

CMU Student Senate

OFFICIAL Minutes of April 7th, 2005

5:40 pm Roll Call

Senators Present: Joe Arasin (SCS), Adam Atkinson (HSS, came late), Andres Bermudez (HSS), Michelle Birchak (CIT, partial proxy), Kathryn Cooper (MCS), Laura Drogowski (MCS), Andrew Gehling (CFA), Rachel Gougian (MCS, left early), Andrea Hamilton (BHA), Kirk Higgins (CIT), Jonathan Lee (CIT), Jean Lester (CFA), Jonathan Mendelson (SCS, proxy), Long Pham (TSB), Nasheena Porter (HSS, came late), Margaret Richards (SCS), James Rogers (CIT, came late), Samantha Rosenthal (CIT), Edward Ryan (MCS, left early), Thomas Sabram (CIT), Nicholas Scocozzo (CIT), Tro Shaw (CFA, proxy), Akil Simon (CFA), Tanvir Suri (TSB), Sean Weinstock (HSS), Josh Yearsley (HSS), Yew Choe Wong (CIT, proxy)

Senators Absent: Abigail Barnes (CIT, excused), Ananya Bubna (TSB, excused), Maureen Burns (CFA), Trevor Clark (CFA, excused), Aftyn Giles (CFA, excused), Benjamin Hackett (HSS), Nicolette Louissaint (CIT), Sophia Nagornaya (HSS), Stephanie Rosenthal (SCS, excused), Wei Tang (SHS)

Members at Large Present: Justin Berka (SHS, left early), Jonathan Chin (HSS), Kelly Duncan (MCS), Adam Greenberg (CIT), Aaron Kao (CIT, came late), Fei Liu (HSS), Aaron Walker (CIT)

Members at Large Absent: Hanish Dayal (CIT), Adi Jain (CIT), Curtis Johnson (MCS), Louisa Kinoshi (HSS), Brittany McCandless (HSS), Diana Purcell (BHA), Haseeb Qureshi (HSS), Shingai Samudzi (HSS), Karl Sjogren (HSS), Kohta Wajima (TSB)

5:42 pm Approval of Minutes from 3/31

- Noted absences for Laura Drogowski, Andrew Gehling, Andrea Hamilton, and Andres Bermudez were all excused.

5:44 pm Minutes passed by acclamation

5:44 pm Audience Participation (none)

5:44 pm Standing Committee Reports

5:44 pm **Academic Affairs** (Josh Yearsley)

- Working on the library hours extension during the last week of classes. Looking to put posters in the library in addition to the normal bulletin board places. Erik will also mention the extension in his Tartan column. Met with a tc pulse reporter who will probably run a story about it. The week in question is April 24th to 28th.
- Let us know if there's anything you walk us to talk about with the Student Advisory Councils. We'll be meeting with them. The history SAC folded after one year, but made a bunch of good recommendations that will hopefully be considered.

5:46 pm Questions (none)

5:46 pm **Business Affairs** (Ed Ryan)

- Not much to report. Parking Amnesty is a go – though not aware of whether they've started sending e-mails to people with ridiculously high parking tickets.
- The Campus Express survey is finished. Waiting on an e-mail to Dan Papanchik to send it out.
- Feedback boxes are an ongoing drama – now Patricia Clifford claims that they are at the check out desks, though Jean (who has been working on this) says that they are not.
- The Master Plan has been taken down, and then put back up – we're trying to push for a better copy of it that is easier to understand.
- Have not seen much of Ananya, but we think he has the Bronchitis Fever.

5:49 pm Questions (none)

5:49 pm New Business From Committee

5:49 pm **0405-121 – Special Allocation of \$720 to InterVarsity Christian Fellowship**

- Organization will be bringing kids from underprivileged parts of the city to Carnival and providing them with food and rides. Kids are from after-school programs in very poor neighborhoods. Kids will be with volunteers from groups on campus.

5:50 pm Questions

- Students don't really understand Pittsburgh. We want students to be able to appreciate the diversity of our city. Interaction with people whom you would not meet at Carnegie Mellon with help with that. There's also the possibility that after participating in this program students will want to continue this in the future.

- Carnival committee will not give us a discount for rides, but APhiO will give us a 25% discount on food.
- The after-school programs involved are Pittsburgh Projects, Seeds of Hope, Breach Menders, and the One Step program. These are all funded under faith-based initiatives. Programs mostly held in churches, but one is held at Chatham College.
- Church groups run these programs, but no proselytizing is allowed to occur.
- We're going to pair high school kids to students that share interests with them.
- The breakdown for Rides/Food is \$320 for food for 80 people, \$480 for rides. We're going to raise 10% of the cost ourselves. Our request is for \$720. We'll have between 60 and 80 kids at Carnival.
- Programs are open to everyone. Most students are in 7th to 12th grade.
- The request is for 90% of the money needed because FNR likes to see some fundraising efforts on the part of the organization.
- The organization believes that they will definitely be able to fundraise the remaining 10%.

5:56 pm Discussion

- These kids are a guaranteed booth audience.
- This isn't charity – this is a bunch of CMU students bringing kids to CMU for carnival. The money is not going to a charity – it's going to CMU students who will then pay for rides and food for these kids.
- The prohibition against giving money to charity is an effort to keep student activities fee money from being funneled into an outside organization. This is just funding a service project. I strongly support this activity.
- We hope to get about 50 volunteers to take the kids around. We're not quite done recruiting yet, but we have 40 as we stand now.
- Some of this money gets funneled back to us via rides and APhiO profits.
- There are eight or so people from each organization who will be working with the kids so far. We're not excluding anyone from volunteering – we just don't have methods for recruiting them.

5:59 pm Call the Question (Andres Bermudez)

5:59 pm Vote on 0405-121 – Special Allocation of \$720 to InterVarsity Christian Fellowship

Passes, voice vote

6:00 pm **0405-122 – Special Allocation of \$1000 to the Diversity Film Festival**

- Money will be used for projectionist fees and sound fees. The film festival is Thursday through Sunday (this weekend).

6:00 pm Questions

- FNR got the request this past weekend.
- Since the representative is not on the fundraising committee, she does not know how they go about fundraising. Other sources have been contacted for funding.
- A few of the films are free, but for the rest admission is \$1 with CMU ID, \$3 without.
- The event starts in half an hour.
- There has been advertising off campus, especially publicity for groups that relate to a film's focus. For example, disability groups were contacted because one of the films is about people with disabilities.

6:02 pm Discussion

- I don't mean to sound like a cranky old man – but they came to us four days ago.

6:02 pm Call the Question (Tanvir Suri)

6:02 pm Vote on 0405-122 – Special Allocation of \$1000 to the Diversity Film Festival

Passes, voice vote

6:03 pm **0405-123 – A Resolution on the Carnegie Mellon Printing Policy**

- We've been making efforts to get in contact with Computing Services about the new Printing Policy. When trying to get in touch with people from Computing Services, we've just gotten a lot of static. In reaction to our failed efforts in the past year we drafted this resolution.
- "Whereas Computing Services consulted a limited and arbitrarily selected field of students for input regarding Printing Policy,
Whereas Computing Services provided very little information voluntarily to the student body at large until after the creation of the new policy,
Whereas Computing Services repeatedly rebuffed the efforts of senators to garner information and/or collaborate on a new policy,
Whereas printing quotas arbitrarily and negatively affect students who, for academic purposes, must print large documents,
Whereas the removal of the pear and grapenut printers shifts a large volume of print jobs to cluster printers and will increase wait times unreasonably,

Whereas a substantial technology fee was recently levied for the expressed purpose of improving the computing infrastructure, of which printing is a primary component,

Be it hereby resolved that Computing Services acted in an unprofessional and conspiratorial manner unbecoming of a Carnegie Mellon administrative department,

Be it also resolved that the proposed changes to the Printing Policy are unsatisfactory and do not adequately serve the needs of the student body at large,

Be it also resolved that Student Senate condemns the removal of the pear and grapenut printers until such time as it can be shown that the cluster printing infrastructure can handle the increased size and number of print jobs,

Be it further resolved that Student Senate remains dedicated to working with Computing Services to find a solution that is amenable to all involved parties.”

6:06 pm Questions

- Computing Services has not yet specified how big the quota will be, and they won't say, but you can increase it by paying with campus express.
- People at the Survey/Cookies tables yesterday said that the quota would probably be between 800 and 850 pages.
- The printing people are conspiring within their own ranks.
- There is a committee with members of different colleges who worked on this, and I recognized some of their names. There were a bunch of different focus groups.

6:08 pm Discussion

- Agree with the sentiment, but we're not qualified right now to make judgments about what the policy actually is going to be.
- The language should be amended – for instance using the word “conspiratorial” will not achieve good results.
- Everything mentioned in the resolution is available online. We don't have to be nice to them if they're not nice to us.
- We know that they are going to discontinue Pear and Grapenut, and institute quotas. To put the stress of Pear and Grapenut on cluster printers is going to be bad for people who just want to print a paper.

- The parts of the resolution that peak to specific policies are good, and it's good to emphasize the lack of communication. However, some of the language may be unnecessarily harsh.
- They went above and beyond us. I'm all for smacking them back and waking them up.
- The language is very important. We want their attention. We need to wake them up. We've been practically begging them to talk. They rebuffed all of Senate's "pro-active" efforts. It's also important to talk about the specific policies. I've often had to print 400 pages because of one class – these quotas are prejudiced against people who take certain types of classes.

6:13 pm Motion to strike "and conspiratorial" (Adam Greenberg)

- Conspiratorial balances the sentence. Motion is friendly if another word is suggested.

6:14 pm Motion amended to replace "and conspiratorial" with "and evasive" (Adam Greenberg)

6:15 pm Motion taken as friendly

6:15 pm Motion to strike 4th and 5th Whereas clauses (Joe Arasin)

- While we know Computing Services acted in an unprofessional manner, it's sheer speculation as to the numbers involved. We should criticize them while we're in the right, so we're not seen as whining.

6:16 pm Discussion

- I don't see how they can produce data that indicates that Pear and Grapenut jobs are just going to disappear.
- Don't see how we're in the wrong.
- Computing services instituted these changes to reduce the number of wasted print-outs. However they mostly go into recycling bins – this is clearly a question of cost.
- Printing restrictions on cluster printers will be removed.

6:18 pm Call the Question (Andres Bermudez)

6:18 pm Objection

6:18 pm Discussion (continued)

- In the past, bandwidth quotas were introduced because some users took advantage of the resource. Just like in that case, quotas might be a good thing.

- We should have Computing Services send us data, but until then we should not criticize them about things we don't have data about.
- There have been attempts to get them to talk to us.
- If don't have that information or any data whatsoever. If you want to talk about communication, that's fine.
- We should criticize them on the grounds that they weren't speaking to Senate – not on the grounds that they were hiding information in general (this information has appeared on several Computing Services bulletins).
- The point of a quota is to cut off the top 5-15 percent of users who are abusing the system.
- It's very clear in this resolution that our main concern is communication, and that we have several complaints we want addressed. It makes a lot of sense as a formal literary document, and it makes a lot of sense to give them an idea about what we would like to talk about.
- We're trying to provoke a constructive response from them – not get them to hate us.
- Could someone pull up the specific changes?
- The quota probably won't include cover sheets.

6:26 pm Call the Question (Josh Yearsley)

6:26 pm Objection

6:26 pm Discussion (continued)

- We're not operating in the dark – these announcements came in from above quite suddenly.
- “Pear and grapenut printers (located on the A-level of Cyert Hall) will be decommissioned. The printing policies will be updated to allow large print jobs at the printing kiosks. This eliminates the need for pear and grapenut.”
- This amendment is really about what the resolution is about. Removing the 4th Whereas clause necessitates the removal of the third Be It Resolved clause.

6:28 pm Motion to amend the amendment so that it strikes not only the 3rd and 4th

Whereas clauses, but the 3rd Be It Resolved clause

6:28 pm Motion taken as friendly

6:28 pm Call the Question (Ed Ryan)

6:28 pm Vote on Motion to Strike the 3rd and 4th Whereas Clauses, as well as the 3rd Be It Resolved clause

Fails, voice vote

6:29 pm Discussion (on main motion, continued)

- This is an awesome resolution, and makes the one I'm going to introduce pale in comparison.
- I have realized that this comes out as insolent, but we have to realize that we are a student body, and that they have ignored us. Sounding like this doesn't help.

6:30 pm Motion to change "unprofessional" to "uncooperative"

6:30 pm Motion taken as friendly

- We don't need to insult them, but break it down as simply and nicely as possible. If something sounds bad, let's amend it and get through this.
- We want to be professional – we're the Student Senate.
- It's not like we're insulting Will Smith's mother or anything – we pay these peoples' salaries. They don't have to like us.

6:32 pm Call the Question (Michelle Birchak)

6:32 pm Objection

- I like the motion – well crafted and well typed.
- You can be professionally unprofessional. We've been nice for a year – look where it has gotten us.

6:33 pm Call the Question (Michelle Birchak)

6:33 pm Vote on 0405-123 – A Resolution on the Carnegie Mellon Printing Policy

Passes, voice vote

6:34 pm **0405-124 – Introduction of Media Rights Policy**

- This is just an introduction – Kirk will talk about it. The copy of this that's in your agenda is incorrect. The correct copy is in the separate handout. This policy allows media organizations to have passes at all events. It also allows Senate to know of any restrictions on cameras, etc. The motion will be considered in two weeks.

6:35 pm Questions

- For instance, people from the Tartan, and people who would like to record for personal use, are protected under this. They would have to let us know that they will be there.
- It's not our place to control what media is allowed or to control content.
- This is only for events that receive special allocations.

- Senate, as opposed to the organization itself, distributes media passes because Senate is an unbiased body.
- This policy is to ensure that they're allowed into the event.
- A lot of this legislation is so that they do not get randomly kicked out, and that personal property is not taken.
- If you don't have a ticket, this isn't going to make you get into the event. This legislation only applies after you're already in the door.
- If a person wants a temporary media pass, we'd ask them questions about what you want to do with the event, if you're planning on disrupting it, etc.
- When this does come up on the floor, it will be possible to insert something as friendly about time limits and event access.
- When FNR presents a group asking for a special allocation, they'd have to state whether media will be restricted.
- The fact that FNR is in charge of distributing these passes can be changed.
- This policy is to protect the rights and property of people.
- Temporary media passes are not in lieu of a ticket – they're in addition to a ticket. The statement in the handout is a mistype – the pass is not admittance.
- The stipulation would be that an organization could get special allocation funds if the media is allowed – if the speaker/performer/etc. stipulated otherwise later, they would have to come back.
- The passes would not give you leave to stand in the aisle, in front, etc.

6:48 pm Special Business

6:48 pm 0405-125 – Ratification of Student Government Election Results

- I'm Mark Roboff, the elections board chair. I was on Senate a very long time ago. The results were posted since yesterday. Tom and Nicolette are President and Vice President, and Nicholas is Vice President for Finance. One person in HSS is not sure whether they'll be accepting their seat. Once the deadline for acceptance has passed we'll send Nick an official list of who has accepted their votes. There is a tie vote in CFA. The Elections website handled the voting perfectly. The election results were ratified by GSA yesterday.

6:50 pm Questions

- The wording of the Newspaper Fee question was changed two hours into the vote – as the Tartan pointed out that the Newspaper Fee could be used for

newspapers other than those mentioned. Matt Toups came up with an alternate wording with Julie and Erik's approval. Right now we don't know the exact figures, but between 300 and 350 people voted under the original voting.

- There were only three paper ballots (2 from SCS, 1 from HSS).
- Between 300 and 350 people voted under the original wording. At the end of the voting period, 55.7% voted for the Newspaper Fee, and 45.3% voted against it, with a difference of 190 votes. We do not have specific data right now as to who voted for what in the initial two-hour period.

6:54 pm Discussion

- The paper votes were counted – they're just not on the website.
- Ties will be broken here at the meeting in two weeks.

6:54 pm Motion to Divide 0405-125 into Ratification of Election Results and Ratification of the Referendum (Adam Greenberg)

6:55 pm Motion passed by acclamation

6:55 pm Motion to give Mark Roboff speaking rights (Joe Arasin)

6:55 pm Motion passes by acclamation

6:55 pm Discussion (regarding all but the Referendum)

6:56 pm Vote on 0405-125 – Ratification of Student Government Election Results (excepting the Referendum)

Passes, voice vote

6:56 pm Discussion (regarding the Referendum)

- The number of votes in doubt is larger than the gap between students wanting the fee and students not wanting the fee. If you knock off all the votes during the original two hours, it would not have an effect on the outcome.
- If all of those votes went one way instead of another, it would change the outcome.
- There's a voting ID number that gets assigned to each vote, and the numbers are sequential.
- If we don't ratify this, we're asking for a ridiculous and frustrating campaign surrounding the referendum that already happened. I don't see that resulting in something more trustworthy than what we have right now.
- We know how many votes there were when we changed it.
- The first question roughly said that there was a \$5 fee, and that we would get USA Today, the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, and the New York Times. The second

question said that we would be getting newspapers through the College Readership program, but did not mention any specific newspapers.

- The referendum did not happen – as not everyone voted on the same thing.
- The only change was the removal of what newspapers were involved – everything else stayed the same. Not 100% certain, but for all intents and purposes everything else was the same.
- Voter turnout was pretty high, and if we had another vote on one thing, we're just going to mess up what has been a flawless and smooth process so far. There is certainly enough media that people who tend to vote know what this is anyway. Reiterating what newspapers are involved probably won't change their vote. In addition, the newspapers used in the trial were USA Today, the Pittsburgh Post-Gazette and the New York Times. It's safe to assume that these would be the newspapers we'd continue to get.
- We have two different polls that went out. We need more data about what actually happened.
- It's \$5. That's all I have to say.
- I'm a fan of the New York Times. It's possible that I would support the program only if it has the New York Times. Omission of the New York Times in the referendum could change my vote.

7:03 pm Call the Question (Josh Yearsley)

7:03 pm Objection

- When I voted, I assumed that what we would be getting the same papers we have been getting.
- The reason why we changed the wording was that in the future we can decide to get other newspapers. It was an effort to make the referendum reflect as much as possible what was passed in Senate.
- I thought the New York Times, Pittsburgh Post-Gazette and USA Today were implied. I think we need to do some risk management about this.

7:08 pm Motion to move the item we're discussion to after 0405-126 and 0405-127 (Joe Arasin)

7:08 pm No second

7:08 pm Discussion (continued)

- It's very speculative to assume everyone thought that those newspapers were implied.

7:09 pm Motion to Move the Question (Sam Rosenthal)

7:09 pm Vote on Motion to Move the Question

Fails, hand vote (10:7:2)

7:10 pm Call the Question (Andres Bermudez)

- Joe is crunching numbers and needs less than five minutes.

7:11 pm Withdrawn

7:11 pm Motion to recess for five minutes (Andres Bermudez)

7:12 pm Vote on Motion to Recess

Fails, voice vote

7:12 pm Motion to postpone until Joe is done with his numbers, for a maximum of 30 minutes

Passes, voice vote

7:13 pm **0405-126 – Introduction of Constitutional Amendment regarding Funding Eligibility**

- The text is in your agenda. This is part of a larger reform of the way JFC works. It's going to make it so that groups come to JFC for funding in the fall instead of Senate.

7:14 pm Questions

- Why not have everyone just submit a budget? Why the concept of non-funded recognition?
- Steve is not able to be here right now, so hopefully he will be able to answer questions at the April 21st meeting.

7:16 pm **0405-127 – Introduction of Constitutional Amendments regarding Fiscal Policy**

- Reference the handout (not in your agenda). Takes away mention of things that are JFC-specific. Those will be put into their bylaws.
- This is only an introduction, there is no vote today.

7:17 pm Questions

- If you have any questions, please forward them to the Student Body Vice President for Finance.

7:18 pm New Business From the Floor

7:18 pm Bryan Kaplan Resolution

- *“Whereas* Carnegie Mellon University Emergency Services provides emergency medical services and training in CPR and First Aid for the campus community.

Whereas Bryan Kaplan, EMT-B has selflessly served the Campus Community for six years as a member of CMU EMS, including two years as an alumnus of the University, despite strenuous work obligations.

Whereas Mr. Kaplan has served CMU EMS in various leadership roles, including Crew Chief, Supervisor, Training Director, Executive Director, and Advisor.

Whereas Mr. Kaplan has earned the respect, admiration, and friendship of the men and women of CMU EMS, both past and present.

Whereas Mr. Kaplan has served the campus community in the best traditions of community services, having been involved in hundreds of emergency calls.

Whereas due to work obligations, Mr. Kaplan has made the tough decision to retire from active duty with CMU EMS effective at the end of the semester.

Be it resolved that the Carnegie Mellon Undergraduate Senate, on behalf of the students and community of Carnegie Mellon, wish to thank Bryan Kaplan, EMT-B, for his years of service.

Be it further resolved that the Undergraduate Student Senate also wishes to thank Bryan Kaplan for the untold lives he has saved, both directly through his emergency services, and indirectly through his training of others.

Be it further resolved that a copy of this resolution be transmitted to Bryan Kaplan, as well as the director of Campus Security, and the leadership of Carnegie Mellon University EMS.”

7:20 pm Motion to Introduce and Consider this Motion Immediately (2/3rds vote)

7:20 pm Vote on Motion to Introduce and Consider this Motion Immediately

Passes, voice vote

7:20 pm Discussion

- Some of you may have seen home, some of you may not have realized that you were seeing him. CMU EMS does not pay – and he’s taken a lot of time away from his real job to work with us.
- The rest of CMU EMS was not involved in the drafting of the resolution, but yesterday there was a ceremony for him. He is very well liked.
- Nothing has been done like this in the memory of the Chair.

7:22 pm Motion to have the grammar/language edited after this vote

7:23 pm Passed by acclamation

7:23 pm Call the Question (Josh Yearsley)

7:23 pm Vote on Bryan Kaplan Resolution

Passes, voice vote

7:23 pm **0405-125 – Ratification of Student Government Election Results**

(continued)

7:23 pm Discussion (on the referendum, continued)

- At the end of all voting, the referendum passed by a margin of 190 votes. At all points between 0 and 500 votes, the motion had passed by a portion smaller than 190. That means that it passed both before it had been reworded and after it had been reworded.
- It's still speculation – you're making assumptions that aren't true.
- Throwing out the first 300 votes is a better turnout than what we'd get if we did this again.
- If the first 300 votes were no, then the referendum would have failed.
- If there is a way to figure it out, that's fine. But we have to fail this motion if there's a question in our minds.
- Is the bigger question the way changing the specifics of the papers could have affected the vote, or how drastically we are altering the content of the voting body?
- Last year is not a fair comparison – that was a student body presidential election. The entire thing was very controversial and that made people vote again.
- When I was voting on the motion, I read it as the program that came to Senate. Even if the wording changed, I highly doubt that people would change their vote.
- This is getting very circular.

7:31 pm Motion to Move the Question (Tanvir Suri)

7:31 pm Vote on Motion to Move the Question

Passes, hand vote (13:2:4)

7:32 pm Vote on 0405-125 – Ratification of Student Government Election Results (the Referendum)

Passes, hand vote (9:5:5)

7:33 pm New Business From the Floor (continued)

- Adam has a sign up sheet for CMU Gear Sales because no one responded to his e-mail. It will be passed around.

7:35 pm Points of Discussion

7:35 pm Point of Discussion Regarding the Special Allocation to the InterVarsity Christian Fellowship

- I'm a little shocked today about what happened with regards to bringing people in from faith-based initiatives. This is a departure from previous policy.
- I don't feel like we're funneling money to a church or faith-based organization.
- No one's getting hurt and the event is helping kids – no one is being discriminated against on the basis of religion.
- We're essentially saying that we'll give money to kids if they belong to a church.
- We get back 40% of rides ticket profits. We're giving money to kids to ride rides that we're getting money from.
- These kids are not part of faith programs.
- They're religious -- If I were an atheist, I wouldn't be allowed to go.
- If you were a secular group that wanted to bring kids to Carnival, I would support you.
- Over half the allocated money will come back to student organizations.
- It's not like funnel cake will make you believe in god.
- Concerned about how many atheist kids are coming.
- Admittance is not restricted based on religion – admittance is based on your need of an after school program. We've been shown no evidence that kids in the program are being discriminated against.
- We're bringing kids into Carnegie Mellon and showing them the atmosphere – who cares about anything else?
- This is worthy of discussion. Could not this person have asked for speaking rights during our discussion? Not to discourage talking about something after it's happened. In the future, it's a good idea to discuss this while the motion is on the table and votes can be influenced.
- What we're trying to discuss in general is whether we just funded solely Christian kids to come here.
- No, people who participation are often recruited through schools. This really doesn't have anything to do with religion.

7:46 pm Motion to End this Point of Discussion (Joe Arasin)

7:46 pm Motion passed by acclamation

7:46 pm Point of Discussion Regarding Food at the Library During Finals Week

- Has anyone experienced food at the library during finals week?
- Yes. There is usually food at about 10 pm on Tuesday/Wednesday.

- I will not rest until there is food at the library during the last week of classes too.

7:48 pm Point of Discussion Regarding Fiscal Motions Introduced Today

- Please tell me (Steve Kling) about any questions you have regarding the motions introduced today. There will be an information session about these motions – so please come and discuss this before the meeting. Having this discussed and debated on the Senate floor will take forever.
- JFC is having an information session next Monday. Also, JFC will be done everything April 20th.

7:50 pm Point of Discussion Regarding CMU Gear Sales Sign-ups

- The sign up sheet is still mostly empty! Even our advisor Jennifer Parry Bird signed up for a shift!

7:50 pm Announcements

- The semester Senate Social was a success, even though the President did not compete against the Chair in DDR.
- Congratulations to all candidates who ran in this year's election, for great campaigning and discussion on what affects students on campus. As well, congratulations to those who won, and good luck to you all next year.
- Senate will not be meeting next Thursday, in observation of Spring Carnival. Please go out and enjoy the booths and buggy races that weekend. We will see you Thursday, April 21st at 5:30pm in the Posner Center Board Room!
- Despite the President not competing against the Chair in DDR, the executives in the form of the Student Body Vice President crushed the Senate Chair at DDR.
- One of the Horsemen arrived today – the Kudos are here! My organs were vibrating when we set them up. Everyone should come to the Shins concert and listen to them.
- A reminder: Please drink and have fun responsibly during Carnival.
- Move-on is tomorrow!

7:51 pm Adjourn