


Title IX Investigator Training

October 8, 2020

How did we get here?

REFRESHER



Response Obligations


Once the institution has actual knowledge the Title IX Coordinator must:

1. promptly contact the complainant to discuss the availability of supportive measures
2. consider the complainant's wishes with respect to supportive measures,
3. inform the complainant of the availability of supportive measures with or without the filing of a formal complaint, and
4. explain to the complainant the process for filing a formal complaint.

§ 106.44(a)

SAUL EWING
ARNSTEIN
& LEHR^{LLP}

**§106.45
Grievance
Process
Obligations
Arise**



Overview of Formal Complaints and the requirements of §106.45

THE GRIEVANCE PROCESS

Formal Complaint


A Formal Complaint

- (1) filed by a complainant or signed by the Title IX Coordinator,
- (2) alleging sexual harassment against a respondent, and
- (3) requesting that the recipient investigate the allegation of sexual harassment.

is required to initiate the grievance process.

§ 106.30

Outline of the Process



Written Notice of Investigation

Written Notice of Investigation

Source: 106.45(b)(2)(B)

Update as needed throughout the investigation

- To Whom?**
 - “parties who are known”
- What to Include?**
 - Identities of parties involved in incident
 - Conduct allegedly constituting sexual harassment
 - Date and location of alleged incident.
 - Statement that respondent is presumed not responsible; determination regarding responsibility will be made at conclusion of process.
 - Right to an advisor
 - Right to inspect and review
 - Statement of policy re false allegations
 - Federal Rule Sexual Misconduct or Other Prhbt. Conduct
- When to Send?**
 - “With sufficient time to prepare a response before any initial interview”.

**SAUL EWING
ARNSTEIN
& LEHR^{LLP}**

Gather & fairly summarizing evidence

INVESTIGATIONS

Investigation

The institution **must investigate** allegations of in a **Formal Complaint**

- *Remember: Formal Complaints request that the “recipient investigate the allegation of sexual harassment.”*

§ 106.30

Investigation: The Basics

- ✓ Trained Investigator(s)
- ❑ Written Notice of Allegations (*update if necessary*)
- ❑ Written Notice of Any Investigative Interview(s)
- ❑ Burden on Institution/Investigator to Collect Evidence
- ❑ Both Parties = Equal Advisor Rights (can be an attorney)
- ❑ Both Parties = Right to Present Witnesses/Evidence (including “experts”)
- ❑ Voluntary, Written Consent to Access Medical/Mental Health Records
- ❑ Both Parties = Right to Inspect & Review Any Evidence “Directly Related”
- ❑ Both Parties = Meaningful Opportunity to Respond to Evidence
- ❑ Investigative Report = Fairly Summarize Relevant Evidence
- ❑ Both Parties = Right to Review & Respond to Investigative Report
- ❑ Retain Records for 7 years

Notice of Meetings

Parties must be given **written notice** of the date, time, location, participants, and purpose of all hearings, investigative interviews, or other meetings where the party's participation in such meetings is invited or expected. The written notice to the parties of such meetings must be provided with sufficient time for the party to prepare to participate.

§ 106.45(b)(5)(v)

Notice of Meetings

To Whom?

- The party/witness to be interviewed
- Any identified advisor for that party

What to Include?

- Date & Location of interview
- Purpose of Interview

When to Send?

- With “sufficient” lead time for the party to prepare

Source: 106.45(b)(5)

Throughout the investigation

SAUL EWING
ARNSTEIN
& LEHR^{LLP}

Rights of the Parties

- Receive written notices (i.e. notice of allegations, notice of interviews)
- Be accompanied by an advisor of choice
- Discuss the allegations under investigation
- Present witnesses & evidence (inculpatory & exculpatory)

Source: 106.45(b)(5)

Throughout the investigation

Step One: Gathering Evidence

The burden of proof and the **burden of gathering evidence** sufficient to reach a determination regarding responsibility rests on the recipient and not on the parties.

§ 106.45(b)(5)(i)

Step One: Gathering Evidence

- The Investigator must gather **all available evidence sufficient to reach a determination regarding responsibility.**
- The investigator should:
 - undertake a thorough search,
 - for relevant facts and evidence,
 - while operating under the constraints of completing the investigation under designated, reasonably prompt timeframes,
 - and without powers of subpoena.

85 FR 30292

Step One: Gathering Evidence

“Cannot require, allow, rely upon, other use . . . Evidence that constitute[s] or seek[s] disclosure of, information protected under a **legally recognized privilege**, unless the person holding such privilege has waived the privilege”

Step One: Gathering Evidence

- **Cannot** access, consider, disclose, or otherwise use a party's records made or maintained by a physician, psychiatrist, psychologist, or other recognized professional or paraprofessional acting in the professional's or paraprofessional's capacity, or assisting in that capacity, and which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment to the party. . .
- **Unless** the party provides voluntary, written consent.

§ 106.45(b)(5)(i)

Step Two: Review of and Response to Evidence


- Both parties must be given equal opportunity to *inspect and review* any evidence obtained during the investigation that is *directly related* to the allegations in the formal complaint
- Evidence must be sent to each party, and their advisors (if any), in an electronic format or hard copy

§ 106.45(b)(5)(vi)

SAUL EWING
ARNSTEIN
& LEHR^{LLP}

Step Two: Review of and Response to Evidence

- Evidence that must be shared includes:
 - evidence upon which recipient does not intend to rely in reaching a responsibility determination
 - Inculpatory & exculpatory evidence, whether obtained from a party or other source
- *Note: all of the evidence that subject to review and response must be made available at the hearing*



Step Two: Review of and Response to Evidence

- Parties must have at least 10 days to respond in writing to the “directly related” evidence (if they so choose) to:
 - Clarify ambiguities or correcting where the party believes the investigator did not understand
 - **Assert which evidence is “relevant” and should therefore be included in the Investigative Report**
- The investigator must consider any written responses before finalizing the investigative report

Step Three: The Investigative Report


After the parties have had the opportunity to inspect, review, and respond to the evidence, the Investigator must –

- Create an investigative report that **fairly summarizes relevant evidence** and,
- At least 10 days prior to a hearing, send the report to each party and their advisor (if any) for their review and written responses.
 - (Hard copy or electronic format)

§ 106.45(b)(5)(vii)

SAUL EWING
ARNSTEIN
& LEHR^{LLP}

Evidence



What is Relevant Evidence?

“The final regulations do not define relevance, and the **ordinary meaning** of the word should be understood and applied.”

85 FR 30247 n. 1018

What is Relevant Evidence?

rel·e·vant | \ 're-lə-vənt \ adj.

a: having significant and demonstrable bearing on the matter at hand

b: affording evidence tending to prove or disprove the matter at issue or under discussion

// *relevant* testimony

What is Relevant Evidence?

“The requirement for recipients to summarize and evaluate relevant evidence, . . . appropriately directs recipients to focus investigations and adjudications on *evidence pertinent to proving whether facts material to the allegations under investigation are more or less likely to be true* (i.e., on what is relevant).”

85 FR 30294

Prohibition on Exclusion of Relevant Evidence

May not:

- Adopt an “undue/unfair prejudice” rule. 85 FR 30294
- Adopt a rule prohibiting character, prior bad acts, evidence. 85 FR 30248
- Exclude certain types of relevant evidence (*e.g.* lie detector test results, or rape kits). 85 FR 30294

What is Not Relevant?

- The following is considered **per se not relevant** (or otherwise excluded):
 - Complainant's prior sexual behavior (subject to two exceptions) or predisposition;
 - Any party's medical, psychological, and similar treatment records without the party's voluntary, written consent; and
 - Any information protected by a legally recognized privilege unless waived.

85 FR 30293 n. 1147

“Rape Shield” Provision

Questions and evidence about the complainant’s **sexual predisposition** or prior **sexual behavior** are not relevant, **unless** such questions and evidence . . .

1. Are offered to prove that someone other than the respondent committed the conduct alleged by the complainant; or
2. Concern specific incidents of the complainant’s prior sexual behavior with respect to the respondent and are offered to prove consent.

“Rape Shield” Provision

“[Q]uestions and evidence subject to the rape shield protections are ‘not relevant,’ and therefore the rape shield protections apply wherever the issue is whether evidence is relevant or not. [The regulation] requires review and inspection of the evidence ‘directly related to the allegations’ that universe of evidence is *not screened for relevance*, but rather is measured by whether it is ‘directly related to the allegations.’ However, the investigative report must summarize ‘relevant’ evidence, and thus **at that point the rape shield protections would apply to preclude inclusion in the investigative report of irrelevant evidence.**”

85 FR 30353

SAUL EWING
ARNSTEIN
& LEHR^{LLP}

Challenges to Investigator's Relevancy Determinations

“A party who believes the investigator reached the wrong conclusion about the relevance of the evidence **may argue** again to the decision-maker (i.e., as part of the party's response to the investigative report, and/or at a live hearing) about whether the evidence is actually relevant[.]”

85 FR 30304

Step Three: The Investigative Report

“[T]hese final regulations do not prescribe the contents of the investigative report **other than specifying its core purpose of summarizing relevant evidence.**” 85 FR 30310

✓ Good practice to include:

- Summary of allegations
- Policy provisions potentially implicated
- Timeline of investigative process
- Description of the procedural steps taken*
- Summary of relevant evidence
- Summary documents collected/reviewed
- Summary of witnesses interviewed
- Any unsuccessful efforts to interview
- Any unsuccessful efforts to obtain documents
- Parties’ required responses

Bias/Conflict of Interest

- “A recipient must ensure that Title IX Coordinators, investigators, decision-makers, and any person who facilitates an informal resolution process, receive training on . . . how to serve impartially, including by avoiding prejudgment of the facts at issue, conflicts of interest, and bias.”

Bias

- bi·as | \ 'bī-əs\ **noun**
- **1a:** an inclination of temperament or outlook *especially* : a personal and sometimes unreasoned judgment : PREJUDICE