

PNNL-SA-155679

Achieving Electricity Resilience

September 2, 2020

Jeff Dagle, PE Chief Electrical Engineer Electricity Security Group / Resilience Team Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

PNNL is operated by Battelle for the U.S. Department of Energy





- Measures taken to achieve reliability
- Lessons learned from prior North American blackouts
 - Case study #1: August 10, 1996
 - Case study #2: August 14, 2003
- What is resilience, and how is that different than reliability?
- Concluding remarks

2



Basic Reliability Approach

• "The interconnected power system shall be operated at all times so that general system instability, uncontrolled separation, cascading outages, or voltage collapse will not occur as a result of any single contingency or multiple contingencies of sufficiently high likelihood."

- Systematically consider the impact of *credible contingencies* on the stable operation of the power system
 - Shorthand notation: "N-1" (removing one of N components)
 - In reality, there are different categories of contingencies considered
- Achieved by:
 - Generation having sufficient operating reserve, spinning reserve
 - Strict adherence to transfer capacity limits on the transmission grid
 - ✓ Determined through comprehensive planning studies
 - Operations discipline, detailed procedures, coordination
 - When all else fails, rely on emergency controls to limit cascading failure (e.g., under frequency load shedding)
- Operational Priorities
 - Safety (public, workers) 1.
 - Protect equipment from damage 2.
 - 3. Reliability of the bulk interconnected system
 - Optimize the economical operation of the system 4.

WECC Minimum Operating Reliability Criteria



Restoration

- Black start restoration
 - A significant fraction of grid generation assets require offsite power in order to function
 - ✓ Operating auxiliaries associated with the power plant itself
 - ✓ Providing reference for frequency and/or voltage regulation
 - "Black start" generators can begin operating without any offsite power
 - Black start restoration plans give priority to restarting as many generating assets as possible within the shortest amount of time
 - ✓ Requires transmission paths between the black start units and the other generators that are available
- Load restoration priority
 - Depending on the nature of the physical damage, restoration is prioritized associated with the criticality of the facilities
 - \checkmark Taking into account any on-site generation that might already be available and operating effectively
 - \checkmark For large-scale events, priority is given to assets that are critical in providing support to the overall restoration process
 - Goal is to restore as many customers as quickly as possible



Examples of Major North American Blackouts: Uncontrolled Cascading Failures

Date	Location	Load Interrup
November 9, 1965	Northeast	20,000
July 13, 1977	New York	6,000
December 22, 1982	West Coast	12,350
March 13, 1989	Quebec	21,350
January 17, 1994	California	7,500
December 14, 1994	Wyoming, Idaho	9,336
July 2, 1996	Wyoming, Idaho	11,743
August 10, 1996	Western Interconnection	30,489
June 25, 1998	Midwest	950
August 14, 2003	Northeast	61,800
September 8, 2011	San Diego	7,835





- Measures taken to achieve reliability
- Lessons learned from prior North American blackouts
 - Case study #1: August 10, 1996
 - Case study #2: August 14, 2003
- What is resilience, and how is that different than reliability?
- Concluding remarks

6



August 10, 1996: At the time, the largest blackout in the history of the North American power grid. (Current ranking: #2)

Conditions prior to the blackout:

- Above average water year
 - Extensive hydro generation available in Canada
- Lower Columbia generation not available
 - Water bypass for salmon migration
- Key transmission assets out of service for maintenance in Seattle-Portland area
- Temperatures above 100°F in California
- Transmission system experiencing abnormally high transfers, operating in unusual pattern that hadn't been studied





Wide Area Measurements Captured System Events – Useful to Support Investigation



Generator Response: Loss of McNary units critical factor

Pacific

Northwest



Time in Seconds

9



Four Electrical Islands Formed



Source: NERC 10



Blackout Investigation Findings

- Right-of-way maintenance (tree trimming) was inadequate
- The system was being operated in a condition in which a single contingency outage would overload parallel transmission lines
 - Because adequate operating studies had not been conducted
- Outages in the hours leading up to the blackout were not fully communicated to other utilities
 - Each deemed insignificant at the time
 - With this information, other utilities might have reduced loadings on lines or adjusted local generation as precautionary measures to protect against the weakened state of the system
- McNary units tripped due to exciter protection error
 - These units were responding to reduced voltage
 - Other generators in the area did not respond to the extent assumed in previous planning studies
- System break-up caused significant generation loss



Lesson Learned: Modeling Errors



12



Case Study #2: August 14, 2003















August 14 Conditions Prior to Blackout

- Planned outages
 - Cook 2, Davis Besse nuclear plants
 - East Lake 4, and Monroe 1
- Transfers high to northeast U.S. + Ontario
 - Not unusually so and not above transfer limits
- Critical voltage day
 - Voltages within limits
 - Operators taking action to boost voltages
- Frequency
 - Typical for a summer day
- System was within limits prior to 3:05 pm, on both actual and contingency basis





Warm But Not Unusual for August



15



1:31:34pm – Eastlake Unit 5 Trips





East Lake 5 Exciter Failure Causes Trip







2:02pm – Transmission line trips in southwestern Ohio

Cause: Brush Fire

Pacific Northwest NATIONAL LABORATORY

Significance: Contingency analysis system at the Midcontinent Independent System Operator failed due to incomplete topology information (software glitch)





FirstEnergy (FE) Computer Failures

- 2:14 pm Alarm logger fails and operators are not aware
 - No further alarms to FE operators
- 2:20 pm Several remote consoles fail
- 2:41 pm Energy Management System (EMS) server hosting alarm processor and other functions fails to backup
- 2:54 pm Backup server fails
 - EMS continues to function but with very degraded performance
 - FE system data passed normally to others: MISO and AEP
 - Automatic Generator Control (AGC) function degraded and strip charts flat-lined
- 3:08 pm Reboot of EMS appears to work, but alarm process not tested and still in failed condition
- No contingency analysis of events during the day including loss of East Lake 5 and subsequent line trips
- FE received calls from MISO, AEP, and PJM indicating problems on the FE system but did not recognize evolving emergency



3:05:41pm – Harding – Chamberlain 345kV line trip





3:32:03pm – Hanna – Juniper 345kV line trip





Hanna - Juniper confirmed as tree contact at less than the emergency ratings of the line





22



3:41:35pm – Star – South Canton 345 kV line opens Note: Previously tripped and reclosed twice





3:45:41pm – Canton Central – Tidd 345 kV line trip Line recloses 58 seconds later, but 345/138 kV transformers at Pacific Northwest **Canton Central remain open** NATIONAL LABORATORY





4:05:57.5pm – Sammis – Star 345 kV line trip





Loading on Critical Lines



Several 138 kV lines in the vicinity trip during this time.



Key Voltages



100% Voltage

95% Voltage

90% Voltage



Sammis-Star "Zone 3" Relay Operates on Steady **State Overload**







4:08:58pm Galion – Muskingum – Ohio Central 345 kV line trip

311570A ARLINGSON BURNE AN 10911-0-0 TLOIN YOR BETATICA · MARCHTE NEW MANDATE & -DATERILLES TORONTS TRATPURS # 3 DETAIL ULE BOL 1 ITCL DAMAGES HANTTOND A and it is TANK ALTER THE LOCK Non mill RESTTONC. HALINGS & WATCH 0581 SPORTAGE. 10110 NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY. BRENTS. ALACCA . ALL MADES 120 + 15373 OF TAXES A.T. MARY! STREETS PARTY. A SPECTOR COLUMN TALLE R. LINER and privatives **Galion – Muskingum – Ohio Central** STREET DR. P. BORLN'S. WYPE, BLAD





4:09:06pm – E. Lima – Fostoria Central 345 kV line trip





4:10pm Harding – Fox 345 kV line, Kinder Morgan unit trips, 20 generating units (2174 MW) trip in Northern Ohio





Pacific Northwest





4:10:38pm - Midland Cogeneration Venture unit trip (loaded to 1265 MW), Transmission system separates northwest of Detroit, Perry-Ashtabula-Erie West 345 kV line trip







4:10:38pm Situational Assessment:

Northern Ohio & eastern Michigan collapsing, many units tripped, only connection remaining is with Ontario.

When last tie between Pennsylvania and Ohio trips, power drawn into the affected region suddenly reverses direction around Lake Erie.





Pennsylvania – New York Separation 4:10:40pm – Homer City-Watercure Road 345 kV 4:10:40pm – Homer City-Stolle Road 345 kV 4:10:41pm – South Ripley-Dunkirk 230 kV 4:10:44pm – East Towanda-Hillside 230 kV







4:10:41pm Fostoria Central-Galion 345 kV line trip Perry 1 nuclear unit trip (rated 1252 MW) Avon Lake 9 unit trip (rated 616 MW) Beaver-Davis Besse 345 kV line trip





Northeast portion of the grid separates from the interconnection

4:10:42pm – Campbell unit 3 (rated 820 MW) trips

4:10:43pm – Keith-Waterman 230 kV line trip

4:10:45pm – Wawa-Marathon 230 kV line trip (above Lake Superior)

4:10:45pm – Branchburg-Ramapo 500 kV line trip





After the Branchburg – Ramapo 500 kV line trips, the underlying 230 kV and 138 kV ties in New Jersey trip, leaving northern New Jersey connected with New York, and southern New Jersey and Pennsylvania remain connected with the remainder of the eastern Interconnection.







End of the Cascade





Frequency in Ontario and New York during Breakup Niagara Generation Stays with Western NY

Frequency Separation Interior Ontario and Northern New York



One Minute

- Beck 2 Claireville Kenora Lambton Longwood Porcupine Richview
- St Lawrence



Generator Trips to 4:10:38pm





Generator Trips – Next 7 Seconds





Generator Trips – After 4:10:44pm





Blackout Root Cause Finding #1 Failure by FirstEnergy and ECAR to Understand **Inadequacies of the System**

- FirstEnergy failed to conduct rigorous long-term planning studies of its system (neglected to conduct multiple contingency assessments)
- FirstEnergy did not conduct sufficient voltage analyses for its Ohio control area and used operational voltage criteria that did not reflect actual voltage stability conditions
- The East Central Area Reliability Coordination Agreement (ECAR) did not conduct an independent review or analysis of FirstEnergy's voltage criteria and operating needs
- Some of NERC's planning and operational requirements and standards were sufficiently ambiguous that FirstEnergy could interpret them to include practices that were inadequate for reliable system operation



Blackout Root Cause Finding #2 Lack of Situational Awareness by FirstEnergy **Operators**

- FirstEnergy did not:
 - ensure a reliable system after contingencies occurred because it did not have an effective contingency analysis capability
 - have effective procedures to ensure operators were aware of the status of critical monitoring tools
 - have effective internal communications procedures
 - have effective procedures to test monitoring tools after repairs
 - have additional high-level monitoring tools after alarm system failed





Blackout Root Cause Finding #3 Inadequate Vegetation Management

Effects of Ambient Conditions on Transmission Line Ratings





Another word about vegetation management...

- Sometimes utilities have disputes with landowners preventing necessary work from occurring
- Columbus Bedford (345kV) Line in Indiana owned by Cinergy
 - 12:08:40.0 Line trips and locks out
 - 18:23:00.0 Line returned to service

August 14, 2003

October 9, 2003





Blackout Root Cause Finding #4 **Improper Reliability Coordinator Diagnostics**

- The Midcontinent Independent System Operator's (MISO) state estimator failed due to a data error
- MISO's flowgate monitoring tool didn't have real-time line information to detect growing overloads
- MISO operators couldn't easily link breaker status to line status to understand changing conditions.
- PJM and MISO ineffective procedures and wide grid visibility to coordinate problems affecting their common boundaries



- Measures taken to achieve reliability
- Lessons learned from prior North American blackouts
 - Case study #1: August 10, 1996
 - Case study #2: August 14, 2003
- What is resilience, and how is that different than reliability?
- Concluding remarks

49



National Academies Report Released July 2017

The National Academies of SCIENCES • ENGINEERING • MEDICINE

Board on Energy and Environmental Systems Division on Engineering and Physical Sciences

Enhancing the Resilience of the Nation's Electricity System



Download the full report and 4-page summary at: <u>https://www.nap.edu/24836</u>





Infrastructure Resilience

- Ability to reduce the magnitude and/or duration of disruptive events
- Resilient infrastructure can anticipate, absorb, adapt to, and/or rapidly recover from a disruptive event
- Best when all-hazard "disruptive events" include the unenvisioned
 - These hazards span naturally-occurring events, such as storms or earthquakes, and also include malicious actions
 - A well-designed resilient system will either maintain maximum practicable functionality, or enable rapid restoration with minimum downtime, regardless of whether or not that particular event or scenario had been anticipated in the design and planning phase



Resilience Framework

Sequence of the NIAC Resilience Construct



"A Framework for Establishing Critical Infrastructure Resilience Goals," National Infrastructure Advisory Council, October 19, 2010



Resilience Metrics are Challenging

Metrics for reliability are fairly straight forward because they involve looking at the statistics of past outages.

Standard reliability metrics include:

- System Average Interruption **Duration Index (SAIDI)**
- Customer Average \bullet Interruption Duration Index (CAIDI)
- System Average Interruption ${}^{\bullet}$ Frequency Index (SAIFI)

Developing metrics for resilience is extremely challenging because that involves assessing how well we are prepared for, and could deal with, very rare events, some of which have never happened.

The report recommends that DOE work on improved studies to assess the value to customers of full and partial service during long outages as a function of key circumstances.

It also calls for a coordinated assessment of the numerous resilience metrics being proposed.



Technology Opportunities to Enhance System Resilience

- Component hardening and physical security
- Distribution automation
- Better control/coordination of Distributed Energy Resources (DER)
- Enhanced modeling and simulation
- Wide area monitoring and control
- Intelligent load shedding / adaptive islanding
- System architectural considerations to reduce criticality of individual components
- Reducing dependency on supporting infrastructures
- Cyber resiliency



54



Concluding Remarks

- The power grid is exceptionally complex, and extraordinarily reliable
 - Most customer outages are due to issues with radial distribution feeders vs. the networked transmission grid
- Hierarchal control strategy provides good tradeoff between reliability and efficiency
- Blackouts provide an opportunity to study and apply lessons learned to further enhance reliability
- As advanced technology is being considered for deployment, need to consider unintended consequences (e.g., cyber security)
- Robustness and resiliency are enhanced by considering all threats to the power system
 - An "all-hazards" approach
- Research is underway to develop technologies that will enhance the reliability, security, and resiliency of the future power grid



Thank you

