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Data Sources:
The data used for the peer comparisons section of this book come from:

- Academe - Bulletin of the American Association of University Professors (Academe)
- National Center for Education Statistics - Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)
- National Association of College and University Business Officers (NACUBO)
- U.S. News & World Report's "America's Best Colleges 2009" (US News & World Report)

Peer Institution List:
The following list of schools was selected by executive administration for benchmarking purposes:
- California Institute of Technology (Cal Tech)
- Cornell University (Cornell)
- Duke University (Duke)
- Emory University (Emory)
- Georgia Institute of Technology (Georgia Tech)
- Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)
- Northwestern University (Northwestern)
- University of Pennsylvania (Penn)
- Princeton University (Princeton)
- Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI)
- Rice University (Rice)
- Stanford University (Stanford)
- Washington University in St. Louis (Washington)

Ethnicity Categories:
- **Non-resident Alien:** A person who is not a citizen or national of the United States and is in this country on a visa or temporary basis, regardless of race
- **Other:** Includes White, Asian/Pacific Islander, and Unknown
- **Under-represented Minority:** Includes US Citizens and Permanent Residents who self-identify race as African American/Black, American Indian/Alaskan Native, or Hispanic

Definitions:

**Degree Disciplines:** All degree disciplines are categorized according to their Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) code

- **Architecture, Visual, and Performing Arts:** Includes Architecture and related services; visual and performing arts
- **Business Management, Marketing, and Related Support Services:** Includes business, management, marketing, and related support services
- **Computer and Information Sciences:** Includes computer and information sciences and support services
- **Engineering:** Includes engineering; engineering technologies/technicians
- **Humanities and Social Sciences:** Includes area, ethnic, cultural, and gender studies; communication, journalism, and related programs; communications technologies/technicians and support services; foreign languages, literatures, and linguistics; English language and literature/letters; liberal arts and sciences, general studies and humanities; philosophy and religious studies; theology and religious vocations; psychology; social sciences; history
- **Mathematics, Statistics, Biological and Physical Sciences:** Includes biological and biomedical sciences; mathematics and statistics; physical sciences
- **Other Disciplines:** Includes agriculture, agriculture operations, and related sciences; natural resources and conservation; personal and culinary services; education; family and consumer sciences/human sciences; legal professions and studies; library science; military technologies; multi/interdisciplinary studies; parks, recreation, leisure, and fitness studies; science technologies/technicians; security and protective services; construction trades; mechanic repair technologies/technicians; precision production; transportation and materials moving; health professions and related clinical sciences
- **Public Administration and Social Services:** Includes public administration and social services professions

**Expenditures:** Includes the following institutional expenses: Instruction, research, public service, academic support, student services, and institutional support

**Faculty Compensation:** Sum of salaries and benefits
Federal Grant Aid: includes grants that were provided by federal agencies, such as the U.S. Department of Education, including Title IV Pell Grants and Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants (SEOGs). Also includes need-based and merit-based educational assistance funds and training vouchers provided by other federal agencies and/or federally-sponsored educational benefits programs, including the Veteran's Administration, Department of Labor, etc.

First-time First-year Retention Rate: The percent of first-time first-year students who return for their sophomore year.

Institutional Grant Aid: includes scholarships and fellowships that were granted and funded by the institution and/or individual departments within the institution (and are limited to students attending the institution). Also includes tuition and fee waivers granted by the institution (for which the institution is not reimbursed from another source). These would include scholarships targeted to certain groups of individuals (from a particular state or studying a certain subject) for which the institution designated the recipient; athletic scholarships, etc.

Runzheimer Indices: indices to adjust salaries for cost of living; adjusts salaries to a standard U.S. city.

SAT 25th-75th Percentile Range: the range in which the middle 50% of the first-time freshmen scored on their SATs.

Six-Year Graduation Rate: the percent of first-time first-year students who graduate within six years.

State/Local Grant Aid: includes grants that were provided by your state such as Leveraging Educational Assistance Partnerships (LEAP) (formerly SSIGs). Also includes merit scholarships that were provided by your state and tuition and fee waivers for which your institution was reimbursed by a state agency. Local grants would include any local government grants, scholarships or gift-aid that are awarded directly to the student.

Student Loan Aid: includes all Title IV subsidized and unsubsidized loans made directly to students and for which the student is the designated borrower. (Include Perkins Loans made to students.) Exclude PLUS and other loans made directly to parents and for which the parent is the designated borrower. Also includes all institutionally- and privately-sponsored loans made to students, for which the student is the designated borrower (as long as the funds pass through the financial aid office).

Student to Faculty Ratio: the total full-time equivalent (FTE) enrollment of students divided by the total full-time equivalent (FTE) of instructional faculty. For this calculation, FTE is equal to full-time headcount plus one-third part-time headcount.

Other Notes: Carnegie Mellon enrollment figures do not include Qatar enrollment per IPEDS reporting guidelines.
### Undergraduate Enrollment

Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions

**Fall 2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Enrollment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cal Tech</td>
<td>913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnegie Mellon</td>
<td>5,682</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell</td>
<td>13,510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke</td>
<td>6,394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emory</td>
<td>6,719</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Tech</td>
<td>12,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIT</td>
<td>4,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern</td>
<td>9,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn</td>
<td>11,877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton</td>
<td>4,845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPI</td>
<td>5,164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>3,017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford</td>
<td>6,584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>7,253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IPEDS

### Undergraduate Enrollment by Full-time/Part-time Status

Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions

**Fall 2007**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Full-time</th>
<th>Part-time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cal Tech</td>
<td>913</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnegie Mellon</td>
<td>5,682</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell</td>
<td>13,510</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke</td>
<td>6,394</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emory</td>
<td>6,719</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Tech</td>
<td>12,565</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIT</td>
<td>4,172</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern</td>
<td>9,261</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn</td>
<td>11,877</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton</td>
<td>4,845</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPI</td>
<td>5,164</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>3,017</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford</td>
<td>6,584</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>7,253</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IPEDS

---
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Graduate Enrollment by Gender
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Source: IPEDS
Total Degrees Awarded
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Academic Year 2006-07

Source: IPEDS
### Bachelor's Degrees Awarded by Discipline

Carnegie Mellon Versus Peer Institutions

*Academic Year 2006-07*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture, Visual, and Performing Arts</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Administration and Social Svcs.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities and Social Sciences</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics¹</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer and Information Sciences</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business²</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Bachelor's</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>1,215</td>
<td>3,467</td>
<td>1,530</td>
<td>1,582</td>
<td>2,542</td>
<td>1,167</td>
<td>2,089</td>
<td>2,823</td>
<td>1,144</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>1,244</td>
<td>1,709</td>
<td>1,660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Mathematics - Mathematics, Statistics, Biological, and Physical Sciences
2. Business - Business Management, Marketing, and Related Support Services
## Master's Degrees Awarded by Discipline

### Carnegie Mellon Versus Peer Institutions

**Academic Year 2006-07**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Architecture, Visual, and Performing Arts</th>
<th>Engineering</th>
<th>Public Administration and Social Svcs.</th>
<th>Humanities and Social Sciences</th>
<th>Mathematics</th>
<th>Computer and Information Sciences</th>
<th>Business</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total Master's</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cal Tech</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnegie Mellon</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1,499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>31%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1,701</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>1,411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emory</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Tech</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>1,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIT</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>2,665</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>2,996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>384</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPI</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>2,137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>1,261</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Mathematics - Mathematics, Statistics, Biological, and Physical Sciences
2. Business - Business Management, Marketing, and Related Support Services
Doctoral Degrees Awarded by Gender
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Academic Year 2006-07

Degrees: 206 205 485 277 217 459 601 462 483 332 143 163 720 347

Source: IPEDS

Doctoral Degrees Awarded by Ethnicity
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Academic Year 2006-07

Degrees: 206 205 485 277 217 459 601 462 483 332 143 163 720 347

Source: IPEDS
### Doctoral Degrees Awarded by Discipline
#### Carnegie Mellon Versus Peer Institutions
##### Academic Year 2006-07

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architecture, Visual, and Performing Arts</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Administration and Social Svc.</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humanities and Social Sciences</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics 1</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mathematics 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computer and Information Sciences</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business 1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business 2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Doctoral Degrees</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>459</td>
<td>601</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Mathematics - Mathematics, Statistics, Biological, and Physical Sciences
2. Business - Business Management, Marketing, and Related Support Services
First-time First-year Retention Rate
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2006 Cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Retention Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cal Tech</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnegie Mellon</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emory</td>
<td>-94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Tech</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIT</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPI</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford</td>
<td>98%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: IPEDS

Six-year Graduation Rate
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2001 Cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Graduation Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cal Tech</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnegie Mellon</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cornell</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke</td>
<td>94%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emory</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Georgia Tech</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIT</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwestern</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penn</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Princeton</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPI</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stanford</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: US News & World Report
Student to Faculty Ratio
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2007

Percent of Classes with Fewer than 20 Students
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2007

Percent of Classes with 50 or More Students
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2007

Source: US News & World Report
Acceptance Rate
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2007

First-time First-year Yield
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2007

Source: IPEDS
SAT 25th-75th Percentile Range
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2007

Proportion of Incoming First-years in Top 10% of High School Class
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2007 Cohort

Source: US News & World Report (*Fewer than 51% of entering first-year students reporting)
Average Faculty Compensation - Professors
(Compensation Adjusted Using Runzheimer Indices)
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Academic Year 2007-08

$145,563 $140,990 $178,415 $196,397 $185,702 $159,414 $131,423 $151,007 $177,914 $165,374 $152,312 $130,962 $169,648

Source: Academe

Average Peer Professor Compensation = $162,011

Average Faculty Compensation - Associate Professors
(Compensation Adjusted Using Runzheimer Indices)
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Academic Year 2007-08

$107,266 $109,240 $133,248 $122,242 $108,622 $101,521 $100,038 $114,814 $100,659 $105,370 $80,384 $109,648

Source: Academe

Average Peer Associate Professor Compensation = $109,085
Average Faculty Compensation - Assistant Professors
(Compensation Adjusted Using Runzheimer Indices)
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Academic Year 2007-08

Average Peer Assistant Professor Compensation = $95,292

Source: Academe

Proportion of Instructional Faculty who are Full-time
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2007

Source: US News & World Report
University Endowment Funds ($000)
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fiscal Year 2007

Average Peer Institution Endowment Funds
($000) = $6,631,203

Endowment Funds ($000)

$20,000,000
$18,000,000
$16,000,000
$14,000,000
$12,000,000
$10,000,000
$8,000,000
$6,000,000
$4,000,000
$2,000,000
$0

Cal Tech
Carnegie Mellon
Cornell
Duke
Emory
Georgia Tech
MIT
Northwestern
Penn
Princeton
RPI
Rice
Stanford
Washington Univ

Source: NACUBO

University Endowment Assets per FTE Student ($000)
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fiscal Year 2007

Average Peer Institution Endowment Assets
per FTE Student ($000) = $672
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Source: NACUBO
Expenditures per FTE Student ($000)
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fiscal Year 2007

Source: IPEDS
Tuition and Fees
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Academic Year 2008-2009

Average Peer Institution Tuition & Fees = $35,262

1. Carnegie Mellon University total cost is the weighted average for cost based upon year of entry.

Tuition, Fees, Room and Board
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Academic Year 2008-2009

Average Peer Institution Tuition, Fees, Room & Board = $46,048

1. Carnegie Mellon University total cost is the weighted average for cost based upon year of entry.
Full-time First-year Enrollment
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2006 Cohort

Source: IPEDS

Percent of Full-time First-year Students Receiving Financial Aid
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2006 Cohort

Source: IPEDS
Percent of Full-time First-year Students Receiving Federal Grant Aid
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2006 Cohort

Average Amount of Federal Grant Aid Received
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2006 Cohort

Source: IPEDS
Percent of Full-time First-year Students Receiving State and Local Grant Aid Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions Fall 2006 Cohort

Average Amount of State and Local Grant Aid Received Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions Fall 2006 Cohort

Source: IPEDS
Percent of Full-time First-year Students Receiving Institutional Grant Aid
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2006 Cohort

Average Amount of Institutional Grant Aid Received
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2006 Cohort
Percent of Full-time First-year Students Receiving Student Loan Aid
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2006 Cohort

- Cal Tech: 36%
- Carnegie Mellon: 42%
- Cornell: 39%
- Duke: 37%
- Emory: 35%
- Georgia Tech: 33%
- MIT: 37%
- Northwestern: 37%
- Penn: 28%
- Princeton: 43%
- RPI: 49%
- Rice: 23%
- Stanford: 27%
- Washington: 32%

Source: IPEDS

Average Amount of Student Loan Aid Received
Carnegie Mellon vs. Peer Institutions
Fall 2006 Cohort

- Cal Tech: $3,170
- Carnegie Mellon: $6,622
- Cornell: $7,146
- Duke: $7,228
- Emory: $5,124
- Georgia Tech: $4,859
- MIT: $4,164
- Northwestern: $4,629
- Penn: $4,010
- Princeton: $5,195
- RPI: $5,819
- Rice: $3,408
- Stanford: $4,271
- Washington: $4,638

Source: IPEDS
Carnegie Mellon University does not discriminate and Carnegie Mellon University is required not to discriminate in admission, employment, or administration of its programs or activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex or handicap in violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, Title IX of the Educational Amendments of 1972 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 or other federal, state, or local laws or executive orders.

In addition, Carnegie Mellon University does not discriminate in admission, employment or administration of its programs on the basis of religion, creed, ancestry, belief, age, veteran status, sexual orientation or gender identity. Carnegie Mellon does not discriminate in violation of federal, state, or local laws or executive orders. However, in the judgment of the Carnegie Mellon Human Relations Commission, the Presidential Executive Order directing the Department of Defense to follow a policy of, "Don’t ask, don’t tell, don’t pursue," excludes openly gay, lesbian and bisexual students from receiving ROTC scholarships or serving in the military. Nevertheless, all ROTC classes at Carnegie Mellon University are available to all students.

Inquiries concerning application of these statements should be directed to the Provost, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, telephone 412-268-6684 or the Vice President for Campus Affairs, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, telephone 412-268-2057.

Carnegie Mellon University publishes an annual campus security report describing the university’s security, alcohol and drug, and sexual assault policies and containing statistics about the number and type of crimes committed on the campus during the preceding three years. You can obtain a copy by contacting the Carnegie Mellon Police Department at 412-268-2323. The security report is available through the World Wide Web at www.cmu.edu/police/.