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INTRODUCTION

Welcome to the Department of English at Carnegie Mellon! This Handbook describes the department’s requirements for your graduate degree and how your progress through the program will be assessed. The Handbook also covers other departmental procedures and provides a list of resources you may find useful. The Graduate Handbook is the first place to turn when questions arise about what is expected of you here and how to make those things happen. Your first step as a student here should be to become familiar with the requirements and procedures that govern the program you are in.

New Departmental Policies

This Handbook is updated every year. When policies are changed, it is because the department believes the new rules offer an improvement. In certain cases, students currently enrolled whose degree program is affected by a change in department policy may choose to be governed by the policy that was in place at the time of their matriculation. In case degree requirements are changed and certain courses are no longer offered, students should consult with their advisors about how to satisfy the original requirements in other ways.

University-Wide Policies & Expectations

It is your responsibility to be familiar with university policies and guidelines in addition to the departmental policies described in this Handbook. The following resources describe the university’s expectations:

- Academic Integrity Website: [www.cmu.edu/academic-integrity](http://www.cmu.edu/academic-integrity)
- University Policies Website: [www.cmu.edu/policies/](http://www.cmu.edu/policies/)
- Graduate Education Website: [http://www.cmu.edu/graduate/policies/index.html](http://www.cmu.edu/graduate/policies/index.html)

The process for handling various academic and non-academic policy violations or other grievances vary from policy to policy. A Summary of Graduate Student Appeal and Grievance Procedures is available at: [http://www.cmu.edu/graduate/policies/Summary%20of%20Graduate%20Student%20Appeal%20and%20Grievance%20Procedures.html](http://www.cmu.edu/graduate/policies/Summary%20of%20Graduate%20Student%20Appeal%20and%20Grievance%20Procedures.html).

The process for addressing alleged violations of non-academic policies and appeal procedures can be found on the University Policies website at: [www.cmu.edu/policies/documents/Disc.html](http://www.cmu.edu/policies/documents/Disc.html) and in The Word at: [www.cmu.edu/student-affairs/theword//comm_standards/standards.html](http://www.cmu.edu/student-affairs/theword//comm_standards/standards.html), including contact information for questions.
Carnegie Mellon University Statement of Assurance

Carnegie Mellon University does not discriminate in admission, employment, or administration of its programs or activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, handicap or disability, age, sexual orientation, gender identity, religion, creed, ancestry, belief, veteran status, or genetic information. Furthermore, Carnegie Mellon University does not discriminate and is required not to discriminate in violation of federal, state, or local laws or executive orders.

Inquiries concerning the application of and compliance with this statement should be directed to the vice president for campus affairs, Carnegie Mellon University, 5000 Forbes Avenue, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, telephone 412-268-2056.


The Statement of Assurance can also be found on-line at: http://www.cmu.edu/policies/documents/SoA.html
1 DEGREES and DEGREE REQUIREMENTS

This section describes the graduate degrees offered by the Department of English and lists the requirements for each degree.

1.1 Master of Arts in English (M.A.)

The Department of English offers two distinct M.A. concentrations, the M.A. in English with a concentration in Literary and Cultural Studies (LCS) and the M.A. in English with a concentration in Rhetoric. Students apply to one or the other program, though they may take courses across programs with their advisors’ approval.

- Rhetoric—is distinctive in its interdisciplinary, multi-methodological approach to rhetorical research and pedagogy. The methods of rhetorical theory, argument theory, linguistics, cultural studies, psychology, philosophy, literary theory, and history are brought to bear on the processes of creating and interpreting discourse, the principal focus of rhetorical studies at Carnegie Mellon.

- Literary and Cultural Studies (LCS)—introduces students to some of the major texts and discussions that have shaped literary and cultural theory. It focuses on the study of culturally significant texts and the historical and ideological conditions under which they were produced and received.

Though the Ph.D. programs in LCS and Rhetoric occasionally accept an M.A. student into the program, there is no official relationship between the M.A. and Ph.D. programs at Carnegie Mellon. Students in the M.A. program are not guaranteed acceptance into the Ph.D. program, and they should only apply for the Ph.D. program at Carnegie Mellon if they are sure that their research interests are an exceptionally good fit with the program.

1.1.1 The Master of Arts in English with a concentration in rhetoric

The Rhetoric Concentration requires a minimum of 24 credit hours (72 units) of required and elective course work, 18 credit hours (54 units) of which must be in rhetoric courses approved by the student’s advisor. Rhetoric M.A. students normally take courses for 3 credit hours (9 units). Under exceptional circumstances, Rhetoric M.A. students may take courses for 4 credit hours (12 units) with their advisor’s approval. Of the 24 credit hours, no more than 6 credit hours (18 units) may be in independent study (76-900). Students should consult with their advisors to select a course of study consistent with their educational goals. In order to receive the M.A. degree, students must maintain a cumulative grade point average of at least a 3.0. Students must comply with academic good standing policies to remain in the M.A. program (See Section 3, Academic Good Standing and Progress Reviews.)
1.1.2 The Master of Arts in English with a concentration in literary and cultural studies

The Literary and Cultural Studies (LCS) Concentration requires a minimum of 24 credit hours (6 courses of 4 credit hours [12 units] each). These must be composed of at least 4 courses in LCS (that is, courses taught by LCS faculty or adjuncts). The two additional courses may be a combination of (a) up to two courses taught by LCS faculty or adjuncts, (b) up to two courses taught by Rhetoric faculty or adjuncts, and (c) no more than one course taken either in another department in the Dietrich College of Humanities and Social Sciences (with permission of that instructor), in an English or Cultural Studies course at the University of Pittsburgh (with the permission of that instructor), or as independent study (76-901). Students should consult with their advisors to select a course of study consistent with their educational goals. In order to receive the M.A. degree, students must maintain a cumulative grade point average of at least a 3.0. Students must comply with academic good standing policies to remain in the M.A. program (See Section 3, Academic Good Standing and Progress Reviews.)
1.2 The Master of Arts In Professional Writing (MAPW)

The Master of Arts in Professional Writing (MAPW) program prepares students for a range of communications positions that involve researching, writing, and evaluating print and electronic documents. In addition to developing students’ writing and communication skills, the degree prepares them to analyze real-world communications problems, access the latest communications research, use relevant computer technology and software, and understand the communications needs and practices of particular organizations. Because professional writing encompasses an exceptionally wide variety of tasks in different organizations, the MAPW program is designed to promote analytical skill and rhetorical flexibility by developing students’ problem-solving abilities.

REQUIREMENTS The three-semester program requires:

- 12 courses, including six required core courses and six electives for a minimum of 38 credit hours (114 units)
- a one-credit (3 units) professional seminar taken during the first semester
- a professional internship, usually completed in the summer between the second and third semesters but occasionally extending to six months or longer
- Students must comply with academic good standing policies to remain in the MAPW program (See Section 3, Academic Good Standing and Progress Reviews.)

Required Core Courses MAPW students must complete the following six required core courses:

- 76-870 Professional and Technical Writing (9 units)
- 76-789 Rhetorical Grammar (9 units)
- 76-890 Style (9 units)
- 51-761 Communication Design Fundamentals (12 units)
- 76-880 Document Design (12 units)
- 76-720 Organizational Communication (9 units)

Elective Courses MAPW students must also complete six advisor-approved elective courses of 9 to 12 units each. The specific courses used to fulfill these requirements vary widely according to students’ individual interests but must include as part of the six courses:

- one course in Rhetoric that focuses on the relationships among language, structure, meaning, and context. Options vary and are designated each semester
- one advisor-approved course in Research Methods appropriate to the student’s area of study

The remaining four electives can be used to pursue a broad range of interests or to develop a focus or concentration area within the degree.
Optional Concentration Areas

MAPW students are not required to choose an area of concentration but may do so if they would like to develop depth in a particular area. Possible areas of concentration include but are not limited to the following:

- technical writing
- science/healthcare writing
- writing for new media
- writing for print media
- editing and publishing
- public and media relations /corporate communication

The document “Elective Course Options for MAPW Students” (available from the program director and on the MAPW website) provides guidance on the elective courses most relevant to each concentration. Students interested in a specific concentration work with the program director to select the courses most relevant to their career plans.

Professional Internship

In addition to their course work, students must also complete an advisor-approved professional internship as writers, researchers, or communications specialists in business, government, non-profit, or university settings. This practical experience combined with courses in theory, research, and application gives students an excellent opportunity to integrate learning and professional practice. Internships are generally completed in the summer between the student’s second and third semester of course work and encompass 10 to 12 weeks. Internships may extend to six months or, as warranted, up to one year or longer. The minimum time requirement for the internship is the equivalent of 8 weeks of full-time work or 320 hours. Students must submit both an internship report and a letter of confirmation/evaluation from their internship supervisor to receive credit for this requirement.

MAPW 4+1

The 4+1 option is available to B.A. and B.S. graduates of Carnegie Mellon who have completed undergraduate courses in Rhetoric or Technical and Professional Writing that match requirements in the MAPW program. Students admitted under this option may receive credit for up to four courses toward the MAPW degree and thus reduce their requirements for the degree to two semesters of course work (8 courses for a minimum of 72 units) plus the required internship.

Courses in Other Departments

MAPW students may, with the approval of the program director and subject to availability and prerequisites as determined by the sponsoring department, include courses in other Carnegie Mellon schools and departments in their elective courses. Students should consult with the program director before enrolling in such courses. The main criterion for approval will be relevance to the overall plan of study.
Cross-Registration

MAPW students may also, with the approval of the program director, cross-register for elective courses at other colleges and universities in the area that have agreements with Carnegie Mellon. These include the University of Pittsburgh, Duquesne University, Carlow College, and Chatham College. This option is available only to students enrolled full-time and is limited to a maximum of one elective course in each of the student’s last two semesters in the program, or a total of two courses. Students may not take the required core courses via cross-registration and should use this option only to register for courses not available through Carnegie Mellon.

Undergraduate Courses

With program director permission, MAPW students may take appropriate undergraduate courses in other departments as electives for their degree. The general criteria are that the courses be relevant to the student’s plan of study and that they not duplicate prior or concurrent coursework. Typical examples include programming courses in computer science or a course in cognitive psychology. Courses taken within the English Department must be taken at the graduate level.

Pass/Fail

MAPW students are encouraged to take challenging courses that stretch their abilities. To that end, MAPW students may, with the approval of their advisor, take one elective course on a pass/fail basis without needing to petition the Graduate Committee. One additional course may be taken pass/fail with the approval of the Graduate Committee via petition. The minimum grade required for a “pass” is a C. In order to take a course pass/fail, students must register for this option at the beginning of the course. No switches to the pass/fail option, or from pass/fail to a grade petition, are permitted during the semester.

Grades

In order to receive the degree, students must maintain a cumulative grade point average of at least a B (3.0)
1.3 The M.Litt. in Investigative Journalism

The M.Litt. in Investigative Journalism is offered as an extension of the MAPW through a unique partnership between Carnegie Mellon and the University of Strathclyde in Scotland. The degree weds the broadly foundational skills of the MAPW with hands-on training in the history and methods of both traditional and investigative reporting in the US and the UK. MAPW students select this option upon entrance to the program. They complete their first 2 semesters at CMU, do a journalism-focused internship in the summer between their 2nd and 3rd semesters, spend their 3rd semester studying Investigative Journalism at Strathclyde, and return to CMU for their 4th semester during which they complete both an original investigative project and a related thesis. Students in this option receive two degrees: the MA in Professional Writing and the MLitt in Investigative Journalism.

REQUIREMENTS  The four-semester program requires:

- 12 courses, including eight required core courses and four electives for a minimum of 38 credit hours (114 units). Four of these courses (minimum of 36 units) are taken at Strathclyde.
- a one-credit (3 units) professional seminar taken during the first semester
- a journalism internship, usually completed in the summer between the second and third semesters but occasionally extending to six months or a year
- a substantive investigative journalism project and related thesis completed during the 4th and final semester (5 units).
- Students must comply with academic good standing policies to remain in the M.Litt. program (See Section 3, Academic Good Standing and Progress Reviews.)

Required Core Courses  MAPW/IJ students must complete the following 8 core courses:

- Professional and Technical Writing (9 units)
- Rhetorical Grammar (9 units)
- Style (9 units)
- Document Design or On-Line Information Design (12 units
- Introduction to Journalism (9 units) *
- Advanced Journalism (9 units) *
- Investigative Journalism History & Theory (9 units)
- Investigative Journalism Methods (9 units)

* Students entering the MAPW with sufficient journalism experience (as assessed by the faculty and generally defined as a combination of coursework plus college newspaper and/or internship experience on a daily newspaper) may receive permission to replace Introduction to Journalism and/or Advanced Journalism with elective options as described below.
Elective Courses
MAPW/IJ students must also complete 4 advisor-approved elective courses of 9 to 12 units each, 2 taken at CMU and 2 at Strathclyde. As indicated above, students with sufficient prior coursework and experience in journalism may be granted permission to replace one or both of the required journalism core courses with additional electives. All electives taken at CMU are chosen from a specified set of courses particularly relevant to IJ. Elective options include courses in both the English Department and other units of H&SS and are compiled and advertised each semester. Journalism electives taken at Strathclyde are chosen from the list of available courses provided each fall.

Journalism Internship
MAPW/IJ students must complete a journalism internship. These internships are generally completed in the summer between the student’s second and third semester of coursework and encompass 10 to 12 weeks. Internships may also extend to six months or, as warranted, up to one year. The minimum time requirement for the internship is the equivalent of 8 weeks of full-time work or 320 hours. Students must submit both an internship report and a letter of confirmation/evaluation from their internship supervisor to receive credit for this requirement.

Investigative Project
During their 4th semester, students conceive, propose, and complete a substantive investigative journalism project suitable for publication. Given the unpredictable nature of IJ and the time often needed to bring a major project to fruition, students are not required to actually publish the work, but the work should, at a minimum, establish the foundation for future publication.

Thesis
Also during their 4th semester, students conceive, propose, and complete a thesis on an advisor-approved topic in journalism related to their investigative project.

4+1 IJ Option
For MAPW 4+1 students, the IJ adds a second full year (and a second degree) to their studies. Through a combination of the 4 courses from their undergraduate study for which they get credit toward the MAPW degree and their first year of coursework, they fulfill the 6 core requirements for the IJ option offered at CMU – Professional & Technical Writing, Grammar, Style, Document or On-Line Information Design, Intro to Journalism, and Advanced Journalism. Any remaining course slots will be filled with electives in English and other H&SS units chosen from a specified set of courses particularly relevant to IJ. Requirements for the internship, the Strathclyde semester, the IJ project, and the thesis also apply.
1.4 The Ph.D. in Literary and Cultural Studies

The Doctor of Philosophy in Literary and Cultural Studies (LCS) offers a theoretically driven investigation of literature and other cultural practices. The program focuses on the historical and ideological conditions and on the semiotic strategies that underlie the production and reception of culturally significant texts.

REQUIREMENTS  To receive a Ph.D. in Literary and Cultural Studies, a student must do the following:

- Complete, with a cumulative GPA of at least a B (3.00), 72 hours (216 units) of approved course work. Approved courses are normally at the 700-level or above in Carnegie Mellon’s system. (Note that students with previous graduate training may petition the Graduate Committee for approval of transfer credit. See the relevant policy.) Required course work includes:
  - Introduction to Cultural Studies
  - two additional courses that have theory as a major focus
  - two courses that have a historical period as a major focus, including one course that deals with an area outside of the period in which you will be working for your dissertation
  - two semesters of the teaching internship
  - one 3-hour (9-unit) Directed Reading course (76-901), taken in the final semester of coursework under the supervision of your Ph.D. Exam Committee Chair. During this Directed Reading course, you will draft your Ph.D. Exam Proposal.
  - Complete the language requirement
  - Present a public paper
  - Pass the Ph.D. qualifying exam
  - Develop a satisfactory dissertation prospectus
  - Complete and successfully defend a Ph.D. dissertation

Students must comply with academic good standing policies to remain in the Ph.D. program (see Section 3, Academic Good Standing and Progress Reviews).

Full-Time Status

To maintain full-time status in the Ph.D. program, a student must take a minimum of 12 credit hours (36 units) per semester.

Teaching Internship

In addition to taking the required core courses, students must teach at least two semesters and take the 76-901 Teaching Internship class during the first two semesters that they teach. The internship is
designed to provide resources, supervision, and evaluation of the teaching experience. Material covered in the internship includes:

- applying rhetorical and cultural theory to the teaching of writing and reading
- applying university and departmental regulations to the conduct of classes
- developing and using a syllabus
- conducting classes
- responding to student writing
- evaluating and grading student performance

Students who have extensive prior teaching experience may petition to have the teaching internship and class requirement waived.

**Grades**

To receive the degree, students must have a cumulative grade point average of at least a B (3.0).

**Master’s Option**

A student who enters the Ph.D. program without an M.A. may receive an M.A. in English following the successful completion of 24 hours (72 units) of Carnegie Mellon graduate study. A student wishing to receive an M.A. must apply the semester after the student completes the 24 hours. Consult with the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs about the procedure for doing this.
1.5 The Ph.D. in Rhetoric

The Doctor of Philosophy in Rhetoric focuses on how people produce and understand discourse and argument across a variety of social, cultural, and material contexts in schools, workplaces, and communities. The program familiarizes students with the history and theory of rhetoric and language study and with a variety of methods, qualitative and quantitative, for systematically exploring their interests in research projects and dissertation work. The program prepares students for academic careers centered on the history and theory of rhetoric, writing research and teaching, or interdisciplinary approaches to discourse and cultural studies.

REQUIREMENTS To receive a Ph.D. in Rhetoric, a student must do the following,

- Complete, with cumulative GPA of at least a B (3.00), 72 hours (216 units) of approved course work. Approved courses are normally at the 700- level or above in Carnegie Mellon’s system. (Note that students with previous graduate training may petition the Graduate Committee for approval of transfer credit. See the relevant policy.) Required coursework includes

  - four designated core courses during the first two years of the program:
    - History of Rhetoric
    - Contemporary Rhetorical Theory
    - Discourse Analysis
    - History, Theory, and Practice of Writing Instruction
  - elective classes of individual interest selected in consultation with your advisor to mesh with your research interests. These may come from existing course offerings in the graduate program, either inside or outside the English Department. Students are normally expected to take graduate-level courses as electives, although exceptions can be made when undergraduate courses are more appropriate for the student’s needs.
  - one 3-hour (9-unit) Directed Study course (76-800) in which a student does original research in collaboration with or under the supervision of a Rhetoric faculty member. This may involve working with the faculty member on that person’s research, or it may involve pilot or exploratory research of the student’s own, conducted under close faculty supervision.
  - two semesters of the teaching internship
  - one 3-hour (9-unit) Directed Reading course (76-911), taken in the final semester of coursework under the supervision of your Ph.D. Exam Committee Chair. During this Directed Reading course, your will draft your Ph.D. Exam Proposal.

- Complete the Research Tool Requirement
- Present a public paper by the end of the second year of Ph.D. coursework.
- Pass the Ph.D. exam
- Develop a satisfactory dissertation prospectus
- Write and successfully defend a Ph.D. dissertation

Students must comply with academic good standing policies to remain in the Ph.D. program (See Section 3, Academic Good Standing and Progress Reviews).
Full-Time Status

To maintain full-time status in the Ph.D. program, a student must take a minimum of 12 credit hours (36 units) per semester.

Teaching Internship

In addition to taking the required core courses, students must teach at least two semesters and take the Teaching Internship class during the first two semesters that they teach. The internship is designed to provide resources, supervision, and evaluation of the teaching experience. Material covered in the internship includes:

- applying rhetorical and cultural theory to the teaching of writing and reading
- applying university and departmental regulations to the conduct of classes
- developing and using a syllabus
- conducting classes
- responding to student writing
- evaluating and grading student performance

Students who have extensive prior teaching experience may petition to have the teaching internship and/or teaching requirement waived.

Grades

To receive the degree, students must have a cumulative grade point average of at least B (3.0).

Master's Option

A student who enters the Ph.D. program without an M.A. may receive an M.A. in English following the successful completion of 24 hours (72 units) of Carnegie Mellon graduate study. A student wishing to receive an M.A. must apply the semester after the student completes the 24 hours. Consult with the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs about the procedure for doing this.
2 PROCEDURES FOR FULFILLING PH.D. REQUIREMENTS

This section provides details about the Ph.D. requirements listed in Part 1.

2.1 Public Paper

Each Ph.D. student must present a paper at a professional public gathering. Rhetoric Ph.D. students are required to do this before they finish coursework.

**Paper Length**  The paper must, in the opinion of the student’s advisor, be of sufficient length to make a developed statement (20-40 minutes) about an area of professional concern (e.g., a report on current research).

**Authorship**  The paper should be single-authored by the student. Exceptions to this require prior approval from the Graduate Committee.

**Gathering**  The presentation of this paper must be at a gathering of professionals to address issues and concerns within their field. It may be anything from a national or regional conference to a recognized gathering at a major university, such as a departmental or program colloquium at CMU.

**Documentation**  The student should give the material (e.g., a copy of the page from the conference program) to the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs to document the paper presentation.
2.2 Language/Research Tool Requirement

The language/research tool requirement for Ph.D. students is designed to insure that students possess the skills necessary for research.

2.2.1 Rhetoric Requirements

To fulfill this requirement, Ph.D. students in rhetoric must:

- in each annual review after the first year review, identify the research tool they have chosen and describe the progress they have made toward mastering this research tool. A research tool can be research methodology (ethnography, historiography, experimental methods, statistics, advanced work in discourse analysis, rhetorical criticism, or computer-assisted corpus analysis, etc.) or a language, as appropriate for the student’s research plans. The rhetoric faculty, through the student’s advisor, will provide feedback on this annual statement, and specifically on the research tool in question. Progress toward mastering a research tool can be made in various ways, through regular courses as well as through independent study.

- in the dissertation prospectus, include a special, named, section that details the research tool or tools you have chosen to employ in your dissertation work. The student’s dissertation committee will be responsible for approving this set of tools, as one of the broader requirements for the dissertation prospectus.

2.2.2 LCS Requirements

LCS Ph.D. students fulfill this requirement by demonstrating “competency” in one natural foreign (classical or modern) language. Computer languages may not be used to fulfill this requirement.

Students should meet with their advisor or the Director of Graduate Studies about petitioning and present petitions for satisfying this requirement as early in their programs as possible. The language requirement must be fulfilled before the student can write his or her dissertation prospectus.

2.2.3 Demonstrating Competency in a Foreign Language: Rhetoric and LCS

LCS students and those Rhetoric students who use competency in a foreign language as their research tool may satisfy this requirement by:

- scoring in the “competency” range on the ETS exam
- earning a grade of B (3.0) or higher in a literature course taught in the language
- taking a competency course and passing the final exam
- presenting equivalent proof of competency
- passing a competency exam administered by the department (see below)

Petitions to fulfill the language requirement should be submitted to the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs. Petitions are reviewed and approved or denied by the Graduate Committee. Each petition will be judged on an individual basis, and the judgment will take into account both the appropriateness of the language to the student’s specialization and his or her unique abilities and
experience. Students may submit petitions in advance if they wish to find out whether a course they have in mind will count as fulfilling the language requirement. Such petitions may be approved provisionally, subject to the student’s demonstrating that he or she has completed the course with a B (3.0) or better. Deadlines for submitting petitions will be announced every semester. The Graduate Committee recommends that LCS students receive prior approval of course work intended to satisfy the language requirement.

**Departmental Foreign Language Competency Exam for Rhetoric and LCS**
Competency in a foreign language can be demonstrated by exam where a student is asked to translate one or more pages of text in a set period of time; use of a dictionary is permissible. The student’s advisor and/or exam committee will recruit a qualified faculty member from the English Department or some other department to set and evaluate the exam. This examiner will determine the kind of text to be translated based on the language that the student is offering. The exam will be organized and supervised by this examiner, who will certify the results in a brief memo to the Graduate Committee.

**A Note on Introductory and Intermediate Courses**
The English Department cannot provide tuition remission for the introductory and intermediate courses in a natural language that one would take for acquiring fluency, but it can provide remission for advanced natural language courses in cultural or rhetorical studies that are appropriate for a student’s program.
2.3 Ph.D. Qualifying Exam

The Ph.D. qualifying exam is designed to determine if the doctoral student has substantial knowledge of major theoretical work in the discipline and expertise in a specific area and method of scholarly research.

2.3.1 Rhetoric Exam

The exam for a Rhetoric student is meant to serve two functions. First, it should be a preliminary step toward the student’s dissertation, sketching the general area in which the dissertation will be located. Second, it should position the student in the discipline of rhetoric or at the intersection of rhetoric and other disciplines, identifying three or four subfields or concentric circles of endeavor in which he or she would like to be able to claim expertise. The proposal should consist of a reading list and a document of no more than 10 double-spaced pages that provides a critical analysis of the major trends in the literature on the list and talks about its relevance to the dissertation area.

Once all committee members have approved the exam proposal, the proposal will be circulated to the program faculty for their comments and suggestions. The proposal for a qualifying exam must be submitted to the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs no later than eight weeks before the student plans to take the exam. The Assistant Director of Graduate Programs will then circulate the proposal to the Rhetoric faculty

Rhetoric faculty members have a week after receiving the proposal to offer critiques and suggestions to the student and to the student’s exam committee chair. For purposes of clarity, these should be in writing. Once the Rhetoric faculty has had a chance to respond to the proposal, the student and his or her committee chair schedule a meeting at which they discuss all suggestions for revising the proposal and make plans for revision, if necessary. The proposal is accepted when the exam committee chair sees that all the planned revisions have been made.

The Rhetoric Exam Committee

Normally, the exam committee for a Rhetoric student is composed of three faculty members:

- the committee chair, who is responsible for the major area of concentration (the student’s specialization) and
- two other faculty members.

The exam committee in the Rhetoric program is expected to match as closely as possible the student’s declared areas of specialization. Students should identify and consult with faculty members about serving on their exam committee no later than their third semester of coursework (or the equivalent for part-time students).

Responsibilities of the Rhetoric Exam Committee

The members of the exam committee:

- establish the date and time of the exam, in consultation with the student
- identify the material to be covered in the exam, in consultation with the student
- compose the exam questions, without prior consultation with the student
- evaluate the answers
Format of the Rhetoric Qualifying Exam
The qualifying exam for Rhetoric students has two parts:
- a written exam (not to exceed three hours) and
- an oral exam (not to exceed two hours).

Written Exam: Rhetoric
The student responds in writing to two or three questions developed by the committee chair (usually in consultation with other committee members) based on the student’s exam proposal and reading list. The answers are circulated to all members of the committee.

Oral Exam: Rhetoric
The oral exam is held at least two days after the written exam, so that the committee members have time to read the written answers before the oral exam.

At least 24 hours before the beginning of the oral exam, the committee chair gives the student one question that the student prepares in advance of the oral exam. The student’s presentation of this answer takes up the first half-hour, approximately, of the oral exam. The remaining time is open to members of the committee, who may ask follow-up questions on the oral presentation or on the written exam or other questions based on the student’s exam proposal and reading list.

Evaluation of the Exam: Rhetoric
At the end of the oral exam, the committee deliberates in private to decide on a single pass or fail outcome for the exam (written and oral parts).

If a student does not pass the exam, he or she may retake it at least once. This may involve retaking all or part of the exam, at the committee chair’s discretion.
2.3.2 LCS Exam

The main purpose of the exam in LCS is to prepare the student for broad competency in generally recognized fields in English Departments. A secondary purpose is to prepare the Ph.D. candidate for dissertation research. We see the exam as playing a strategic role in preparing students for the job market. Our goal is for the student to read widely in both primary and secondary materials and to be able to write and talk expertly about the major questions in the field.

Exam Preparation
In the last semester of coursework, the student shall take a directed reading supervised by a member of the exam committee, usually the chair. This directed reading will count for 12 units, and will be conducted in a form to be negotiated by the student and his/her advisor. The student will need to consult with faculty prior to start of his/her last year of course work to arrange this directed reading.

Exam Documents
There are two required documents that the student must produce by the end of the directed reading. One is the reading list on which the student will be examined; the other is a series of questions, no longer than five double-spaced pages, that reveals the student’s approach toward the list, and which will guide both the student's study for the exam and the examiners' formulation of the test. The student will work with two additional faculty members concurrent with the directed reading to get feedback on the list and on the questions. The final list and questions must have the approval of three committee members.

The list should represent a recognized field. Recognized fields may be periods or other broad areas of expertise as defined, for example, by the Modern Language Association divisions or in JILL advertisements, e.g.:

- American Literature and Culture (such as nineteenth-century, early twentieth-century, 1945 to present, or African-American)
- British Literature and Culture (such as Renaissance/Early Modern, eighteenth-century, Romantic, Victorian, Modernist, or 1945 to present)
- World Literature in English (such as African, Caribbean, Indian, or Irish)
- Criticism and Theory

The list must be divided into two basic sections, primary and secondary, though it may be further divided at the discretion of the student and the committee. The division between “primary” and “secondary” materials divides those texts about which the student wants to claim professional mastery from those which aid the student in attaining that mastery. “Primary” texts should include texts that are considered standard in your field of expertise, so it will usually include novels, poetry, plays, essays, or other cultural artifacts, such as films, though it could include mainly theoretical texts if the field were "criticism and theory." There should be roughly 30 to 50 of them (since fields differ in their spread of primary texts, your committee should advise you on this). “Secondary” items will usually include influential literary criticism and literary/cultural theory that reflects the chosen approach. The secondary list may also include social, cultural, and political works and some standard reference works if they are needed for approach to cohere. There should be around 20 to 25 “secondary” texts.
The questions on the list should address big issues about which a significant essay might be written. The questions should make reference to key works of criticism and theory, as well as to primary works. The series of questions should be organized by major questions that are developed and elaborated by subquestions.

LCS Exam Committee
The 5-member exam committee is composed of

- a chair and two readers recruited by the student from the LCS faculty. This part of the committee will set the questions for the written exam.

AND

- two full-time faculty members appointed by the LCS program director, who will read the student's written answers and join the first three exam committee members to conduct the student's oral exam and evaluate and vote on the student's written and oral performance. (If an emergency makes it impossible for one of the LCS-appointed committee members to attend, the oral exam may proceed with only one.)

Students should identify and consult with faculty members about serving on their exam committee no later than their third semester of coursework (or the equivalent for part-time students).

Approval of LCS Exam Proposals
Once members of the student’s committee are satisfied that a student’s exam proposal defines an appropriate exam based on a reading list that meets the above requirements, they will sign a form to be submitted to the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs.

Format of the LCS Qualifying Exam
The LCS qualifying exam consists of two parts:

- A take-home written exam with several questions written by the committee to reflect previously discussed issues. The student should choose two questions to answer. The student shall write two essays of approximately 10-pages each, which are to be returned within seven days to the student’s committee members.

- An oral review as soon as possible after the student’s committee has read the exam. Students who wish to may begin with a 10-minute presentation preceding the oral review. The examining faculty has the option to pose questions based on the student’s exam proposal (both the 5-page series of questions and the reading lists), in addition to questions referring to the exam essays.

Evaluation of the LCS Exam
The exam committee will take a simple majority vote to pass or fail the examinee.

LCS Exam Evaluation Criteria
Pass: Successful completion of the exam.

Fail: Unsuccessful completion of the exam. If the exam committee judges that a student has not passed the qualifying exam, the student may retake the exam or part of the exam. Failure to pass on the second
attempt will result in a program faculty recommendation that the student be dropped from the program.

2.3.3 A Note on Summer Qualifying Exams

Qualifying exams are normally not administered during the summer, since most faculty members in the English Department are on 9-month contracts and thus not obligated to do summer work. Under unusual circumstances, however, a student may petition for a summer exam date. This petition must be received by the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs by May 15 and must include:

- the date proposed
- the reason for scheduling the exam at that time
- written statements of agreement by exam committee members that they can attend on the date proposed
2.4 Dissertation Committee Membership

The dissertation committee is composed of at least three members. These members are proposed by the student in his or her prospectus when it is submitted to the Graduate Committee.

Committee Members

The dissertation committee will include at least two faculty members from the English Department. The required third member (and optional additional members) may be from the English Department or other departments at Carnegie Mellon. The individuals who serve on a dissertation committee must be available to advise the candidate about the prospectus as well as the dissertation.

Committee Chair

The dissertation committee chair is normally a member of the English Department faculty. When warranted by the nature of the dissertation, a student may petition to the program faculty (Rhetoric or LCS) for a chair who is from another department at Carnegie Mellon. The approved petition must be submitted to the Graduate Committee along with the dissertation prospectus.

Committee Member not from Carnegie Mellon

If in writing the dissertation the student needs the expertise of someone from an institution other than Carnegie Mellon, the student may consult with his or her dissertation committee chair to have that person included in addition to the three from Carnegie Mellon or instead of one of the three.
2.5 Dissertation Prospectus Development And Submission

A prospectus is a proposal describing the topic and goals of the student’s dissertation. It should clearly define the topic and the argument to be made and indicate the student’s plan for researching and/or otherwise developing the topic and the argument.

Submission Procedure

1. A student should submit a prospectus after he or she has completed the requirements for candidacy. (See “Candidacy,” under Policies and Procedures)

2. In consultation with his or her advisor, a student should select faculty members who agree to serve as a dissertation committee. (See the “Dissertation Committee Membership” policy)

3. When the student and the dissertation committee agree that the prospectus is ready for submission to the Graduate Committee, the student should obtain a cover sheet from the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs, attach it to the prospectus, and have it signed by all of the dissertation committee members to indicate that they have read and approved the prospectus.

4. The student must then submit his or her prospectus to the Graduate Committee, via the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs, which refers it to two members of the faculty who act as readers outside the dissertation committee. These readers may come from within the Graduate Committee or from the department faculty at large. Typically, one will be in the student’s program (Rhetoric or LCS) and one will be from the other program. The readers will be asked to comment on:

   - whether the research plan seems appropriate and sufficient for the project and
   - whether the project as described seems feasible and consistent with the student’s educational program.

5. The readers provide a written report to the student and his or her committee. At that point, the student’s committee may require revisions.

6. Readers should normally report within two weeks. Note, however, that prospectuses received by the Graduate Committee later than two weeks before the end of classes in a given semester will not be assigned to readers until the beginning of the next semester.

Acceptance

For the purpose of meeting requirements for progress through the Ph.D. program, the prospectus is considered to be accepted once the prospectus, together with cover sheets signed by all committee members, have been submitted to the Assistant Director of Graduate Studies.
Evaluation Procedure

The prospectus is evaluated for the substance of its content and the quality of its presentation. Therefore, it must demonstrate that the student can discover, design, carry through, and report on a significant scholarly project.

IRB Approval

If the dissertation research involves observing, interviewing, or experimenting with human beings, prior approval from the university’s Institutional Review Board may be required. If you think your project may require IRB review, consult with your advisor. Information about IRB review of human subjects research is at http://www.cmu.edu/osp/regulatory-compliance/human-subjects.html. If IRB approval is required, it must be submitted to the Graduate Committee along with the prospectus.

Contents of Prospectus

The following content areas are presented as topics that a prospectus should cover, not as a strict template that defines a prescribed order of topic presentation:

- **Purpose of the study.** The prospectus should clearly define the scope of the dissertation and specify its purpose and objectives (e.g., a thesis to be supported, a theoretical position to be elaborated, a hypothesis to be tested, a problem to be resolved, a debate to be clarified, new information to be acquired). Hence, the prospectus establishes both the nature of the project and its boundaries.

- **Significance of the study.** In addition to clarifying the purpose, the prospectus should explain the importance of the study. One way to do this is to show its place in existing scholarship or research; another way is to show that this particular study looks at distinctly new things or at old things in a new way.

- **Relevant previous research.** The prospectus should briefly discuss previous research relevant to the dissertation topic. The discussion should include both scholarship with which the student is already familiar and that which he or she plans to examine. The student should elaborate some of the relations between his or her own work and major published work in the field. This discussion should be specific enough to clarify the contours of the dissertation and what is fundamentally at stake.

- **The student’s own research plan.** Students doing empirical or pedagogical studies should describe how they will gather, analyze, synthesize, and evaluate information and explain why the methodology proposed is the most effective way of meeting their objectives. This description should clarify both the theoretical and the methodological grounding of the project. Students doing other kinds of studies (theoretical, historical, descriptive, or analytical) should show that their research methods will cover their topic sufficiently.
- The prospectus must include a "Plan of Work" that shows the schedule of work agreed upon between the student and the advisor. This schedule will be used in the annual reviews to review academic progress.

Each prospectus should also include a proposed table of contents and a bibliography or reference list.

**Length of Prospectus**

A dissertation prospectus should be at least ten pages (2500 words) but no more than 15 pages (3750 words), not including the bibliography or reference list.
2.6 Formatting And Citation Style For Prospectus And Dissertation

Prospectuses and dissertations must be formatted according to the guidelines from ProQuest, which will deposit the dissertation in Hunt Library and make it available to online researchers once it is successfully defended and revised. These guidelines are available at http://www.il.proquest.com/assets/downloads/products/UMI_PreparingYourManuscriptGuide.pdf

Dissertations in the English Department are of various kinds, so no single style guide is mandated. The style guide used:

- must be standard for the type of dissertation (e.g., graphic, computational, statistical, textual),
- must be consistently applied, and
- must conform to the publication requirements of ProQuest.
2.7 Dissertation Defense

A Ph.D. candidate must publicly defend his or her dissertation before his or her dissertation committee and other members of the English Department.

Scheduling

Dissertation defenses must occur at least two weeks before the degree certification deadline for the semester the student hopes to graduate. For Ph.D. candidates hoping to graduate in the Spring semester, the degree certification deadline is usually the day before Commencement, so defenses must occur at least two weeks before Commencement. Check with the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs for the exact date of the degree certification deadline. If you defend later than two weeks before the Spring certification deadline, you may graduate in August, but you may not walk or be hooded during Spring commencement.

Because the dissertation defense is meant to be a public gathering, summer defenses are discouraged. The latest defense date for regular Spring defenses is the last day of finals week (but note that a defense held this late would not allow the student to graduate in Spring semester). The earliest date for regular Fall defenses is the week of Orientation. Under unusual circumstances, a Ph.D. candidate may petition for a summer defense in between these dates. The petition must be received by the Graduate Committee by April 15 and include the following information:

- the date proposed
- the reason for scheduling the defense at that time
- written statements by dissertation committee members that they can attend on that date

Note that students graduating in August may not walk or be hooded during Spring Commencement.

Before the dissertation defense can be scheduled, the student must submit a copy of the final (or next-to-final) draft to his or her dissertation committee for their review and must receive written agreement from them that the thesis is ready for defense.

A dissertation that is held to be ready for defense is one that is complete save, at the most, for very minor changes to the text. In particular, all chapters must be in almost-finished form. Committee members must agree that, unless unforeseen issues arise at the defense, revisions that are still needed will require no more than two weeks to complete.

Public Announcement

Not less than 10 working days prior to the scheduled defense, the PhD. Candidate must send the Assistant Director of Graduate Studies the final information about the date, time, and location of the defense; a list of the chair and readers on the student’s committee; and the dissertation title and abstract. A scheduled defense is subject to cancellation if the 10-day notice is not observed.
This information is used for a public announcement of the defense that is to be sent to the Dean’s office and circulated to other departments in the college for posting. An announcement of the defense will also be posted in the English Department office and elsewhere in the department and circulated by email.

**Time Allocation**

The dissertation defense typically lasts two hours. It is composed of the following segments:

- 30 minutes: Candidate’s overview of the dissertation study and major findings.
- 45 minutes: Questions from the dissertation committee.
- 30 minutes: Questions from other faculty.
- 15 minutes: Questions from other members of the audience.
2.8 Approval of the Defense and Dissertation

Defense Evaluation

Immediately following the defense, committee members meet to discuss the defense and the dissertation. They will vote to pass or fail the defense.

Final Revisions

Assuming the defense is passed, the committee may vote to approve the dissertation as is or require changes. Other faculty may also submit suggestions for changes to the committee. If changes are required, the committee will so indicate on the approval form and describe the required changes in a written memo to the candidate. The candidate should also receive the necessary paperwork from ProQuest for filing the dissertation with the university library and making it available to online searchers.

Final Approval

When the changes have been made to the satisfaction of the committee, the committee (or a designated member thereof) will sign the dissertation approval form and the signature page (six copies) indicating their final approval of the dissertation. The final version with the completed approval form and signature pages, along with the paperwork required for depositing the dissertation with the library, will then be submitted to the department and forwarded to the Dean for official approval. Only at this point will the candidate be certified for graduation.
3. ACADEMIC GOOD STANDING and PROGRESS REVIEWS

This section describes the required procedures that are aimed at ensuring that graduate students are doing satisfactory work and proceeding through their programs at the required pace. This section also describes the penalties for falling out of academic good standing and the procedures that faculty members will use to evaluate students’ performance and mentor students who are having problems.

3.1 Academic Good Standing Policy: M.A and MAPW students

In order to maintain good academic standing, M.A. and MAPW students must meet the following criteria:

- maintain a B average (QPA of 3.00) for each semester and cumulatively
- carry no more than three incompletes or incompletes that have lapsed to the default grade at any one time (see the “Incomplete Grades” policy or more information on incompletes).

M.A. Students

An M.A. student failing to meet criteria for good standing will be subject to review by program faculty. Possible consequences might include either academic warning or dismissal from the program.

MAPW Students

An MAPW student failing to meet criteria for good standing will be placed on probation for the semester following the one in which the student failed to meet good standing criteria.

During the semester of probationary status, the student will remain eligible for the standard tuition remission provided for all full-time MAPW students. Whether or not a student remains eligible for any additional merit-based scholarship money awarded to him or her will depend on the terms of the initial agreement for that aid as spelled out in the student’s letter of acceptance to the program. Students on probation will work with the program director and other faculty as relevant to rectify the situations that led to the probation.

- If, by the end of the semester, the student meets the criteria for good standing, the student will be removed from probationary status and returned to good standing.
- If, by the end of the probationary semester, the student fails to meet the criteria for good standing, the student will be dropped from the program.

A student who has been dropped from the program under the good standing provision may appeal to the MAPW program committee for reinstatement on extended probationary status for the following semester. During a semester of extended probationary status, the student is ineligible for tuition remission or additional merit-based scholarship awards.
A Note about Part-time M.A. and MAPW Students

Part-time M.A. students must work with their advisors to produce a schedule for moving through the program. This schedule must be in writing, with a copy sent to the Assistant Director of Graduate Studies for the student’s file. Students who move from full-time to part-time status must have such a schedule before they become part-time students. Students entering the program on a part-time basis must have this schedule worked out by the end of their first semester. The schedule will be reviewed as needed, but at least once a year.

University Time Limit for Completing Master’s Degrees

Normally, full-time Master’s students in the Department of English are expected to finish the degree in two (M.A.) or three (MAPW) semesters. Students who complete their program more slowly than this should be aware of this university-wide policy:

As outlined in the Master’s Students Statute of Limitations, http://www.cmu.edu/policies/documents/MastersStudentStatuteLimitations.html, students who have matriculated at Carnegie Mellon beginning Fall 2012 will complete all requirements for the master’s degree within a minimum of seven years from original matriculation as a master’s student or less if required by a more restrictive department, school or college policy. Once this time-to-degree limit has lapsed, the person may resume work towards a master’s degree only if newly admitted to a currently offered master’s degree program under criteria determined by that program.

Under extraordinary circumstances, such as leave of absence, military or public service, family or parental leave, or temporary disability, a school or college may, upon the relevant department's recommendation and with the written approval of the dean (or designate), defer the lapse for a period commensurate with the duration of that interruption. Students who are pursuing a master's degree as part-time students for all semesters of their program, as approved by their program, may also appeal to their program or department for extension of the time to degree limit.
3.2 Academic Good Standing Policy: Ph.D. Students

3.2.1 Criteria for Academic Good Standing

In order to maintain good academic standing, Ph.D. students must meet the criteria below.

During course work: Ph.D. students must:

- maintain a B average (QPA of 3.00) for each semester and cumulatively
- carry no more than three incompletes or incompletes that have lapsed to the default grade at any one time (see the “Grading” policy for more information on incompletes)

After course work: Course work is considered complete once a student has finished the required 72 hours (216 units) of approved course work. After that point, Ph.D. students must meet the following deadlines:

- **Ph.D Exam.** To remain in good standing, full-time students must take the Ph.D. exam within one semester of completing course work. For example, if a student finishes course work in the Spring 2014 semester, the student must take the qualifying exam by the end of the Fall 2014 semester. Under extraordinary circumstance, such as military or public service, family or parental leave, or temporary disability, the student may petition the relevant program faculty (Rhetoric or LCS) for an extension commensurate with the duration of the interruption. The department may, upon the program faculty’s recommendation and with the written approval of the head, allow an extension. Petitions should be submitted along with the Annual Review form. Students who fail the qualifying exam will be put on probation and must retake it within one semester of the first attempt.

- **Dissertation Prospectus.** To remain in good standing, full-time students must submit the dissertation prospectus, approved by the student’s dissertation committee, to the Graduate Committee within 2 semesters of passing the qualifying exam. For example, if a student passes the qualifying exam in Fall 2014, the student must submit the dissertation prospectus by the end of Fall 2015. Under extraordinary circumstances, such as military or public service, family or parental leave, or temporary disability, the student may petition the relevant program faculty (Rhetoric or LCS) for an extension commensurate with the duration of the interruption. The department may, upon the program faculty’s recommendation and with the written approval of the head, allow an extension. Petitions should be submitted along with the Annual Review form.

- **Satisfactory progress toward completing the dissertation.** In order to remain in good standing, the student’s committee members and program faculty must agree that the student is making satisfactory progress toward completing the dissertation. Progress will be reviewed annually. (See the “Annual Review after the First Year” policy.) Progress will normally be gauged by the student’s adherence to a plan for submitting work that the student creates with the dissertation committee and submits to the Assistant Director of Graduate Studies with his or her dissertation prospectus. This schedule will normally require that the student produces at least a complete draft of one chapter per semester. The dissertation committee
chair will report to the program faculty on the student’s progress toward the degree. The student will also submit a 1-3 sentence report as part of the annual review.
**Required Timetable for Full-time Ph.D. Students entering Fall 2011 or later**

In order to remain in Academic Good Standing during the Ph.D. program, you **must** meet the deadlines in this chart. This chart assumes that all deadlines are met (i.e., no probationary semesters). See below “Academic Good Standing” to calculate deadlines when there have been probationary semesters. Note that there are other requirements for maintaining good standing (e.g., maintaining a cumulative B (3.0) average) that are also detailed above. The “Plan of Work” will normally show the dissertation being completed in a way that allows the student to go on the market in Semester 11 (e.g., the major content chapters done by Oct. 1 of Semester 11 and remaining chapters completed and defended by the end of Semester 12.

Students entering with a BA have an additional year of classwork. Rather than being due Semester 4, the draft exam proposal is due Semester 6, followed by the same progression over 5 years as shown above, for a total of 8 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coursework Years 1 &amp; 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>(post M.A.)</strong>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coursework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sem 1 &amp; 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coursework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sem 3:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coursework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sem 4:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coursework</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sem 5:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exam proposal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must be approved</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and exams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must be passed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by end of semester.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presentation of public</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>paper by the end of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>this semester</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Rhetoric students).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft Exam Propo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sals in Directed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readings (76-911).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sem 6:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other requirements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>for candidacy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must be completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by the end of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>semester (no incompletes, two semesters of teaching &amp; internship, language requirement for LCS students).</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sem 7:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissertation prospectus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approved by dissertation committee</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>by end of semester.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See “Prospectus Developmen t and Submission” for specific deadlines.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABD status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>must be attained.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sem 8:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissertatio n chapters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approved according to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Plan of Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approved with the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prospectus.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sem 9 &amp; 10:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissertatio n chapters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>completed according to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Plan of Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approved with the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prospectus.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sem 11 &amp; 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissertatio n chapters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>completed according to</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Plan of Work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>approved with the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>prospectus.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sem 13 &amp; 14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissertatio n completed.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defense and certification by end of Spring semester.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Sample 6-year Time Line**

You may want to move more quickly than good standing deadlines require. Adhering to a faster schedule will give you more time to write your dissertation, and it will allow you to position yourself better as a publishing scholar. It will also put you in a position to enter the job market during your sixth year and to do a second job search during the final year of your funding in case your 6th-year job search is not successful. This timeline shows how you could do that.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coursework Years 1 &amp; 2 (post M.A.)</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7 (if needed)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sem 1 &amp; 2: Course work. Select and recruit your exam committee.</td>
<td>Sem 4: Coursework, Draft Exam Proposal in Directed Readings (76-911) with your exam committee chair. Have exam proposal approved by committee, read by program faculty, by the end of the semester. Present a public paper.</td>
<td>Sem 5: Pass exams as soon as possible this semester. Mull, frame argument, draft prospectus as you are reading for exams.</td>
<td>Sem 6: Have prospectus approved by dissertation committee, by end of semester. ABD status attained.</td>
<td>Sem 7 &amp; 8: Draft 1 or 2 chapters. Initial Plan of Work must be approved by the Graduate Committee.</td>
<td>Sem 9: Draft 1 or 2 chapters. Turn one dissertation chapter into an article and submit it to a refereed journal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.2.2 Ph.D. Students: Academic Probation

Students who fall out of good standing at any point in the Ph.D. program will be placed on probationary status. Students placed on probationary status will be informed of this change in status in writing. These students will work with their advisors and with the Graduate Committee, if necessary, to rectify the situations that have led to probation.

During a semester of probationary status, the student will remain eligible for tuition remission and a teaching or research assignment. A student on academic probation may not serve as graduate representative to any faculty committee, since the understanding is that the student will need to spend as much time as possible doing the work necessary to be removed from probation.

If, by the end of the semester, the student meets the criteria for academic good standing, the student will be removed from probationary status and returned to good standing. The Assistant Director of Graduate Studies will send the student a letter to this effect with a copy to be placed in the student’s file. If, by the end of the semester, the student fails to meet the criteria for good standing, the student will be dropped from the program.

A student who has been dropped from the program under the above provisions may petition the appropriate program director (Rhetoric or LCS) for one semester of *extended probationary status*. The program faculty, acting as a committee of the whole, may place the student on extended probationary status for one semester. During a semester of extended probationary status, the student forfeits the Ph.D. stipend and all related benefits. (See “Stipends and Other Benefits”.)

Students who accumulate 4 semesters on probationary status through multiple lapses of good standing will be dropped from the program whether or not they have returned to good standing after each probationary semester. Students who have been dropped from the program after accumulating 4 semesters on probationary status may petition the Graduate Committee for a one-semester extension if they have evidence of extraordinary mitigating circumstances that have not already been considered. If this petition is unsuccessful, the student may follow the normal departmental and college grievance procedures.

NOTE: Only academic-year semesters (Fall and Spring) are counted in calculating probationary periods.
3.2.3 A Note about Good Standing for Part-time Ph.D. Students

Part-time Ph.D. students must work with their advisors to produce a schedule for moving through the program they are enrolled in. This schedule must be in writing, with a copy sent to the Assistant Director of Graduate Studies for the student’s file. Students who move from full-time to part-time status must have such a schedule before they become part-time students. Students entering the program on a part-time basis must have this schedule worked out by the end of their first semester. The schedule will be reviewed as needed, but at least once a year.

3.2.4 A Note about Good Standing for Students in ABS (In Absentia) status

Students who plan to change to ABS (in absentia) status must work with their advisors, in consultation with their other committee members if they have finished coursework, on a schedule for fulfilling requirements to remain in academic good standing. This schedule must be spelled out in writing and submitted to the Assistant Director of Graduate Studies for the student’s file. The schedule must be reviewed and, if necessary, revised by the student and the student’s committee once a year for the time the student remains in absentia.

3.2.5 University time limit for completing Ph.D. degrees

As described above, full-time Ph.D. students in the Department of English are expected to finish the degree in no more than seven years. Students who complete their program more slowly than this should be aware of the following university-wide policy:

As outlined in the Doctoral Student Status Policy, www.cmu.edu/policies/DSS.html, students will complete all requirements for the Ph.D. degree within a maximum of ten years from original matriculation as a doctoral student, or less if required by a more restrictive department or college policy. Once this time-to-degree limit has lapsed, the person may resume work towards a doctoral degree only if newly admitted to a currently offered doctoral degree program under criteria determined by that program.

Under extraordinary circumstances, such as leave of absence, military or public service, family or parental leave, or temporary disability, a school or college may, upon the relevant department's recommendation and with the written approval of the dean, defer the lapse of All But Dissertation status for a period commensurate with the duration of that interruption. Students, who are pursuing the Ph.D. degree as part-time students for all semesters of their program, as approved by their program, may also appeal to their program or department for extension of the time to degree limit.
3.3 Ph.D. First Year Reviews

The first-year review for Ph.D. students takes place in two stages, one at the end of the first semester and the other at the end of the second semester. These reviews are intended to help the student plan a focused course of study and to help faculty evaluate the student's performance with an eye to judging whether or not the student should continue in the program after the first year.

**Student Input.** With the assistance of his or her advisor, the student will write and submit a brief statement (250-500 words) that describes his or her:

- plans for remaining course work (including courses and language/research tool requirement). These plans should be based on the department’s two-year curricular plan, which will be made available for this purpose.
- areas of interest for specialization and research

This statement will be considered by the program faculty and will be used by both student and advisor to plan future course work and possible projects. It should be seen as a planning document rather than a binding contract.

**Teaching Evaluations.** The student must also submit copies of teaching evaluations and teaching supervisor observation(s), if any, for the previous semester. (For students who start the Ph.D. program in August, this will mean Fall semester.)

The Fall semester statement and teaching materials are due by January 1. The Spring semester statement and teaching materials are due by April 15.

**Faculty Input.** Each faculty member with whom the student has studied and each faculty member who has supervised the student’s research or teaching will be asked to comment in writing on the following:

- How would you characterize the student’s performance (strengths and weaknesses) in the course he or she took with you (or in research/teaching that person did under your supervision)?
- Should the student continue in this graduate program? Please explain.

These forms must be completed by faculty by January 1 for the Fall semester review and April 15 for the Spring semester review.

**Meetings**

- **Program Faculty.** All statements and evaluation forms go to the faculty members of the student’s program (Rhetoric or LCS) for review. The program faculty meet to evaluate the student on the basis of the faculty evaluation forms referred to above as well as the student’s completion of course work, grades in course work, teaching performance, and the student’s statement.
• **Student and advisor.** After the program faculty meeting, each student’s advisor and/or another faculty member from the student’s program meet with the student to discuss the student’s review.

The Faculty meeting about the Fall semester review will be held during the first week of classes of the Spring semester. The Student-Advisor meeting about the Fall semester review will be held as soon as possible thereafter. Spring review meetings will be held in May.

**Outcomes:**

Evaluations are based on grades, classroom performance, teaching, assessment of a student’s potential to do Ph.D.-level research, overall focus, and fit with the program. A negative assessment could be based on any or a combination of these things. A negative evaluation in the Fall semester review will result in the student being placed on probationary status for the Spring semester. The student and his or her advisor will work out a plan for attempting to remedy the problems that led to the negative Fall-semester review.

For students placed on probationary status after the Fall semester review, a second negative evaluation in the Spring review will result in the student being dropped from the program.
3.4 The Ph.D Annual Review After The First Year

After the first year, Ph.D. students will be reviewed annually to encourage them to make satisfactory progress through the program and to help them deal with any difficulties that they are encountering. Annual reviews will also provide faculty with evidence about students’ academic standing and teaching performance.

Student Input

Each Ph.D. student must submit an annual review report every year by April 15, using the form reproduced below. (This template will be circulated as a .doc file every year.)

Teaching evaluations for the previous calendar year must also be submitted. (For example, Annual Reviews for 2014 would include teaching evaluations and teaching supervisor observations for Spring and Fall semesters 2013. See”Satisfactory Teaching, p. 58.)

Faculty Input

The faculty of the program in which the student is enrolled (LCS or Rhetoric) will be expected to meet before the final day of classes to discuss Annual Reviews. Faculty will not provide written input but will be asked to comment at the meeting on the progress of students with whom they work.

Outcomes

No more than a week after the program faculty has conducted the annual review, students will be informed in writing (by letter or email) whether their progress has been judged satisfactory. Annual reviews and faculty responses will also be placed in students’ files. Students whose progress is found to be unsatisfactory according to the “Good Standing” policy will be placed on academic probation and will be required to meet with their advisors sometime before the date on which final grades are due for the semester. (Students found to be having more minor difficulties, which do not merit probation, may also be asked meet with their advisors sometime before the date on which final grades are due for the semester.) The scheduling of these meetings will normally be the responsibility of the advisor.
Template for the Annual Review for Ph.D. students after the first year

*Please return this form to the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs by April 15.*

*If you are teaching in the Department of English, attach copies of your teaching evaluations for the calendar year before this year, along with teaching supervisors’ evaluations.*

Your name: ____________________

Your program: ___LCS ___Rhetoric Are you: ___ Full time ___ Part time

Part time students only: How much of your time do you devote to the Ph.D. program?

- Half time ___ (the equivalent of two courses a semester, without teaching)
- One quarter time ___ (the equivalent of one course a semester, without teaching)

Who is your advisor? ______________________

Semester and year you started the Ph.D. program: _____

Were you admitted to the Ph.D. program with an M.A. ___ or without an M.A. ___?

In what month and year (e.g., March, 2014) did you complete each of the following steps?

- First year of coursework (including incompletes) ______
- Second year of coursework (including incompletes) ______
- Third year of coursework (including incompletes): students admitted without an M.A. ______
- Directed Study (79-800) course (Rhetoric students) ______
- Two semesters of teaching and teaching internship ______
- Petition for transfer of M.A. credits accepted (if applicable) ______
- Language requirement petition accepted (LCS students) ______
- Presentation of a public paper ______
- Ph.D. exam proposal accepted ______
- Ph.D. exams passed ______
- Dissertation prospectus accepted ______

Please list any conference papers delivered, publications accepted or published (indicate which), scholarly service performed (e.g. editorial reviewing, organizing and/or chairing a conference panel, etc.) since you submitted your last annual report (for second-year students, since submitting your first-year report). Provide full information, including co-authors/co-organizers, if any.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(e.g. November, 2010)</td>
<td>(e.g. “book note on Smitherman, The Mothers’ Tongue, accepted by Language in Society (500 words))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Please list the courses you taught this year, if any, and/or the other work you did in return for your stipend, as well as any other jobs you held. If you held a dissertation or other fellowship during the year, please indicate this.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Summer '0X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall '0X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring '0Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In no more than 250 words, please describe your major accomplishments and hurdles in the Ph.D. program since last year’s review. Touch on your progress in the program, other scholarly accomplishments, teaching (if any) and service work (if any). Note that you are not required to demonstrate major accomplishments in all these areas! At the end of your statement, please indicate its exact word count. Students writing dissertations should attach the Plan of Work (dissertation work time line) that was approved as part of the dissertation prospectus and discuss their academic progress in relationship to the plan.

Example: My mother’s serious illness during Fall 2012 kept me from working for several weeks, which delayed my finishing my dissertation prospectus by the end of the semester as I had hoped to. However, in January 2013 my dissertation prospectus was approved by my committee. Since then I have been working on refining my chapter outlines and have started the analysis on which chapter 3 will be based. As per the dissertation plan agreed to by my committee, I am on track to complete a draft of chapter 3 this semester and the first chapter in the Fall semester. I presented a paper at the Rhetoric Society of America conference in May, 2012 and have submitted an abstract for the MLA for the 2014 conference. My 500-word book note for Language in Society was accepted earlier this Spring. In Spring 2012 I taught 76-101 according to the syllabus I developed last year. In the Fall I was assigned to teach 76-387, Language and Culture, for the first time. My teaching evaluations and observations reports for both courses are satisfactory, though I will need to work on making sure my Language and Culture students feel that they understand the assignments fully. I have served as the Rhetoric representative to the Graduate Committee for 2012-13. (213 words)

Rhetoric Ph.D. students only: Please write a 1-3 sentences in which you identify the research tool or tools you have chosen and describe the steps you have taken this year toward fulfilling the research tool requirement.

Example: Since I am interested in the history of military propaganda, Professor Aristotle, my advisor, suggested that a suitable research tool would be a course in historical methods. I have spoken with Professor Thucydides in the History Department, who suggested that I take 79-702, the Graduate Research Seminar in History. I will do so in Fall 2014.
3.5 Expectations of Faculty Working with Ph.D. Students

At all stages, Ph.D. students should be able to expect that faculty members will read and comment on their work (draft exam petitions, prospectuses, dissertation chapters, etc.) within two to three weeks. If there are unavoidable exceptions, the faculty members must make the student aware of the reasons for exceptions, and the student and the faculty member should agree on a response deadline. If the student experiences repeated difficulty in getting prompt responses to work, the student should talk to the relevant program director (Rhetoric or LCS) or ask another faculty member to do so. If the problem lies with the program director, the student should talk to another faculty member or the Department Head.
4. OTHER POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

4.1 Who is Your Advisor?

**M.A. in English:** Your initial advisor is the Program Director of Rhetoric or LCS, as appropriate. The Assistant Director of Graduate Studies may assign another faculty advisor during your first semester.

**MAPW, M.Litt.:** Your advisor is the Assistant Director of Graduate Studies

**Ph.D.:** Your initial advisor is the Program Director of Rhetoric or LCS, as appropriate. No later than your third semester in the program (or the equivalent, for part-time students), you will select and recruit a Ph.D. Exam Committee chair. This person will then be your advisor. If you should decide to work with a different Dissertation Committee chair, that person would then become your advisor.
4.2 Transfer of Credits from M.A. Program

Ph.D. students, but not M.A. students, may petition for the transfer of previously-earned graduate credit from other institutions. Each semester-based credit hour transfers as three units, so a semester-based three-credit hour course would transfer as nine units.

Ph.D. students whose previous graduate coursework was done at another institution or in another department at Carnegie Mellon should normally request the transfer of no more than 24 credit hours (or 72 units) for completed graduate-level coursework. (Transferring more hours may interfere with a student’s eligibility for tuition remission.) Only courses in which the student has earned a grade of B (3.0) or higher may be transferred. (A grade of B- will not transfer.) Ph.D. students whose previous graduate coursework was done in Carnegie Mellon’s M.A. in English or MAPW programs do not need to petition for transfer of credit. Normally, 24 credit hours (or 72 units) of their previous coursework will be applied against the credit hour requirements for the Ph.D., assuming that they earned a grade of B (3.0) or more in all these courses.

M.A. students are not eligible to request transfer from previous graduate programs at another university or college.

General Information. Only course credit, not grades, are transferred, so the student’s Carnegie Mellon grade point average will not reflect transfer credit received.

Of the 24 credit hours that a student may petition to transfer, up to 12 credit hours may be for work of general relevance to the student’s field of study. The student must submit the necessary documentation for these courses (see below) but need not include a specific argument for their transfer.

For all additional credit hours, up to the maximum of 24 credit hours (72 units), a student must submit both the necessary documentation and a brief statement explaining the specific relevance of the course work to his or her current degree program.

Deadline
Ph.D. students may petition for the transfer of previous course credit after the first year but must do so prior to taking the Ph.D exam. The deadline for submitting petitions for transfer of credit is during the week before registration each semester. The exact deadline will be announced by the Assistant Director of Graduate Studies.

Procedure
To request the transfer of course credit, a student must complete the following steps:

1. Write a petition in accordance with the guidelines highlighted in the “Petition” section below.
2. Submit the petition to his or her advisor for review and signature.
3. Submit the signed petition, along with a transcript documenting the credits, to the Graduate Committee for review and approval via the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs.
Petition
A petition for the transfer of course credit must contain the following information:

1. The student’s name, degree program, and number of credits earned in the Ph.D. program to date.
2. A statement at the top of the page identifying the petition as a request for transfer credit.
3. The total number of transfer credits being requested. (Identify the number of credits requested in the current petition separately from those granted by previous petitions.)
4. Information about each course being requested for transfer credit:
   a. course number, title, number of credits received, and whether credits were semester or quarter hours
   b. school at which the course was taken
   c. degree program enrolled in at the time the course was taken
   d. semester and year the course was taken
   e. grade received
   f. brief description of the course
5. An argument that explains the relevance of each course to the student’s degree program for all transfer credits requested beyond the first 12 up to the maximum of 24. (Note: When providing explanations of course content and relevance, keep in mind that the Graduate Committee is composed of faculty and students with diverse backgrounds who may not be aware of the content of courses taken in another degree program.)
6. Advisor’s signature.
7. A copy of the transcript documenting the student’s completion of courses identified in the petition.

Note
With the consent of their advisors, students may take courses at other institutions while they are at Carnegie Mellon, as part of their Ph.D. coursework. Students do not need to petition to have units for these courses transferred. See “Cross-Registration for Courses.”
4.3 Waiver of Program Requirements

All graduate students may petition for the waiver of specific program requirements if they have satisfactorily completed equivalent course work here or in another graduate-level program, provided that a grade of B (3.0) or higher was earned in the equivalent course.

General Information
A waiver allows the student to substitute another course for an original program requirement. In the waiver request, a student must identify how that course is the close equivalent of a required course in the degree program. If a waiver is granted, the student must still take the full number of credits required by the degree program.

Deadline
Students should submit requests for requirement waivers as early in their programs as possible to allow time to plan a coherent course of study with their advisors. All petitions for waivers of a program requirement must have been submitted no later than the semester before the student wishes to take the qualifying exam.

Procedure
To request the waiver of a specific program requirement, a student should:

1. Write a petition in accordance with the guidelines highlighted in the “Petition” section below.
2. Provide a copy of the petition to his or her advisor for review and signature.
3. Submit the signed petition to the Graduate Committee for review and approval via the graduate director.

Petition
A petition for the waiver of a specific program requirement must include the following information:

1. The student’s name and degree program.
2. A statement at the top of the page identifying the petition as a request for the waiver of a program requirement.
3. The titles of both the course to be waived and the course proposed as a program substitute.
4. Information about the course being proposed as a substitute for the program requirement:
   ▪ course number, title, number of credits received, and whether credits were semester or quarter hours
   ▪ school at which the course was taken
   ▪ degree program enrolled in at the time the course was taken
   ▪ semester and year the course was taken
   ▪ grade received
   ▪ brief description of the course
5. An argument that explains how the course is the close equivalent of the required course for which it is being proposed as a substitute. (Note: When providing an explanation, keep in mind that the Graduate Committee is composed of faculty and students with diverse backgrounds who may not be aware of the content of courses taken in another degree program.)
6. Advisor’s signature.
7. A copy of the student’s transcript documenting the completion of courses identified in the petition.
4.4 Independent Study and Directed Study

Independent Study (76-900 or 76-901) and Directed Study (76-800, 801, and 802) courses are designed to provide students with an opportunity for intensive study of a subject that is either unavailable or insufficiently covered in regular course work. Independent/Directed study is not intended to substitute for existing courses, but to provide the opportunity for a specialized educational and research experience.

Who can Supervise?

Any faculty member in the English Department is eligible to serve as the supervisor of an Independent Study or Directed Study project. The student must provide a brief prospectus of the project to the faculty supervisor as a basis for reading agreement on the objectives of the study.

Approval

Students arranging Independent Study or Directed Study programs must:

1. Get approval from their advisor before electing the course.
2. Draw up a contract with the supervising faculty member that describes in detail the course and its requirements. A copy of this should be given to the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs.

External Study

Graduate students may request that Carnegie Mellon faculty who are outside the English Department serve as Independent Study or Directed Study supervisors. Approval of the reading list and/or research project must be obtained from the student’s advisor.

Restrictions

M.A. students in LCS may elect up to a total of 8 credit hours (24 units) of Independent Study and Directed Study.

M.A. students in Rhetoric may elect up to a total of 6 credit hours (18 units) of Independent Study and Directed Study.

MAPW students may elect up to a total of 3 credit hours (9 units) of Independent Study or Directed Study.

Ph.D. students in Rhetoric or LCS may elect up to a total of 12 credit hours (36 units) of Independent Study and Directed Study in addition to any Independent Study and Directed Study units that they completed as M.A. students (or in their first year in the program if admitted without an M.A.).
4.5 Cross-Registration for Courses

Requirements

Ph.D. students wishing to cross-register for a course at another college or university in the Pittsburgh area as part of their M.A. or Ph.D. coursework should get their advisor’s consent to do so and then talk to the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs about how to do so. Several schools, including the University of Pittsburgh and Duquesne, Carlow, and Chatham Universities, have cross-registration agreements with Carnegie Mellon.

Students are not required to petition for the transfer of coursework done at another college or university as part of their M.A. or Ph.D. coursework, as long as their advisor has consented to the plan. However, if the coursework done at another college or university is intended to satisfy the Ph.D. language requirement, the student must petition in accordance with the language/tool policy.

Restrictions

Students may take up to three courses at other universities, with the consent of their advisors. To take more, the student must petition the Graduate Committee.
4.6 Grades of “Incomplete”

Incomplete grades are to be given only in circumstances in which a student has been in good standing in a course through most of the term but is not able to complete the course for reasons beyond his or her control. When a student and a faculty member agree upon an incomplete at the end of the semester, the student and the faculty member must complete and sign a form (available from the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs) that:

- describes the work that must be completed
- indicates the default grade that the student will receive if the work is not completed by the end of the following semester

This form is to be given to the Assistant Director of Graduate Programs at the time that grades are submitted for the semester.
4.7 Asking for Letters of Recommendation

Students must be careful to give faculty members ample advance notice when a letter of recommendation is needed. A period of at least three weeks before the due date of the letter is suggested.
4.8 Student Research Assignments

M.A. students and some Ph.D. students have the opportunity to work as research assistants on various projects. Whenever possible, the department will try to make these projects consistent with the educational goals of the student’s academic program.

Assignments are made at the discretion of the faculty who post positions. Faculty may use one research assistant for up to (but no more than) five hours per week or a maximum of 50 hours per semester. These RA-ships are intended to be a modest financial perk and not a significant source of income. The primary purpose of the RA-ship is to give M.A. students the chance to develop a significant research-based relationship with a faculty member.
4.9 Priority in Teaching Assignments

Teaching assignments of one course per semester go first to full-time Ph.D. students taking coursework (including exams). The usual teaching assignment for graduate students will be at the 100 level and all students must teach at this level for two semesters. The department will endeavor—though not guarantee—to give graduate students who have a minimum of four semesters of teaching experience at Carnegie Mellon and have completed coursework the opportunity to teach at the 200 level or above also. Ph.D. students will be chosen as instructors for upper-level courses according to their qualifications to teach the proposed courses, their teaching record as displayed through student evaluations and faculty observations, the fit between the courses available and their research interests, their progress in the Ph.D. program, and their seniority in the program. Students will normally not be assigned more than one new preparation per semester.
4.10 Satisfactory Teaching and Teaching Probation

We see your development as an academic as closely linked to your development as a teacher. To that end, if graduate students have difficulty with their teaching, they should expect the department to assist them by providing a course of action that will allow them to improve their teaching. Good standing in teaching does not affect a student's academic standing. Thus, students cannot be dropped from their academic program solely because of teaching difficulties. Regardless of a student's teaching status, tuition remission will not be affected, although fellowship support (which depends on teaching) will be.

Evidence of Satisfactory Teaching
Students must submit teaching evaluations for the preceding semester or calendar year, as appropriate, as part of their annual review (see the “First-year Reviews” and “Annual Review after the First Year” policies.)

Students teaching 76-101 or 76-100 must have their class observed at least once by the Director of First-Year English. Students teaching courses outside the first-year program must have each course that represents a new preparation observed at least once by one of the faculty members who provide mentoring for the course. This should normally not be the Director of First-Year English. Program directors (Rhetoric or LCS) will designate observers for courses other than 76-101 and 76-100 to insure that these students have had appropriate training. In addition, by the time students apply for jobs, at least one member of their dissertation committees should have observed their teaching. Observers will write short (one- or two-paragraph) reports, which students will submit with their annual reviews.

Options for Student Evaluations of Teaching

There are two options for student evaluations: (1) the University Course Assessment, which is the online evaluation tool with scores made available to students and some other members of the CMU community, and (2) the departmental course assessment, which is a paper form with scores made available only to you and faculty involved in mentoring teaching and making teaching assignments. (There are 5 paper evaluation forms, one for 76-101, one for 76-100, one for 76-270, one for other upper-level rhetoric courses, and one for upper-level LCS courses.) There are reasons for and against each option. Your teaching advisor (the Director of First-Year English in the case of 76-100 or 76-101, Program directors in the case of other courses) will have a policy or at least advice about which you should choose. If you don't already have their advice, seek it out.

The university's policies about privacy prohibit anyone from divulging information about a student without the student's explicit consent. Since the University Course Assessment makes private information (your teaching evaluation) public, you cannot be evaluated by this method unless you sign a waiver form opting out of the privacy policy for this purpose. If you want to use the University Course Assessment in your course, you must sign a waiver form. The Assistant Director of Graduate Programs will circulate a request for waiver forms each semester. Waiver forms must be returned to her by the deadline she specifies.
Criteria for Satisfactory Teaching

To demonstrate satisfactory teaching, students must:

- Maintain teaching evaluations that indicate average or better than average performance.
- Use course syllabi that meet the goals of the program within which they are teaching. (Program directors are generally the people who can help you with your syllabi.)
- Meet basic requirements of the job: attending class, grading papers and assigning grades in a timely manner, attending teachers’ meetings when applicable, contacting the main office when canceling classes, and so forth. If you are unsure about what these requirements are, consult the relevant program director (First-Year English, Professional Writing, Rhetoric, or LCS).

Teaching Probation, Suspension, and Termination

If a student fails to demonstrate satisfactory teaching, a committee consisting of the graduate program directors, the Director of Graduate Studies, the Director of First-Year English, the Director of Professional and Technical Writing, and the department head will decide on a plan of action. The outcome of this process may be:

Teaching probation. During a semester of teaching probation, the student should expect to document the course of action that he or she is taking to remediate the teaching problems that were outlined by the ad hoc committee. Teaching probation will end when the student has demonstrated that he or she has fulfilled these plans. Teaching probation does not affect a student’s Ph.D. stipend and related benefits. (See “Stipends and Other Benefits”.) A student on teaching probation may not serve as graduate representative to any faculty committee, since the understanding is that the student will need to spend as much time as possible doing the work necessary to be removed from probation.

Suspension from teaching. A student may be suspended from teaching for a variety of reasons:

- demonstrating a pattern of failing to meet basic professional requirements, as sketched above
- being placed on teaching probation for two semesters for a recurring problem that is still not remedied at the end of that period
- failing to rectify a teaching problem by following through on the course of action outlined by the committee members

Students who have been suspended from teaching are no longer considered to be in good teaching standing and will forfeit the stipend and all other benefits unless they are engaged in another department-sanctioned activity that has an associated stipend and benefits.

A student who has been suspended from teaching may reapply for teaching after one semester’s suspension by petitioning the committee described above. Petitions should describe how the student will rectify the problems that led to suspension from teaching. The committee will then decide whether or not to allow the student to return to teaching on a probationary status. If the student does not demonstrate satisfactory teaching during that semester, he or she will be terminated from teaching.
Termination of teaching. In extreme cases, a student’s teaching may be terminated if the committee finds that there are severe problems with teaching that cannot be rectified. Although a student may be terminated from teaching at any time, termination should be a last resort, reserved only for those students who demonstrate either recurring problems in the classroom, unwillingness to work with the relevant program director to solve these problems, and/or other egregious behavior that cannot be remediated through services provided in the department and campus-wide. Students whose teaching has been terminated will not receive a stipend.
4.11 Stipends and Other Benefits

Contingency of stipend and benefits on teaching

Ph.D. students are expected to teach at least one course section in the English Department each semester in order to receive a stipend and other benefits (see your letter of admission for details. If you have misplaced it, the department has it on file). A small number of students occasionally do an alternative department-sanctioned activity (including but, not limited to, serving as research assistant for a journal or grant or assistant director of a program) in return for the stipend and other benefits. Students who accept a dissertation fellowship in place of a stipend will retain other benefits for the duration of the fellowship, provided that they remain in residence. If the fellowship has an out-of-residence requirement, these benefits must be negotiated with the department head.

Choosing not to teach

If, for any reason except those mentioned above, a student chooses not to teach at least one course section in the English Department in a given semester or engage in another department-sanctioned activity, the student will not receive a stipend nor will they receive the standard tuition remission for that semester. Depending on the semester and length of the opt-out, other benefits may be affected. This includes both students who are In Absentia and students who are in residence but not working in the English Department in one of the ways described in the preceding paragraph.

Probation, Suspension

Students who are placed on academic or teaching probation or teaching suspension should see the relevant sections of this Handbook for information about which benefits they forfeit.
4. 12 Ph.D. Candidacy, “ABD” Status, And *In Absentia* Status

**Ph.D. CANDIDACY**

A doctoral student shall attain “candidacy” in the English Department when he or she has successfully completed:

- all course work (having transferred credits from an MA program, if applicable, and eliminated all incompletes)
- two semesters of teaching and the teaching internship
- the public paper requirement
- the language requirement (LCS students)
- the Ph.D. qualifying exam

In order to write the prospectus and the dissertation, a student must have achieved the status of Ph.D. candidacy.

**“ABD” STATUS**

Ph.D. students are moved to “ABD” [“All But Dissertation”] status in Carnegie Mellon’s records once they have completed all requirements except for the dissertation. See Carnegie Mellon's "Doctoral Student Status Policy" for information on time limits on doctoral degree student status, a definition of All But Dissertation status, and related matters. (Note that in order to remain in good standing, Ph.D. students will typically be required by their dissertation committees and program faculty to finish sooner than the time specified in the CMU policy.) Once the time limit has been reached the student may resume work toward a PhD only by reapplying to the relevant program (Rhetoric or LCS). See the CMU Graduate Handbook for details.

**IN ABSENTIA (ABS) STATUS**

**ABS Status** A Ph.D. student who has been moved to ABD status (see p. 18) may be moved to *In Absentia* (ABS) status if he or she spends one or more semesters off campus. The university’s policies regarding ABS status are at [http://www.cmu.edu/policies/documents/ABD.html](http://www.cmu.edu/policies/documents/ABD.html).

**Departmental Policies** Students who are ABS forfeit the Ph.D. stipend and all related benefits. Exceptions to this policy must be negotiated with the department Head. Exceptions will normally not be made.

**Academic Progress** Students with ABS status are required to submit annual reviews and fulfill the normal requirements for satisfactory academic progress.

**Returning from In** The department can only fund a limited number of Ph.D. students each
Absentia Status

This means that students returning from in absentia status are not guaranteed funding or teaching, even if you have not yet had 7 years of fellowship support. If you plan to return from in absentia status and would like to be considered for funding, you must let the Assistant Director of Graduate Study know at least one semester in advance.

Special Note to International Students

J-1 or F1 visa holders are generally not eligible for ABS status. Before making any plans to leave campus prior to graduation, international students must review university policy and consult the Office of International Education.
4.13 University Financial Aid

Graduate students should consult the graduate student financial aid information found on The HUB website: [http://www.cmu.edu/finaid/graduate/index.html](http://www.cmu.edu/finaid/graduate/index.html). Students will find the Graduate Financial Aid Guide, information about funding options and how to apply for financial aid and other helpful links.

Graduate students who find themselves in need of immediate funds for emergency situations should contact the Office of the Dean of Student Affairs (see below), [www.cmu.edu/student-affairs/index.html](http://www.cmu.edu/student-affairs/index.html), to inquire about an Emergency Student Loan.
4.14 University Policy on Academic Integrity

www.cmu.edu/academic-integrity/index.html

In the midst of self exploration, the high demands of a challenging academic environment can create situations where some students have difficulty exercising good judgment. Academic challenges can provide many opportunities for high standards to evolve if students actively reflect on these challenges and if the community supports discussions to aid in this process. It is the responsibility of the entire community to establish and maintain the integrity of our university.

Carnegie Mellon University educates its students to become professionals who will serve society with integrity. The university also creates and disseminates new knowledge and expressions of knowledge in ways that benefit society. Carnegie Mellon strives to serve the changing needs of society through the three primary goals outlined in its mission statement: to create and disseminate knowledge and art through research and artistic expression, teaching and learning and transfer to society, to serve students by teaching them leadership and problem-solving skills, and the values of quality, ethical behavior, responsibility to society and commitments to work, to pursue the advantages provided by a diverse community, open to the exchange of ideas, where discovery and artistic creativity can flourish.
4.15 University Policy on Plagiarism and Cheating
http://cmu.edu/policies/documents/Cheating.html

Students at Carnegie Mellon are engaged in preparation for professional activity of the highest standards. Each profession constrains its members with both ethical responsibilities and disciplinary limits. To assure the validity of the learning experience a university establishes clear standards for student work.

In any presentation, creative, artistic or research, it is the ethical responsibility of each student to identify the conceptual sources of the work submitted. Failure to do so is dishonest and is the basis for a charge of cheating or plagiarism, which is subject to disciplinary action.
4.16 Summary of University Graduate Student Appeal and Grievance Procedures

http://www.cmu.edu/graduate/policies/Summary%20of%20Graduate%20Student%20Appeal%20and%20Grievance%20Procedures.html.

Graduate students will find the Summary of Graduate Student Appeal and Grievance Procedures on the Graduate Education Resource webpage. This document summarizes processes available to graduate students who seek review of academic and non-academic issues. Generally, graduate students are expected to seek informal resolution of all concerns within the applicable department, unit or program before invoking formal processes. When an informal resolution cannot be reached, however, a graduate student who seeks further review of the matter is to follow the formal procedures outlined here. These appeal and grievance procedures shall apply to students in all graduate programs of the University. Students should refer to the department specific information in this handbook for department and college information about the administration and academic policies of the program. Additionally, students may confer with the graduate student ombudsman on issues of process or other concerns as they navigate conflicts.
APPENDIX 1: DESCRIPTIONS OF REQUIRED COURSES

M.A. in Professional Writing

The following are descriptions of the courses required for the M.A. in Professional Writing.

Professional and Technical Writing. This course introduces students to the theory, research, and practice of professional and technical writing. Through reading, discussion, writing assignments and workshops, students develop a rhetorically grounded approach to analyzing communication problems and using their analysis to produce and evaluate a range of professional documents. Additionally, students learn important writing-related skills such as how to interview experts, work with clients, test documents on actual users, and manage collaborative writing projects.

Rhetorical Grammar. The primary objective of this course is to provide professional writers with a framework for identifying and authoritatively discussing the grammatical forms and constructions that they will be using in all of their writing. The course also includes some linguistic analysis, a consideration of English orthography, and discussion of the notions of standards and correctness in language. The concern throughout is to develop an understanding of those elements of grammar and usage that are the foundations for good professional writing and for leadership in professional writing settings.

Style. This course is designed to help students develop the professional judgment to make stylistic choices appropriate for particular audiences and contexts and the skill to implement those choices. Students develop a vocabulary of style, assess the effect of stylistic choices on readers, and become better editors of their own writing and that of others. The intellectual foundation for the course is derived from the “Plain English” movement; its principles help both professional and non-professional writers achieve clarity, precision, coherence, and conciseness in their writing.

Communication Design Fundamentals. Geared to students without prior experience in design, this course introduces writers to the field of graphic design and the fundamentals of designing print documents. Through a series of studio projects, lectures, discussion, and demonstrations, students become familiar with the visual and verbal vocabulary of communication designers, the design process, and the communicative value of word and image.

Document Design. As a complement to “Communication Design Fundamentals,” “Document Design” introduces students to a variety of approaches to integrating visual and verbal elements to produce meaningful and effective communication in print documents. The course views meaning as the result of an interaction between visual and verbal elements and therefore focuses on how the two work together synergistically to produce
meaning that neither would be able to produce alone. Projects are tied to class instruction on perceptual composition, typography, grid features, the strategic use of images, and the cohesion of word, image, and design. The course includes a weekly lab in which students are introduced the computer programs (Photoshop, InDesign, Illustrator,) that they need to execute course projects.

**Organizational Communication.** This course, which provides an overview of the field of organizational communication, focuses on the intersection of organizational structures and the communication strategies writers need to work successfully within them. The content blends the conceptual with the practical, with topics including the attributes of effective communicators; the communication skills needed for varied organizational roles (colleague, subordinate, team member or lead, manager, consultant); techniques for performance review and management, conflict situations, and changing work environments; and ways to build workplace credibility and navigate both the formal organizational structures and embedded informal social networks. The course also explores issues such as communicating across generations and cultures, communicating externally, and communicating through technology. While this requirement is typically fulfilled through this specific course, students may, with advisor permission, substitute 91-800 Organizational Management offered through the Heinz School of Public Policy.
MLitt in Investigative Journalism

The following are the 8 required core courses for the MLitt in Investigative Journalism. Courses with titles only are described in the preceding section on the MAPW core courses.

**Professional & Technical Writing**

**Rhetorical Grammar**

**Style**

**Document Design**

**On-Line Information Design.** This course includes the major theories, methodologies, research, and practices of on-line information design and prepares students to research, plan, develop, and user-test informational websites. Topics include methods for exploring users’ needs and tasks (interviews, observation); the major elements of effective web site design (information architecture, navigation, labeling, search design and features, and visual design); methods and artifacts of iterative design; and methods for evaluating and reporting on a design’s usability. The course includes a web design project as well as a lab section featuring the fundamentals of HTML, images, tables, interactive forms, web interfaces to databases, and basic Javascripting.

**Introduction to Journalism.** This class introduces the fundamental skills of interviewing, reporting, writing, and copyediting. It begins with the basics: conducting research and interviews, the importance of accuracy, striving for objectivity, and judging newsworthiness. Because the key to learning to write effectively is practice, the class features seven major writing assignments involving current events and covering various types of news writing. The course also examines issues and trends affecting journalism today, including the ways in which evolving news forms – 24-hours news cycles, cable news, streaming video, blogs, etc – shape and influence newspaper reporting and the news industry.

**Advanced Journalism.** This continuation of a two-course sequence emphasizes further refinement of the skills introduced in Introduction to Journalism. The course explores both the craft of writing journalistic non-fiction and the history and evolving practice of journalism in the US. A major focus is the study of the 6 major genres of journalistic nonfiction: the trend story, the profile, the explanatory, the narrative, the point-of-view, and the investigative. Students study all 6 then choose 4 of for which they research and write extended articles aimed at specific publications and are encouraged and assisted in finding outlets to publish their polished work. Additional assignments include writing exercises and a research paper on issues in contemporary journalism. The course also examines the evolution of journalism in the digital age and its impact on the media.
Investigative Journalism History & Theory – Strathclyde

Investigative Journalism has a specific and unique history. This class traces its development from the late 19th century, through the 1950s and into the late 20th century. The class explores how certain individuals had a powerful impact upon the category of journalism that specialised in investigations. It shows how political, social and economic issues impacted investigations, and highlights the importance of the Watergate investigation in a trans-Atlantic context. It also traces the UK roots of the craft of investigative journalism, paying particular attention to its 1970s TV profile, through to the 1990s political role. The projected issues, platforms and driving forces of the genre in the 21st century are also dealt with.

Investigative Journalism Methods

This class offers advanced training in the skills, tactics and techniques of investigative research. It is aimed at those interested in undertaking their own independent research on both public and private institutions and organizations in society. The class emphasizes the policy relevance of investigative research and offers a grounding in the legal, procedural and practical issues raised by this form of research. The course will generally be taken at Strathclyde during the 3rd semester but may also on occasion be offered at CMU during the 4th semester and in conjunction with the IJ project and thesis.

Ph.D. in Literary and Cultural Studies

The following are descriptions of the courses required for the Ph.D. in Literary and Cultural Studies.

Introduction to Cultural Studies.

This course offers a theoretical genealogy of cultural studies. As a genealogy, the course does not assume that cultural studies has an essence or an origin. The texts and topics reflect the heterogeneity of its emergence and development. The course does, however, embody several historical changes in cultural studies, from idealism to materialism, from mono- to multi-culturalism, and from high cultural exclusiveness to democratic inclusivity. The course is not designed to teach “approaches,” but to explore and interrogate the founding assumptions of the academic project that the student is being trained to join. Students should, by the end of the class, have a sense of where cultural studies came from and of the problems and possibilities raised by the theories it continues to invoke.

Two courses that have a significant focus on theory. These courses explore significant theories or models of culture and the methods for study that they suggest as well as the cultural practices
associated with them. Examples include “The Frankfurt School,” “Theories of Sexuality,” “DeMan and Said,” and “The History of the Discipline.”

Two courses that have a significant focus on a historical period. These courses stress the way that culture is constructed in a particular time and place. They may stress a single cultural object like the novel, but they include other signifying practices and institutions as well. Examples include “Electrifying the Victorians,” “The Long 18th Century,” “American Literary Realism,” and “Prose Works of the English Renaissance.”

Ph.D. in Rhetoric

The following are descriptions of the courses required for the Ph.D. in Rhetoric.

History of Rhetoric. This class focuses on a number of canonical texts within the history of rhetoric and rhetorical theory, beginning in antiquity with Gorgias, Plato, Aristotle, and Cicero; moving through the Medieval and Renaissance reception of classical texts; and ending with Giambattista Vico in the eighteenth century. Throughout this survey we pair older works with newer ones (Derrida, Bakhtin, Blumenberg, Butler, Parker), suggesting that contemporary post-structuralism is a late episode in the history of rhetorical theory. Themes in the class may include rhetoric as an alternative to philosophy, rhetoric as epistemology, rhetoric as a theory of culture, tropological versus topological rhetorics, and rhetorical literary criticism.

Contemporary Rhetorical Theory. This course offers an introduction to various contemporary theorists whose works are frequently studied and employed by scholars in our field, as well as a systematic and historically informed study of what constitutes rhetoric. Our readings and discussions will be guided by an important and ambitious question: What is rhetoric? With the help of contemporary theorists, we will try to determine whether rhetoric is still a discipline or rather a practice and, hence, whether it has a well-structured set of premises, methods, and goals, or whether it constitutes a fairly diffuse set of ideas, attitudes, and sensibilities. Among the issues we will want to tackle are: (1) the demise of rhetoric and its subsequent revival, with the role played by modernity and post-modernity in this process; (2) the relation between contemporary rhetoric and its traditions; and (3) rhetoric as a theory of verbal action. The foci of the course will be major figures in the field, as well as more controversial representatives of contemporary rhetorical theory: Chaim Perelman, Kenneth Burke, Paul de Man, Stephen Toulmin, Richard Rorty, Pierre Bourdieu, Jürgen Habermas, and others.

Discourse Analysis. This course explores how to move from a stretch of speech or writing or signing outward to the linguistic, cognitive, cultural, psychological, and rhetorical reasons for its form and its function. In the process, methodological issues involved in collecting texts and systematically describing their contexts are explored. Students work with data arising from their own work as well as with data provided by the instructor. Theoretical issues that may be discussed include language and ideology, linguistic determinism, speaking/writing roles, audience design and the co-construction of talk, genre, the effects of medium on discourse, speech acts, and register. Methodological issues may include ethnographic participant-observation, transcription and entextualization, qualitative analytical heuristics, and standards of evidence.
History, Theory, and Practice of Writing Instruction. This course focuses on the pedagogy of writing and curriculum design and related theory and research. It includes a course design project appropriate for a specific curriculum and context and experience analyzing and constructing the major components of a writing course: grounding principles, objectives, course design, assignments and methods, and evaluation. Topics to be covered include the history of writing instruction in the U.S., contemporary theories of invention and related pedagogies, learning theory and its implications for pedagogy, the theory and practice of curriculum and course design, and related research. Like all courses in the core curriculum, it will include a guide to resources for further work.
APPENDIX 2: ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

Information on general Carnegie Mellon University resources and support programs for graduate students can be found at the following web sites:

**Office of the Assistant Vice Provost for Graduate Education**

www.cmu.edu/graduate; grad-ed@cmu.edu

The Office of the Assistant Vice Provost for Graduate Education, AVPG, directed by the Assistant Vice Provost for Graduate Education, provides central support for graduate students in a number of roles. These include: being an ombudsperson and resource person for graduate students as an informal advisor; resolving formal and informal graduate student appeals; informing and assisting in forming policy and procedures relevant to graduate students; and working with departments on issues related to graduate students and implementation of programs in support of graduate student development.

The Office of the AVPGE often partners with the division of Student Affairs to assist graduate students with their Carnegie Mellon experience. Senior members of the student affairs staff are assigned to each college and are often consulted by the Assistant Vice Provost for Graduate Education and departments on an individual basis to respond to graduate student needs.

The Office of the AVPGE also coordinates several funding programs, and academically focused seminars and workshops that advise, empower and help retain all graduate students, particularly graduate students of color and women in the science and technical fields. The fundamental goals of our programs have been constant: first, to support, advise and guide individual graduate students as they work to complete their degrees; second, to contribute to the greatest degree possible to the diversification of the academy. Visit the Graduate Education website for information about Conference Funding Grants, Graduate Small Project Help (GuSH) Research Funding, Graduate Student Professional Development: seminars, workshops and resources, Graduate Women Gatherings (GWG), and Interuniversity Graduate Student of Color Series (SOC). The Office of the AVPGE is located on the 5th floor of Warner Hall.

**Office of the Dean Student Affairs**

www.cmu.edu/student-affairs/index.html

The Office of the Dean provides central leadership of the metacurricular experience at Carnegie Mellon. The offices that fall under the division of Student Affairs led by Dean of Student Affairs Gina Casalegno, include: Career and Professional Development Center, Counseling & Psychological Services (CAPS), Orientation & First Year Programs, Office of International Education (OIE), Student Activities and Student Life.

The Assistant Dean of Student Affairs serves as the point person in the division for graduate student resources and concerns. Graduate students will find the enrollment information for Domestic Partner Registration in the Office of the Dean of Student Affairs and on the website. The Office of the Dean of Student Affairs also manages the Emergency Student Loan (ESLs) process. The Emergency Student Loan service is made available through the generous gifts of alumni and friends of the university. The Emergency Student Loan is an interest-free, emergency-based loan repayable within 30 days. Loans are available to enrolled students for academic supplies, medication, food or other expenses not able to be met due to unforeseeable circumstances. The Office of the Dean of Student Affairs is located on the 3rd floor of Warner Hall.
The Carnegie Mellon Student Government consists of an Executive Branch and a Legislative Branch. This is the core of traditional student government, as governed by the Student Body Constitution. The Executive Branch serves the entire student body, graduate and undergraduate, and consists of one president and four vice-presidents. The Legislative Branch for graduate students, The Graduate Student Assembly (GSA) passes legislation, allocates student activities funding, and otherwise acts on behalf of all graduate student interests. GSA also plans various social opportunities for graduate students and maintains a website of graduate student resources on and off-campus, www.cmu.edu/stugov/gsa/resources/index.html. Each department has representation on GSA and the department rep(s) is the main avenue of graduate student representation of and information back to the graduate students in the department.

The Career and Professional Development Center (CPDC) at Carnegie Mellon is a centralized career center staffed by a team of seasoned and highly-educated professionals who orchestrate the career exploration, experiential learning, and career networking needs of students and alumni.

CMU's career and professional development model is grounded in discipline-specific career development, experiential learning, and employer relations shaped by strong connections with the university's seven academic colleges. The center's success is founded upon a solid understanding of career and professional development theory, integration of technology, and an unwavering commitment to providing personalized attention towards meeting the unique individual needs of students, alumni, and employers. The CDPC is located on the Lower Level of the University Center, 412-268-2064

The Office of the Assistant Vice Provost for Graduate Education (AVPGE) offers a robust schedule of professional development opportunities. Some are geared towards a specific population (master's students, PhD students at the beginning of their program, graduate students seeking tenure track positions, etc.) and others are open to all graduate students (time management, balancing, staying healthy). A full schedule of programs can be found at: http://www.cmu.edu/graduate/.

University Health Services (UHS) is staffed by physicians, advanced practice clinicians and registered nurses who provide general medical care, allergy injections, first aid, gynecological care and contraception as well as on-site pharmaceuticals. There is a small visit fee to see the physicians and advanced practice clinicians; nurse visits are free of charge. Fees for prescription medications, laboratory tests, diagnostic procedures and referral to the emergency room or specialists are the student’s responsibility. UHS also has a registered dietician and health promotion specialist on staff to assist students in addressing nutrition, drug and alcohol and other healthy lifestyle issues. In addition to providing direct health care, UHS administers the Student Health Insurance Program.
The Student Health insurance plan offers a high level of coverage in a wide network of health care providers and hospitals. It also covers most of the fees for care at Student Health Services. Graduate students should contact UHS to discuss options for health insurance for spouses, domestic partners and dependents. Appointments can be made by visiting UHS’s website or by telephone, 412-268-2157. Health Services is located in Morewood E-Tower, 1st floor. The entrance is facing Forbes Avenue on the corner of the building closest to the Morewood parking lot.

Counseling & Psychological Services (CAPS)
www.studentaffairs.cmu.edu/counseling
Counseling & Psychological Services (CAPS) affords the opportunity for students to talk privately about issues that are significant for them in a safe, confidential setting. Students sometimes feel confused about why they are feeling upset and perhaps confused about how to deal with it. An initial consultation with a CAPS therapist will clarify options and provide a recommendation to the appropriate mental health resource at Carnegie Mellon or the larger Pittsburgh community. CAPS services are provided at no cost. There are, however, limits on the number of sessions. Follow-up psychiatric services and off-campus referrals for longer term therapy are at the client's expense. Appointments can be made in person or by telephone, 412-268-2922. CAPS is located on the 2nd floor of Morewood E-Tower, near the corner of Forbes and Morewood Avenues. ENTER THROUGH THE MAROON ENTRANCEWAY AT THE BACK OF E-TOWER AND TAKE THE ELEVATOR TO THE 2ND FLOOR.

Eberly Center for Teaching Excellence
www.cmu.edu/teaching
Support for graduate students who are or will be teaching is provided in many departments and centrally by the Eberly Center for Teaching Excellence. The Eberly Center offers activities for current and prospective teaching assistants as well as any graduate students who wish to prepare for the teaching component of an academic career. The Center also assists departments in creating and conducting programs to meet the specific needs of students in their programs. Specific information about Eberly Center support for graduate students can be found at: www.cmu.edu/teaching/graduatestudentsupport/index.html. The Eberly Center for Teaching Excellence is located in Cyert Hall 125.

Office of International Education (OIE)
www.studentaffairs.cmu.edu/oie/
Carnegie Mellon hosts international graduate and undergraduate students who come from more than 90 countries. OIE is the liaison to the University for all non-immigrant students and scholars. OIE provides many services including: advising on personal, immigration, academic, social and acculturation issues; presenting programs of interest such as international career workshops, tax workshops, and cross-cultural and immigration workshops; supporting international and cultural student groups such as the International Student Union and the International Spouses and Partners Organization; maintaining a resource library that includes information on cultural adjustment, international education and statistics on international students in the United States; posting pertinent information to students through email and the OIE website, and conducting orientation programs. The Office of International Education (OIE) is located on the 3rd floor of Warner Hall.

Intercultural Communication Center (ICC)
www.cmu.edu/icc/
The Intercultural Communication Center (ICC) is a support service offering both credit and non-credit classes, workshops, and individual appointments designed to equip nonnative English speakers (international students as well as students who attended high school in the U.S.) with the skills needed to succeed in academic programs at Carnegie Mellon. In addition to developing academic literacy skills such as speaking, reading and writing, students can learn more about the culture and customs of the U.S. classroom. The ICC also helps international teaching assistants (ITAs) who are non-native English speakers develop fluency and cultural understanding to teach successfully at Carnegie Mellon and provides ITA testing. ICC is located on the 3rd floor of Warner Hall.

**University Libraries**

[http://search.library.cmu.edu](http://search.library.cmu.edu)

There are three main libraries at Carnegie Mellon: Hunt Library, Mellon Institute Library and Engineering & Science Library with the combined mission of providing access and help to graduate students in finding the information needed, teaching graduate students to evaluate available information and use reliable sources. The libraries' digital resources and services, including off-campus/ wireless access to databases and e-journals, offer online access. There are also two neighboring libraries open to Carnegie Mellon graduate students: Carnegie Library of Pittsburgh and University of Pittsburgh Libraries. Visit the University Libraries website for information about all mentioned library locations and hours, on-line resources and FAQ’s.

**Computing Services**

[www.cmu.edu/computing/](http://www.cmu.edu/computing/)

Computing Services is located in Cyert Hall 285. Computing Services develops, maintains and supports the computing infrastructure for Carnegie Mellon students, faculty members and staff members. This includes the campus wired and wireless networks, public computer labs or “clusters,” cable television and telephone services, computing related documentation and support through the Help Center. In addition, Computing Services provides standard classroom technologies for over 100 lecture halls, classrooms and seminar rooms across campus. The website contains addition information regarding The Help Center hours, location and contact information, computing cluster hours and location, the Carnegie Mellon web portal, computing security and policies and guidelines. Students can email the Help Center at [advisor@andrew.cmu.edu](mailto:advisor@andrew.cmu.edu) with questions and for assistance.

**Resources for Family and Dependents**

The Graduate Student Assembly website maintains a resource page for spouses, domestic partners and families of graduate students: [www.cmu.edu/stugov/gsa/resources/family.html](http://www.cmu.edu/stugov/gsa/resources/family.html), including The Student Parent Association, new mother rooms, and links to resources around campus and the Pittsburgh area.

Affiliate ID Cards are available for spouses and domestic partners of graduate students that allow them to access Carnegie Mellon’s campus. These cards are available through The HUB to spouses and partners of graduate students who are enrolled for the current academic year in a full-time graduate degree program. The card is valid for one year. For more information about student and affiliate ID cards, please visit: [http://www.cmu.edu/idplus/idcards/cardtypes.html](http://www.cmu.edu/idplus/idcards/cardtypes.html).

**Domestic Partner Registration**

Carnegie Mellon extends certain benefits to domestic partners of students. Eligible students may elect benefits for their domestic partners through the registration process orchestrated by the Office
of the Dean of Student Affairs, 3rd floor Warner Hall. Information regarding the benefits available for domestic partners, eligibility for domestic partner benefits, registration instructions and forms can be located at: www.studentaffairs.cmu.edu/dean/domestic_partner/index.html.

**Housing**
The university does not offer housing to graduate students. The Office of Housing and Dining Services does provides community housing information to assist graduate students who are seeking housing in the communities surrounding the university, including information on the legal aspects of renting an apartment, moving checklists and the off-campus housing database. This information can be located at: www.cmu.edu/housing/community-housing/index.html.

**Dining**
www.cmu.edu/dining/
Dining services and operations are offered through the Office of Housing and Dining Services. The office operates dining locations open around campus in academic buildings, Hunt Library and the University Center. These locations offer flexible hours with options from the early morning through late night. The Dining Service website contains information about dining locations, hours of operation, graduate student dining plans forms, nutritional information, and weekly menus for dining locations.

**University Police**
http://www.cmu.edu/police/
412-268-2323 (emergency only), 412-268-6232 (non-emergency)
The University Police Department is located at 300 South Craig Street, Room 199 (entrance is on Filmore Street). The department’s services include police patrols and call response, criminal investigations, shuttle and escort services (additional information included in the Parking and Transportation section of the handbook below), fixed officer and foot officer patrols, event security, and crime prevention and education programming. Visit the department’s website for additional information about the staff, escort and shuttle, emergency phone locations, crime prevention, lost and found, finger print services, and annual statistic reports.

**Parking and Transportation**
www.cmu.edu/parking/
Graduate students will find information about parking and availability, parking policies, transportation options and Port Authority Transit usage with a valid university ID on the Parking and Transportation Services site. The Parking and Transportation Services office is located in the lower level of the university center, ll#8. There is limited parking on campus and the varying permit rates can be found on the website. All parking areas of campus are either by permit, metered or by the hour in the garage. Parking and Transportation Services will ticket any car parked in a permit area without a permit or at an expired meter. The city monitors the metered parking along Margaret Morrison, Frew and Tech streets and will ticket at expired meters as well.

The university offers shuttle and escort services operated through University Police. The shuttle service operates several routes within the Oakland, Squirrel Hill and Shadyside areas, as well as to university sites located outside of the main campus. The escort service offers vehicle routes within a radius of campus between 6:30 pm-6 am daily. Information regarding shuttle
and escort schedules, pick-up/drop-off locations, routes and usage policies can be found at: www.cmu.edu/police/shuttleandescort/.

SafeWalk provides another option to campus community members walking across and around campus during late-night hours. SafeWalk is a student volunteer organization that provides campus escorts for all members of the Carnegie Mellon community. SafeWalk operates nightly during the regular academic year (except certain holidays and break periods) from 10pm until 2am. Students, faculty and staff may request an escort by calling 412-268-SAFE (8-7233 from a campus phone), by approaching an escort team, or by stopping at the SafeWalk dispatch area in the University Center, Lower Level near the Post Office Package Pick-Up window between 10pm-2am. SafeWalk will escort to locations approximately one mile from campus. Additional SafeWalk information can be found at: www.studentaffairs.cmu.edu/safewalk.

**Copying, Printing and Mailing Services**

Carnegie Mellon offers community members easy access to FedEx, copy centers, printing and mailing services, and postal services. More information regarding these services, locations and contact information can be found in The Word at: www.cmu.edu/student-affairs/theword//campus_resources/copyprintmail.html.

**University Center**

[www.cmu.edu/university-center](http://www.cmu.edu/university-center)

The University Center is a centerpiece of the campus that provides a space for special events, physical fitness, student organizations and various activities, as well as accommodating retail and dining services. As the campus crossroads, the University Center functions as a place for students to interact, get involved and enjoy new experiences. Visit the University Center website for information about campus eateries, ATMs and PNC Bank, fitness rooms and schedules, retail stores, scheduling University Center space, the public prayer room, student organizations and the Wright-Rogal Chapel.

The University Center Information Desk is the location if you want to know about upcoming campus events or have questions about Carnegie Mellon in general, call the Information Desk at 412-268-2107. The Information Desk not only provides information about campus events, but also sells postage stamps, makes copies, sends faxes, distributes campus maps, manages a lost & found, and has information brochures about Pittsburgh and the campus.

**Athletic/Fitness Facilities**

[www.cmu.edu/athletics](http://www.cmu.edu/athletics)

For the participant or the spectator, Carnegie Mellon offers intercollegiate athletics, intramural sports, physical education classes and club sports. The Athletics Department also offers aerobics classes in the University Center and Skibo Gym as well as occasional workshops and instruction related to fitness and health. The Athletics Office is located in the Skibo Gymnasium.

Skibo Gym facilities include courts for basketball, volleyball, badminton, as well as weight-training and aerobic equipment. The University Center’s recreational facilities include an eight-lane pool, racquetball and squash courts, aerobics room, fitness center and gym for basketball and volleyball. All users must present a current Carnegie Mellon Card to use these facilities.
CMU Alert
www.cmu.edu/alert
CMU Alert sends voice and/or text messages to registered phones in the event of a campus emergency that threatens public safety or during tests of the system in the spring and fall semesters. Students can register for CMU Alert through the website.