Conceptual Design of a Vision 21 Planning Model

#### Ed Rubin, Mike Berkenpas, Urmila Diwekar and Karen Kietzke

Center for Energy and Environmental Studies Carnegie Mellon University

July 19, 1999

#### Objectives

- Develop a flexible and easy-to-use modeling system to estimate the performance, environmental emissions and cost of a preliminary Vision 21 plant design
- Develop a framework for comparing alternative options and on a systematic basis, including effects of uncertainty

#### **Current FETC Projects**

**Development of the Integrated Environmental Control Model (IECM)** 

Duration:	September 1992 - April 1999
Amount:	\$1.3 million
COR:	Gerst Gibbon

#### **Development and Application of Optimal Design Capability for Coal Gasification Systems**

Duration:	September 1992 - February 2000
Amount:	\$1.5 million
COR:	Gerst Gibbon

## Advanced Design and Analysis Methods are Needed

- Increasing complexity of advanced processes
- Multiple options for component design & selection
- Strong interactions among system components
- Significant uncertainties in the performance and cost of new technologies

#### Approach

- Process Technology Models
- Engineering Economic Models
- Advanced Software Capabilities
- Systems Analysis Framework

#### Technologies Modeled and Evaluated

#### Pulverized Coal Combustion Plants

- Selective catalytic reduction (SCR)
- Wet lime/limestone FGD
- Lime spray dryer
- Electrostatic precipitators
- Fabric filters
- Advanced Environmental Control Systems

   Combined SO<sub>2</sub>/NO<sub>x</sub> removal

  Coal Beneficiation Processes

Integrated Environmental Control Model (IECM)



#### Technologies Modeled (con't)

#### Integrated Gasification Combined Cycles (IGCC)

- Air and oxygen blown gasifiers
- Fixed bed and fluidized bed gasifiers
- Hot gas and cold gas cleanup systems
- Byproduct recovery options (e.g., sulfuric acid, Claus plant, direct sulfur reduction process)

– Other environmental controls (e.g., SCR)

- Pressurized Fluidized Bed Combustion (PFBC)
- Externally-Fired Combined Cycle (EFCC)

#### ASPEN Model of an IGCC System



#### Process Performance Models

- Employ detailed mass and energy balances
- Empirical relationships and models used for complex process chemistry
- Calculate component and system mass flows, energy flows, and efficiency
- Calculate multi-media environmental emissions
- Approximately 10-20 performance parameters for each process technology

#### Process Cost Models

- Direct cost models for each major process area (typically 5-10 areas per technology)
- Explicit links to process performance models
- Calculate total capital cost
- Calculate variable operating costs
- Calculate fixed operating costs
- Calculate annualized cost of electricity
- Approximately 20-30 cost parameters for each process technology

## New Modeling Capabilities

System	Deterministic	Stochastic
Simulation	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Optimization	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Synthesis	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

## Conventional Process Modeling (Deterministic Simulation)



## Parameter Uncertainty Distributions



#### **Stochastic Simulation**



## Externally-Fired Combined Cycle (EFCC) Plant Efficiency



## Second Generation PFBC System Total Capital Cost



## Some Questions Addressed by Stochastic Simulation

- What performance, emissions and cost can we expect given current uncertainties?
- What is the likelihood of performance shortfalls? Of cost overruns?
- What factors or process parameters contribute most to the overall uncertainty in performance and cost?
- How does this system or process compare to other competing technologies?
- What is the potential payoff of R&D to reduce the key uncertainties and risks?

## Value of Targeted Research in Reducing the Cost of an IGCC System



#### **Stochastic Optimization**



#### **Process Synthesis**



Some Questions Addressed by Optimization Capabilities

- Is there a better choice of parameter values for this process to improve its performance? To lower its cost?
- What levels of performance, emissions and cost can we expect from an optimized design?
- How do uncertainties in process performance and cost parameters affect the optimal design?
- What design choices will minimize the risk of a performance shortfall? Or the risk of a cost overrun?

## Some Questions Addressed by Process Synthesis Capabilities

- How should the flowsheet be configured to achieve performance goals at lowest cost?
- What are the feasible flowsheet options to meet specified goals and constraints? Which options are not feasible?
- What are the cost savings (or performance and environmental gains) from moving to a more optimal design?

#### New Work in Progress

• Expansion of IECM modules

 Vision 21 systems analysis framework (The Vision 21 Planner)

#### The Vision 21 Planner Would . . .

- Bring together a spectrum of performance and cost models for plant components and integrated systems, suitable for preliminary design and analysis
- Run quickly and easily on a desktop or laptop computer
- Use publically available software
- Allow new process concepts to be easily modeled
- Allow uncertainties to be characterized explicitly
- Facilitate selection of optimal (most promising) designs

#### A Hierarchy of Process Models



#### Attributes of Process Models



Integrated Environmental Control Model (IECM)





IECM Interface 3.1 ©1999, Carnegie Mellon University

## (live demo of the IECM)

## Schematic of the Proposed Vision 21 Planner



## Vision 21 Planner: Operation Overview



# Welcome to the Vision 21 Planner

THE PROPERTY OF A SAME THE SAME THE

and the second s

# Opening Screen: A Menu of Technology Options



# Select Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) Options

Choose Powe	er System 🔀	
Please Cho	oose a Power System:	
	Conventional Combustion	
	Gasification Comb. Cycle	
	Advanced Combustion	
	Fuel Cells	
	Vision 21 Plant	

## Select KRW Gasifier

🖉 IEI	CM Interface				
<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit ⊻iew <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> e	elp			
Ы	📻 Untitled				
	<u>C</u> onfigure Pl	lant	Set <u>O</u> bjectives	Set <u>P</u> arameters	<u>G</u> et Results
	Configure Pl	ant Optimization tions KRW Lurgi Texaco on Controls None Ment Landfill		Plant Diagram	
Ready					

## Select Oxygen Plant

🖉 IE	CM Interface			
File	<u>E</u> dit ⊻iew <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp			
Ы	🚰 Untitled			
	<u>C</u> onfigure Plant	Set <u>O</u> bjectives	Set <u>P</u> arameters	<u>G</u> et Results
	Goal:    Optimization      Goal:    Optimization      Gasification Options    Gasifier:      Gasifier:    KRW      Oxidant:    Oxygen      Gas Cleanup:    Air      Oxygen    Oxygen      Post-Combustion Controls    NOx Control:      NOx Control:    None      Solids Management    Slag:      Landfill    Sulfur:		Plant Diagram	
Ready	y			

## Select Cold Gas Cleanup

🐨 IE	CM Interface				
<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>W</u> indow j	<u>H</u> elp			
D	🙀 Untitled				
6	<u>C</u> onfigure I	Plant	Set Objectives	Set <u>P</u> arameters	<u>G</u> et Results
£					
	Goal:	Optimizatio	on 🔻		
6		1 ·		- Plant Diagram	
¥	Gasification O	<u>ptions</u>			
6	Gasifier:	KRW	-		
E.	Oxidant:	Oxygen			
P	Gas Cleanum:				
?	ow on any.	None	<u> </u>		2.4
▶?	Post-Combust	i Cold			
	NOx Control:	Hot			
	NOX COMPON	INONE			
				I I I	
				i i 🍈	
	G P.1.34				
	Solids Manag	ement			
	Slag:	Landfill	•		
	Sulfur:	Landfill	~		
Ready	y				NUM ///

# Select NO<sub>x</sub> Control

😻 IEI	CM Interface				_ <b>_ _ _ _ _</b>
<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>W</u> indow ∣	<u>H</u> elp			
Ы	🚰 Untitled				
Ø	<u>C</u> onfigure I	Plant 👔	Set <u>O</u> bjectives	Set <u>P</u> arameters	<u>G</u> et Results
	Goal: <u>Gasification O</u> Gasifier: Oxidant:	Optimization <b>ptions</b> KRW Oxygen	n 💌	- Plant Diagram	
<u>@</u>	Gas Cleanup:	Cold	•		
<b>N</b> ?	Post-Combust	<u>ii</u>			
	NOx Control:	SCR None SCR			
	<u>Solids Manag</u>	ement			
	Slag:	Landfill	•		
	Sulfur:	Landfill	-		
				L	
Ready					NUM //

## Select Byproduct Recovery

😻 IEC	CM Interface				
<u>F</u> ile <u>E</u>	<u>E</u> dit <u>V</u> iew <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u>	lelp			
	🖶 Untitled				
Ø	<u>C</u> onfigure P	lant	Set <u>O</u> bjectives	Set <u>P</u> arameters	<u>G</u> et Results
	Goal:	Optimization	<b>▼</b>		
	Gasification Op	otions		Plant Diagram	
	Gasifier:	KRW	•		
ß	Oxidant:	Oxygen	•		
đ	Gas Cleanup:	Hot	•		
<b>?</b> <b>∖?</b>	<u>Post-Combust</u>	ion Control	<u>s</u>		ך≻י
	NOx Control:	SCR	•	$\rightarrow$	→ <b>_</b> _→∞
	Solids Manag	ement			Ŝ.
	Slag:	Landfill	-		
	Sulfur:	Sulfur			
		Landfill			
		Sulfur			
Ready					

#### Set Process Parameters

😻 IE	СМ	Interfa	ce									_ 🗆	×
<u>File Edit View Window H</u> elp													
Ы	<b></b>	Untitle	d									_ 🗆	×
			<u>C</u> onfigure Plant	<u>S</u> e	t Pa	rame	ters	Ì		<u>G</u> et R	esults		
		Overa P <u>l</u> ant	11 Coal Properties IGCC	F <u>u</u> rnace Factors	Em Con	ission str <u>a</u> int	s <u>N</u> Ox Contr	o1	Par <u>t</u> iculate Control	S <u>O</u> 2 Co	ntrol Soli	d Waste <u>vI</u> gmt	3
9			Title	Units		Unc	Value	Calc	Min	Max	Default	DV	
*	Ш	1	<u>Gasifier Design</u>										ш
	Ш	2	Gasifier Carbon Conversion	%			95.0		90.0	98.0	95.0		ш
	Ш	3	Gasifier Oxygen to Carbon Ratio	mo1O2/m	olC		0.46		0.45	0.47	0.46		ш
	Ш	4	Gasifier Steam to Carbon Ratio	mol H2O / n	io1 C		0.46		0.445	0.455	0.46		ш
	Ш	5	Coal-bound N Converted to NH3	%			10.0		5.0	15.0	10.0		ш
8	Ш	6	Sulfur Retained in Gasifier Bot Ash	%			90.0		80.0	95.0	90.0		ш
▶?	Ш	7											ш
<u>~</u>	Ш	8	Emissions Control										ш
	Ш	9	Calcium to Sulfur Ratio	molCa/m	o1C		2.60		2.10	3.00	2.60		ш
	Ш	10	Sulfation Unit Conversion	%			95.0		90.0	98.0	95.0		ш
	Ш	11	NH3 Converted to NOx in Turbine	%			90.0		50.0	90.0	90.0		ш
	Ш	12	SCR NOx Removal Efficiency	%			80.0		50.0	90.0	80.0		ш
	Ш	13	SCR NH3 Slip	ppmw			10.0		5.0	20.0	10.0		ш
	Ш	14											ш
	Ш	15											ш
	Ш	16											ш
	Ш	17				_							ш
		18											
		1 P.	formance 2 Financing	3 Retrofft (	lost	1	Capital Cos	t /	5 O&M (	Cost /6	O&M Fee	alation	1
		V 1.1 0		2. rectorite	031		. Oapital OOs	· /	2.0001010		. Octor Est		

### Open Vision 21 Plant Options



## Vision 21 Workbench

🐨 IE	CM Interface			
<u>F</u> ile	<u>E</u> dit ⊻iew <u>W</u> indow <u>H</u> elp			
Ы	🛁 Untitled			
D	<u>C</u> onfigure Plant	Set <u>O</u> bjectives	Set <u>P</u> arameters	<u>G</u> et Results
	Vision 21 Plant:	Plant Diagram		
쏄	User Specified	•		
		-		
格	🖌 🖊 👘			
₽ <u>₽</u>		·		
đ				
8		=		
<b>N?</b>		)		
	😑   📕   🗰			
	Air Water Chem	ical		
		_		
	Gas Liquid Soli	d		

## Select Existing Flowsheet - 1



## Select Existing Flowsheet - 2



## Configure a New System



## Linkage to More Detailed Process Models

- Where appropriate, use a Response Surface Model (RSM) to faithfully reproduce the results of a more detailed process model
- Captures effect of key process design variables
- Serves as a validation tool for desktop models
- Substantially reduces computational requirements and turnaround time

#### Response Surface Model Development



#### Desktop Model of a Process



## Evaluation Of Desktop Model: IGCC Plant Efficiency



#### Benefits of Desktop Models

- Precise and accurate representation of detailed models
- Execution takes seconds, not hours
- Can run on any desktop PC
- Amenable to "what if" analyses
- Incorporates process performance, emissions, and cost models in one package
- Useful by analysts and decision makers who have no time, ability or resources (staff, software, hardware, funds) to run complex models

## Model Applications

- Process design
- Technology evaluation
- Cost estimation
- R&D management

- Risk analysis
- Environmental compliance
- Marketing studies
- Strategic planning

#### Where Do We Go from Here?

• Current project will implement and demonstrate:

- Response surface models of several IGCC system configurations
- Process optimization capability
- Further development would:
  - Use the Vision 21 Planner as a testbed for systems integration development
  - Add preliminary versions of enabling technology models
  - Add process synthesis capability
  - Explore system dynamics modeling

## So, What Do You Think?