporal cortex and its role in categorization. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 15, 536–548
- Kourtzi, Z. et al. (2003) Representation of the perceived 3-D object shape in the human lateral occipital complex. Cereb. Cortex 13, 911–920
- Nishimura, M. et al. (2015) Size precedes view: developmental emergence of invariant object representations in lateral occipital complex. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 27, 474–491
- Rust, N.C. and DiCarlo, J.J. (2010) Selectivity and tolerance ('invariance') both increase as visual information propagates from cortical area V4 to IT. J. Neurosci. 30, 12978–12995
- Konen, C.S. et al. (2013) Functional organization of human posterior parietal cortex: grasping- and reaching-related activations relative to topographically organized cortex. J. Neurophysiol. 109, 2897–2908
- Vanduffel, W. et al. (2002) Extracting 3D from motion: differences in human and monkey intraparietal cortex. Science 298, 413–415

CellPress

Trends in Cognitive Sciences

CellPress

- Georgieva, S. *et al.* (2009) The processing of three-dimensional shape from disparity in the human brain. *J. Neurosci.* 29, 727–742
- Georgieva, S.S. et al. (2008) The extraction of 3D shape from texture and shading in the human brain. *Cereb. Cortex* 18, 2416–2438
- Orban, G.A. (2011) The extraction of 3D shape in the visual system of human and nonhuman primates. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 34, 361–388
- Janssen, P. *et al.* (2000) Selectivity for 3D shape that reveals distinct areas within macaque inferior temporal cortex. *Science* 288, 2054–2056
- Nelissen, K. et al. (2009) The extraction of depth structure from shading and texture in the macaque brain. PLoS ONE 4, e8306
- Durand, J.B. et al. (2009) Parietal regions processing visual 3D shape extracted from disparity. Neuroimage 46, 1114–1126
- 94. Gauthier, I. et al. (2002) BOLD activity during mental rotation and viewpoint-dependent object recognition. Neuron 34, 161–171
- Cox, D.D. (2014) Do we understand high-level vision? Curr. Opin. Neurobiol. 25, 187–193
- 96. Farivar, R. (2009) Dorsal-ventral integration in object recognition. Brain Res. Rev. 61, 144–153
- Gilaie-Dotan, S. (2016) Visual motion serves but is not under the purview of the dorsal pathway. *Neuropsychologia* 89, 378–392
 Sugihara, H. *et al.* (2002) Response of MSTd neurons to simulated
- 3D orientation of rotating planes. J. Neurophysiol. 87, 273–285
- Erlikhman, G. et al. (2016) The neural representation of objects formed through the spatiotemporal integration of visual transients. *Neuroimage* Published online March 24, 2016. http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.03.044
- Dekker, T. *et al.* (2011) Dorsal and ventral stream activation and object recognition performance in school-age children. *Neuro-Image* 57, 659–670
- 101. Kersey, A.J. *et al.* (2016) Development of tool representations in the dorsal and ventral visual object processing pathways. *Cereb. Cortex* 26, 3135–3145
- 102. Soska, K.C. *et al.* (2010) Systems in development: motor skill acquisition facilitates three-dimensional object completion. *Dev. Psychol.* 46, 129–138
- Libertus, K. and Needham, A. (2014) Face preference in infancy and its relation to motor activity. *Int. J. Behav. Dev.* 38, 529–538
- 104. Libertus, K. and Needham, A. (2011) Reaching experience increases face preference in 3-month-old infants. *Dev. Sci.* 14, 1355–1364
- 105. Joly, O. and Frankó, E. (2014) Neuroimaging of amblyopia and binocular vision: a review. *Front. Integ. Neurosci.* 8, 62
- 106. Rohlfing, T. et al. (2012) The INIA19 template and NeuroMaps Atlas for primate brain image parcellation and spatial normalization. Front. Neuroinformatics 6, 27
- 107. Fonov, V. et al. (2011) Unbiased average age-appropriate atlases for pediatric studies. *NeuroImage* 54, 313–327
- Toth, L.J. and Assad, J.A. (2002) Dynamic coding of behaviourally relevant stimuli in parietal cortex. *Nature* 415, 165–168

- 109. Freud, E. and Ganel, T. (2015) Visual control of action directed toward two-dimensional objects relies on holistic processing of object shape. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 22, 1377–1382
- 110. Holmes, S.A. and Heath, M. (2013) Goal-directed grasping: the dimensional properties of an object influence the nature of the visual information mediating aperture shaping. *Brain Cogn.* 82, 18–24
- 111. Snow, J.C. et al. (2014) Real-world objects are more memorable than photographs of objects. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 8, 837
- 112. Chainay, H. and Humphreys, G.W. (2001) The real-object advantage in agnosia: evidence for a role of surface and depth information in object recognition. *Cogn. Neuropsychol.* 18, 175–191
- 113. Snow, J.C. *et al.* (2011) Bringing the real world into the fMRI scanner: repetition effects for pictures versus real objects. *Sci. Rep.* 1, 130
- Rockland, K.S. and Van Hoesen, G.W. (1999) Some temporal and parietal cortical connections converge in CA1 of the primate hippocampus. *Cereb. Cortex* 9, 232–237
- 115. Kobayashi, Y. and Amaral, D.G. (2003) Macaque monkey retrosplenial cortex. II. Cortical afferents. J. Comp. Neurol. 466, 48–79
- 116. Kobayashi, Y. and Amaral, D.G. (2007) Macaque monkey retrosplenial cortex. III. Cortical efferents. J. Comp. Neurol. 502, 810–833
- Weiner, K.S. *et al.* (2016) The posterior arcuate fasciculus and the vertical occipital fasciculus. *Cortex* Published online March 31, 2016. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2016.03.012
- Friston, K.J. et al. (2003) Dynamic causal modelling. Neuroimage 19, 1273–1302
- 119. Lewis, J.W. (2006) Cortical networks related to human use of tools. *Neuroscientist* 12, 211–231
- 120. Culham, J.C. *et al.* (2006) The role of parietal cortex in visuomotor control: what have we learned from neuroimaging? *Neuropsychologia* 44, 2668–2684
- 121. Husain, M. and Nachev, P. (2007) Space and the parietal cortex. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 11, 30–36
- 122. Behrmann, M. and Plaut, D.C. (2013) Distributed circuits, not circumscribed centers, mediate visual recognition. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* 5, 210–219
- Puce, A. *et al.* (1996) Differential sensitivity of human visual cortex to faces, letterstrings, and textures: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J. Neurosci.* 16, 5205–5215
- 124. Kastner, S. and Ungerleider, L.G. (2000) Mechanisms of visual attention in the human cortex. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* 23, 315–341
- Ratcliff, G. (1979) Spatial thought, mental rotation and the right cerebral hemisphere. *Neuropsychologia* 17, 49–54
- 126. Gibson, J.J. (1979) The Ecological Approach to Visual Perception, Houghton Mifflin
- 127. Farah, M.J. (1994) Visual Agnosia. (2nd), MIT Press/Bradford Books